

INF5490 RF MEMS

LN06: RF MEMS switches, I

Spring 2012, Oddvar Søråsen
Department of Informatics, UoO

Today's lecture

- Design of RF MEMS switches
 - Electromechanical design, II
 - RF design
- Examples of implementations
 - Structure
 - Fabrication
 - Performance
- Special structures and actuation mechanisms
- Some challenges

Electromechanical design, II

- Designer should take into account
 - **Stress** →
 - Dynamics
 - Damping
 - How **actuation voltage** influences switch speed

Stress

- Stress induced during fabrication: high T → low T
 - Due to different properties of neighboring materials
 - This causes: "residual stress"
- Change of stress during operation due to **temperature variations**
 - Different CTEs (Coefficient of Thermal Expansion)
- Ex. axial **tensile stress** influences **stiffness**
 - Spring constant k_z increases
 - k_z increases 20x when tensile stress 0 → 300 MPa
 - V_{pi} increases 4.5x when tensile stress 0 → 300 MPa
 - → **Tensile stress must be taken into account!**
- Stress can be **evaluated** by misalignment measurements on test structures →

Micro strain gauge with mechanical amplifier




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of a strain gauge based on the mechanical amplifier.




Fig. 4. An example of strain-gauge reading under an optical microscope.

Jmfr. "skyvelær"

Switch speed and damping

- Switch speed depends of **damping**
 - Air, gas must be pushed/pulled away
 - **”squeezed-film damping”**
 - Method of modeling from fluid dynamics
- How to reduce damping?
 - Operate in vacuum
 - Hermetic sealed packages
 - Make holes in membrane for gas to escape
 - Perforated membrane →

Perforated membrane: UMICH




Figure 5.2. Photomicrograph of the university of Michigan low-voltage MEMS shunt switch. The number of meanders can be varied from 1 to 8 [7] (Copyright IEEE).

Perforated membrane: Raytheon




Figure 5.1. Photomicrograph of Raytheon MEMS capacitive shunt switch [2, 3] (Copyright IEEE).

Ex. On effect of perforation

→ Significant increased speed by use of perforated membrane!

	No holes	With holes
b	$1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$	$2.1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$
τ_{sdown}	$80 \mu\text{S}$	$10.5 \mu\text{s}$

S. Pacheco, L.Katehi, Chapter in 'RF Technologies for Low Power Wireless Communications', Wiley, 2001.

Z..




Figure 12. Switching time of the TI capacitive coupling shunt switch is of the order of 3.5–5.3 μs (from [30], Raytheon/TI).

Switch time for Raytheon/TI-switch

Switch speed

- Damping influences Q-factor
- Switch-speed depends of Q-factor
 - damping \rightarrow Q \rightarrow speed
 - High Q-factor means small damping
 - \rightarrow increased switch speed
 - Low Q-factor means large damping
 - System is **"damping-limited"** when $Q \leq 0.5$ [Castaner and Senturia]

Gap vs. Time for various Q-factors




Figure 3.3. Pull-down simulations for the Au and Al beams of Table 3.1 for an applied voltage of 42 V ($V_s = 1.4V_p$).

(For differences between Al and Au: later →)

Gas damping

Dynamic response of cantilever beam

$$m \frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} + b \frac{dw}{dt} + k \cdot w = F_{ext}$$

w = displacement

m = mass

b = damping coefficient

k = spring constant



$$\frac{W(j\omega)}{F(j\omega)} = \frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0}\right)^2 + j\omega / (Q \omega_0)}$$

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}} = \text{Resonance frequency}$$

$$Q = k / (\omega_0 b) = \text{Q-factor}$$

$$Q = (\omega_0 m) / b$$

Mechanical / Electrical Systems



Input : external force F

Output : displacement x

$$m\ddot{x}(t) + b\dot{x}(t) + Kx(t) = F$$

m mass, b damping, K stiffness

Transfer function :

$$H(s) = \frac{x}{F} = \frac{\frac{1}{m}}{s^2 + \frac{b}{m}s + \frac{K}{m}}$$

Input : voltage V_i

Output : voltage V_o

$$L\ddot{q}(t) + R\dot{q}(t) + \frac{1}{C}q(t) = V_i$$

L induct., R resist., C capacit.

Transfer function :

$$H(s) = \frac{V_o}{V_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{LC}}{s^2 + \frac{R}{L}s + \frac{1}{LC}}$$

Resonators

- Analogy between mechanical and electrical system:
 - Mass m - inductivity L
 - Spring K - capacitance C
 - Damping b - resistance R (depending where R is placed in circuit)
- Solution to 2nd order differential equation:

$$H(s) = \frac{\omega_0^2}{s^2 + \frac{\omega_0}{Q}s + \omega_0^2}$$

$$\omega_0 = 2\pi f_0 \text{ natural frequency}$$

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{K}{m}} \text{ mechanical system, } \omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{LC}} \text{ electrical system}$$

$$Q \text{ quality factor}$$

m for gas damping

- Q depends on the relationship between **m, b, k**
 - m is **"effective mass"** ("dynamic mass")
 - The effective mass is different from the physical mass since only the end/central part of the cantilever/beam is moving
 - $m_{\text{eff}} \sim 0.35 - 0.45 * m_{\text{total}}$
 - m_{eff} depends of
 - Topology/ physical dimensions
 - Spring constant, material choice
 - **Dynamics**
 - Will be calculated more accurately in a future lecture

b for gas-damping

- Q depends of **b = damping coefficient**
- Damping, b, depends of **viscosity** of surroundings
 - Viscosity is internal resistance against gas transport
- Ex.: damping for rectangular parallel plate:

$$b = \frac{3}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\mu \cdot A^2}{g_0^3}$$

A = area g_0 = gap

μ = viscosity of gas

Q for gas damping

Gas damping influences Q-factor

Quantitative equations:

$$Q = k / (\omega_0 b)$$

$\rho =$ density

$$Q_{\text{cantilever}} = \frac{\sqrt{E\rho} H^2}{\mu (WL)^2} g_0^3$$

$Q_{cc} =$ \uparrow $W \cdot L \rightarrow \frac{W \cdot L}{2}$

\swarrow
for clamped-clamped beam

Switch speed for large damping

For a **damping-limited** system

$$(Q \leq 0.5)$$

simplification of equation

Equation of motion

$$b \frac{dw}{dt} = F_{ext}$$

A quantitative expression:

$$t_s \approx \frac{9 V_{Pi}^2}{4 \omega_0 Q V_s^2} \quad \text{for } V_s \gg V_{Pi}$$

V_s = actuation voltage

Time response for various Q-factors




Figure 3.3. Pull-down simulations for the Au and Al beams of Table 3.1 for an applied voltage of 42 V ($V_s = 1.4V_p$).

Note: Au has higher density → larger mass → lower ω → larger switch time (t_s)

Switch speed for increased V_s

- Switch-speed strongly depends of **actuation voltage, V_s**
 - V_s is usually larger than V_{pi}
 - $V_s = \text{const} * V_{pi}$ (pull-in) = ("actuation voltage")
- Larger voltage gives larger electrostatic force
 - \rightarrow increased switch speed

Time response vs. applied voltage




Figure 3.4. Pull-down simulations for the Au beam of Table 3.1 versus the applied voltage, and $Q = 1$.

Switch speed for small damping

Electrostatic force

$$F = \frac{\epsilon_0 A V^2}{2g^2}$$

“Acceleration limited” switch ($b \sim 0$)

$$m \frac{d^2 w}{dt^2} + k \cdot w = - \frac{\epsilon_0 A V^2}{2g_0^2} \quad (Q \geq 2)$$

Actuation voltage

$$V_s = k_{\text{cont}} \times V_{Pi}$$

Switch time

$$t_s \approx 3.67 \frac{V_{Pi}}{V_s \cdot \omega_0}$$

Acceleration limited switch




Figure 3.5. Simulated switching times for the Au beam given in Table 3.1. “AL” means acceleration-limited and is given by Eq. (3.23).

Note: The system becomes more acceleration limited when damping decreases (eg. Q-factor increases). High V_s/V_p is good.

RF design of MEMS switch

- Detailed **electromagnetic** modeling can be used
 - 3 dim electromagnetic analysis of **field distributions**
 - Detailed mechanical model: 3-dim
 - Depends on material properties, boundary conditions etc.
 - → Calculating field distributions and S-parameters
- Alternatively: use **equivalent circuit models** →
 - Simple models for analytic calculations
 - Can be used to estimate RF performance

Electrical characterization of RF MEMS switches

- For "low" frequency
 - Use impedance – admittance parameters
 - Two-port with voltage and current (Kirchhoff's equations)
- For high frequency
 - Use S-parameters
 - S-parameters are measured/calculated when the line is **terminated** with its characteristic impedance
 - S-parameters are small signal parameters
 - RF power < DC power


Definition of S-parameters

- Calculating power:


$$P_n = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\{V_n I_n^*\} = \frac{1}{2} (|a_n|^2 - |b_n|^2)$$

S-parameters

$$\begin{Bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{Bmatrix}$$



Meaning of S-parameters



$$S_{11} = \left. \frac{b_1}{a_1} \right|_{a_2=0} \equiv \frac{\text{reflected power wave at port 1}}{\text{incident power wave at port 1}} \quad (4.42a)$$

$$S_{21} = \left. \frac{b_2}{a_1} \right|_{a_2=0} \equiv \frac{\text{transmitted power wave at port 2}}{\text{incident power wave at port 1}} \quad (4.42b)$$

$$S_{22} = \left. \frac{b_2}{a_2} \right|_{a_1=0} \equiv \frac{\text{reflected power wave at port 2}}{\text{incident power wave at port 2}} \quad (4.42c)$$

$$S_{12} = \left. \frac{b_1}{a_2} \right|_{a_1=0} \equiv \frac{\text{transmitted power wave at port 1}}{\text{incident power wave at port 2}} \quad (4.42d)$$

Measuring S-parameters

- S-parameters measured when lines are terminated with **characteristic impedance**




Figure 4-15 Measurement of S_{11} and S_{21} by matching the line impedance Z_0 at port 2 through a corresponding load impedance $Z_L = Z_0$.

RF characterization

- Reflected and transmitted signals should be taken into account
- Important parameters calculated
 - **Insertion loss** in ON-state (down) =
 - **Isolation** i OFF-state (up) =
 - **Return loss** (both up/down) =

RF characterization, contd.

”IL = Insertion loss” i ”on-state”

$$S_{21} = \left. \frac{b_2}{a_1} \right|_{a_2=0} = \frac{\textit{transmitted, port2}}{\textit{incident, port1}}$$

The **inverse** value is used for IL

Specified in dB

Degrades with increased frequency

RF characterization, contd.

”Isolation” in ”off-state”

$$\frac{1}{S_{21}} = \frac{a_1}{b_2} \Big|_{a_2=0} = \frac{\text{incident, port1}}{\text{transmitted, port2}}$$

(Varadan)

$$\frac{1}{S_{12}} = \frac{a_2}{b_1} \Big|_{a_1=0} = \frac{\text{incident, port2}}{\text{transmitted, port1}}$$

(most common def)

→ High isolation when output is small relative to input
(or input is marginally influenced by output)

”Return loss” for both states

$$S_{11} = \frac{b_1}{a_1}$$

eg. Large loss for much reflected

S-parameters

- In UP-state: S_{21} is corresponding to **isolation**
- In DOWN-state: S_{21} is corresponding to **insertion loss**
- In UP-state: S_{11} is corresponding to **return loss**
- In DOWN-state: S_{11} is corresponding to **return loss**

Typical s-parameter measurements




Figure 3.25 Measured insertion loss and return loss RF MEMS switch. Reproduced from C.L. Goldsmith, Z. Yao, S. Eshelman and D. Denniston, 1998, 'Performance of low-loss MEMS capacitive switches', *IEEE MW and Guided wave Letters* 8(8): 269–271, by permission of IEEE, © 1998 IEEE

Equivalent circuit for capacitive shunt switch




Figure 4.1. Illustration of a typical MEMS shunt switch shown in cross section and plan view. The equivalent circuit is also shown [6] (Copyright IEEE).

Equivalent circuit, contd.

Switch shunt impedance

$$Z_s = R_s + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}$$

$$C = C_u \text{ eller } C_d$$

At resonance

$$\omega_0 L = \frac{1}{\omega_0 C}$$

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{LC}}$$

$$Z_s = \begin{array}{lll} \frac{1}{j\omega C} & \text{for} & f \ll f_0 \\ R_s & \text{"} & f = f_0 \\ j\omega L & \text{"} & f \gg f_0 \end{array}$$

RF parasitics

- Simplified calculations for shunt switch:
 - Use C only
- More accurate calculations:
 - Include L
 - **Meander spring** contributes to parasitics!
 - Meanders give a **softer spring**
 - Give lower V_{pi}
 - → contribute to **parasitic inductance**
 - → influence RF-performance
- Accurate modeling should take into account parasitic inductance and parasitic resistance

Parasitic inductance





Figure 4.4. Simulated inductance for low-spring-constant MEMS bridges.

Meander spring increases inductance



Return loss: $S_{11} = S_{22} = \Gamma = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_0}$

$$= \frac{Z_s // Z_0 - Z_0}{Z_s // Z_0 + Z_0} = \frac{\frac{Z_s \cdot Z_0}{Z_s + Z_0} - Z_0}{\frac{Z_s \cdot Z_0}{Z_s + Z_0} + Z_0}$$

$$= \frac{Z_s \cdot Z_0 - Z_0(Z_s + Z_0)}{Z_s \cdot Z_0 + Z_0(Z_s + Z_0)} = \frac{-Z_0^2}{Z_0(Z_s + 2Z_0)}$$

$$= \frac{-Z_0}{Z_0 + 2Z_s}$$

insertion loss : S_{21}

$$S_{21} = 1 + \Gamma = 1 + \frac{-Z_0}{Z_0 + 2Z_s}$$


$$= \frac{Z_0 + 2Z_s - Z_0}{Z_0 + 2Z_s} = \frac{2Z_s}{Z_0 + 2Z_s} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{Z_0}{2Z_s}}$$

$$Z_s = \frac{1}{j\omega C}$$

$$S_{21} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{Z_0}{2 \cdot 1/j\omega C}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{j\omega C Z_0}{2}}$$

$$|S_{21}|^2 = S_{21} \cdot S_{21}^* = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{j\omega C Z_0}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{j\omega C Z_0}{2}} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\omega C Z_0}{2}\right)^2}$$

Shunt configuration



$$Z_s = R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}$$

$$S_{11} = S_{22} = \Gamma = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0} = \frac{Z_s // Z_0 - Z_0}{Z_s // Z_0 + Z_0} = \frac{-Z_0}{2Z_s + Z_0}$$

$$S_{12} = S_{21} = 1 + \Gamma = 1 + \frac{-Z_0}{2Z_s + Z_0} = \frac{2Z_s}{2Z_s + Z_0}$$

Shunt switch

Return loss (up-state)

$$Z_s \approx \frac{1}{j\omega C}$$

$$S_{11} = \frac{-Z_0}{2Z_s + Z_0} = \frac{-Z_0}{2 \cdot \frac{1}{j\omega C} + Z_0} = \frac{-j\omega C Z_0}{2 + j\omega C Z_0}$$

$$|S_{11}|^2 = S_{11} \cdot S_{11}^* = \frac{(\omega C Z_0)^2}{4 + (\omega C Z_0)^2}$$

Return loss (down-state)

$$Z_s = R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}$$

$$S_{11} = \frac{-Z_0}{2Z_s + Z_0} = \frac{-Z_0}{2\left(R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}\right) + Z_0} = \frac{-j\omega C Z_0}{(2 - 2\omega^2 LC) + j\omega(2R + CZ_0)}$$

$$|S_{11}|^2 = S_{11} \cdot S_{11}^* = \frac{(\omega C Z_0)^2}{(2 - 2\omega^2 LC)^2 + (2\omega R + \omega C Z_0)^2}$$

Shunt switch

insertion loss (down-state)


$$S_{12} = S_{21} = \frac{2Z_s}{2Z_s + Z_0} = \frac{2\left(R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}\right)}{2\left(R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}\right) + Z_0}$$

$$= \frac{(2 - 2\omega^2 LC) + j2\omega RC}{(2 - 2\omega^2 LC) + j(2\omega RC + \omega CZ_0)}$$

$$|S_{12}|^2 = |S_{21}|^2 = S_{21}^* S_{21} = \frac{(2 - 2\omega^2 LC)^2 + (2\omega RC)^2}{(2 - 2\omega^2 LC)^2 + (2\omega RC + \omega CZ_0)^2}$$

Series contact cantilever switch

OFF (up-state)



$$Z_s = j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}$$

$$S_{11} = S_{22} = \Gamma = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0} = \frac{(Z_s + Z_0) - Z_0}{(Z_s + Z_0) + Z_0} = \frac{Z_s}{2Z_0 + Z_s}$$

$$S_{12} = S_{21} = 1 - \Gamma = 1 - \frac{Z_s}{2Z_0 + Z_s} = \frac{2Z_0}{2Z_0 + Z_s}$$

Series switch

Return loss (up-state)

$$S_{11} = S_{22} = \frac{Z_s}{2Z_0 + Z_s} = \frac{j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}}{2Z_0 + (j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C})} = \frac{1 - \omega^2 LC}{(1 - \omega^2 LC) + j2\omega CZ_0}$$

$$|S_{11}|^2 = S_{11} S_{11}^* = \frac{(1 - \omega^2 LC)^2}{(1 - \omega^2 LC)^2 + (2\omega CZ_0)^2} = \frac{1}{1 + (2\omega CZ_0)^2}$$

\uparrow
 $L=0$

Isolation (up-state)


$$S_{12} = S_{21} = \frac{2Z_0}{2Z_0 + Z_s} = \frac{2Z_0}{2Z_0 + (j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C})} = \frac{j2\omega CZ_0}{(1 - \omega^2 LC) + j2\omega CZ_0}$$

$$|S_{12}|^2 = S_{12} S_{12}^* = \frac{(2\omega CZ_0)^2}{(1 - \omega^2 LC)^2 + (2\omega CZ_0)^2} = \frac{(2\omega CZ_0)^2}{1 + (2\omega CZ_0)^2}$$

\uparrow
 $L=0$

Series switch

Return loss (down-state)



$$S_{11} = \frac{Z_s}{2Z_0 + Z_s} = \frac{j\omega L + R}{2Z_0 + j\omega L + R}$$

$$|S_{11}|^2 = S_{11} \cdot S_{11}^* = \frac{R^2 + (\omega L)^2}{(2Z_0 + R)^2 + (\omega L)^2} = \frac{R^2}{(2Z_0 + R)^2}$$

\uparrow
 $L=0$

insertion loss (down-state)

$$S_{12} = \frac{2Z_0}{2Z_0 + Z_s} = \frac{2Z_0}{2Z_0 + j\omega L + R}$$

$$|S_{12}|^2 = S_{12} \cdot S_{12}^* = \frac{(2Z_0)^2}{(2Z_0 + R)^2 + (\omega L)^2} = \frac{2Z_0^2}{(2Z_0 + R)^2}$$

\uparrow
 $L=0$




RF MEMS switch vs. frequency









G. Rebeiz, "Short course on RF-MEMS", Dec. 2003
H. Tilmans, Microwave week, 2004.

Equivalent circuit

RF-ON



RF-OFF



Examples of implemented switches

- **Series-switch**



- Structure

- Fabrication

- Performance

- Ex. of **contact-switches** →

Cantilever beam with electrostatic actuation



J.J. Yao, M.F. Chang, Solid-State Sensors and Actuators, 1995 and Eurosensors IX, Transducers '95.

Switch architecture:

- suspended SiO₂ cantilever arm
- platinum-to-gold electrical contact
- electrostatic actuation

Performance:

- DC to RF range of frequency
- $R_{DC}=0.22\Omega$
- Pull in voltage=28V, max current=200mA
- speed: 30 μ s
- -50dB isolation and 0.1dB insertion loss @ 4GHz
- monolithic integration with IC because of the low temperature budget of the process

Rockwell series-switch




Figure 5.8. SEM of the Rockwell Scientific MEMS series switch [24] (Copyright IEEE).

Sketch of principle




Figure 6.6. The fabrication process of the Rockwell Scientific series switch [8, 9].

Rockwell series-switch, contd.

TABLE 5.6. Parameters for the Rockwell Scientific DC-Contact MEMS Series Switch

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Length [μm]	250	Actuation area [μm^2]	$75 \times 75 (\times 2)$
Width [μm]	150	Actuation voltage [V]	50–60
Height [μm]	2–2.5	Switch time [μs]	8–10
Cantilever type	Oxide, Au	Switch resistance [Ω]	0.8–2
Thickness [μm]	2, 0.25	C_u [fF]	1.75–2
Residual stress [MPa]	Low	Inductance [pH]	40–60
Spring constant [N/m]	15	Isolation [dB]	–50 (4 GHz)
Holes in cantilever	Yes	Isolation [dB]	–30 (40 GHz)
Sacrificial layer	Polyimide	Isolation [dB]	–20 (90 GHz)
Bridge release	Plasma etch	Loss [dB]	–0.1 (0.1–50 GHz)

Motorola




Figure 5.9. Photomicrograph of the Motorola DC-contact MEMS series switch and cross sections in the up- and down-state positions (Copyright IEEE).

Motorola, contd.

TABLE 5.7. Parameters for the Motorola DC-Contact MEMS Series Switch

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Length [μm]	140	Actuation area [μm^2]	100×80
Width [μm]	100	Actuation voltage [V]	40–60
Height [μm]	2–3	Switch time [μs]	2–4
Cantilever type	Oxide, Au	Switch resistance, R_s [Ω]	1–2
Thickness [μm]	1.3, 0.3	C_u [fF]	2
Residual stress [MPa]	Low	Inductance [pH]	20
Spring constant [N/m]	35–40	Isolation [dB]	–44 (2–4 GHz)
Holes in cantilever	Yes (8 μm)	Loss [dB]	–0.15 (0.1–6 GHz)
Sacrificial layer	Polyimide		
Bridge release	Plasma etch		

Lincoln





Figure 5.13. Cross section of the DC-contact (a) and capacitive-contact (b) Lincoln Laboratory inline switch (Copyright IEEE).

Lincoln, contd.

TABLE 5.10. Parameters for the Lincoln Laboratories Inline MEMS Series Switch

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Length ^a [μm]	55/200	Actuation area [μm^2]	45×50
Width [μm]	50	Actuation voltage ^b [V]	30–80
Height [μm]	2–15	Switch time ^b [μs]	1–20
Cantilever type	Oxide, Al, oxide	Switch resistance, R_s [Ω]	1–2
Thickness [μm]	0.2, 0.5, 0.2	C_u [fF]	4–6
Residual stress	Very high	Inductance [pH]	Negligible
Holes in cantilever	No	Isolation [dB]	–40 (4 GHz)
Sacrificial layer	Polyimide	Isolation [dB]	–22 (30 GHz)
Bridge release	Freeze Drying	Loss [dB]	–0.15 (0.1–40 GHz)
Dielectric ^c (\AA)	SiO_2 (1000)		

^aCapacitive switch: 200 μm . DC-contact switch: 55 μm .

^bCapacitive switch: 30–40 V and 20 μs ; DC-contact switch: 60–80 V and <1 μs .

^cAbove pull-down electrode only.

Examples of implemented switches

- **Shunt-switches**


- Structure

- Fabrication

- Performance

- Ex. of capacitive **shunt-switches** →

Fabrication of capacitive switch



Raytheon





Figure 5.1. Photomicrograph of Raytheon MEMS capacitive shunt switch [2, 3] (Copyright IEEE).

Raytheon, contd.


TABLE 5.1. Parameters for the Raytheon Capacitive MEMS Shunt Switch

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Length [μm]	270–350	Actuation area [μm^2]	80×100
Width [μm]	50–200	Actuation voltage [V]	30–50
Height [μm]	3–5	Switch time [μs]	3/5 (D/U)
Membrane type	Aluminum	C_d [pF]	1–6
Thickness [μm]	0.5	Capacitive ratio	80–120
Residual stress [MPa]	10–20	Inductance [pH]	5–10
Spring constant [Nm]	6–20	Resistance [Ω]	0.25–0.35
Holes [μm]	Yes (3–5)	Isolation [dB]	–20 (10 GHz)
Sacrificial layer	Polyimide	Isolation [dB]	–35 (30 GHz)
Bridge release	Plasma etch	Intermodulation	+66 dBm
Dielectric (\AA)	Si_3N_4 (1000)	Loss [dB]	–0.07 (10–40 GHz)

Univ of Michigan



(a)



(b)

Figure 5.2. Photomicrograph of the university of Michigan low-voltage MEMS shunt switch. The number of meanders can be varied from 1 to 8 [7] (Copyright IEEE).

Fabrication, "Michigan switch"




Figure 6.7. The fabrication process of the Michigan all-metal series switch [10, 11] (Copyright IEEE).

Univ of Michigan

TABLE 5.2. Parameters for the University of Michigan Low-Voltage MEMS Capacitive Shunt Switch

Parameter	Value	Parameter	Value
Length [μm]	500–700	Actuation area [μm^2]	$200 \times 200 (\times 2)$
Width [μm]	200–250	Actuation voltage ^a [V]	6–20
Height [μm]	4–5	Switch time ^a [μs]	20–40 (D)
Membrane type	Nickel	C_d [pF]	1–3
Thickness [μm]	2–2.5	Capacitive ratio	30–50
Residual stress [MPa]	20–100	Inductance [pH]	1–2
Spring constant [N/m]	1–10	Resistance [Ω]	0.2–0.3
Holes [μm]	Yes (10)	Isolation [dB]	–25 (30 GHz)
Sacrificial layer	Polyimide	Intermodulation	N/A
Bridge release	Plasma etch	Loss [dB]	–0.1 (1–40 GHz)
Dielectric (\AA)	Si_3N_4 (1000–1500)		

^aDepends on number of meander support.

Special switch structures

- 3 electrodes can also be used
 - Top-electrode used to "clamp" the active electrode to the top
 - Important for systems experiencing **large accelerations**


FSRM

EPFL

RF MEMS capacitive switch with 3 parallel electrodes (1)

→ Architecture with 3rd electrode: avoid switch movements in acceleration gradients (airborne systems, > 10g!)

→ 2nd bias used to clamp the 'active' electrode to the top



Serpentine spring


S. Pacheco, C. T.-C. Nguyen, and L. P. B. Katehi, Proceedings, IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium, Baltimore, Maryland, June 7-12, 1998.

42

Liquid/metal contact-switch

- May solve reliability problem of **solid state** – **solid state** contacts
 - → Use **liquid-to-solid state**
- Mercury (Hg) is candidate due to good properties
 - Low contact resistance
 - No signal ringing
 - No contact degradation
 - Electrostatic actuation
 - Actuation voltage 100 – 150 V
 - → Liquid not accepted in IC-industry!

Mercury switch



Mercury switch sphere moves

Planar process, foto, JHU, Appl Physics Lab

Mercury switch




Figure 3.33 Schematic diagram of the mercury contact micro relay. Reproduced from S. Saffer, J. Simon and C.J. Kim, 1996, 'Mercury contact switching with gap-closing microcantilever', *Proceedings of SPIE*, 2882: 204–209, by permission of SPIE

Figure shows switch from above

Mercury switch, contd.





Figure 3.34 Process flow at cross-section AA' of Figure 3.33. Note: MCNC, Microelectronics Center of North Carolina. Reproduced from S. Saffer, J. Simon and C.J. Kim, 1996, 'Mercury contact switching with gap-closing microcantilever', *Proceedings of SPIE*, 2882: 204–209, by permission of SPIE

Thermal actuation



Thermo sensitive magnets

Figure 3.38 Principle of operation of thermally controlled magnetization micro relay. (a) without heat; (b) with heat. Note: N, north; S, south. Reproduced from E. Hashimoto, H. Tanaka, Y. Suzuki, Y. Uensishi and A. Watabe, 1994, 'Thermally controlled magnetic actuator (TCMA) using thermo sensitive magnetic materials', in *Proceedings of IEEE Microelectromechanical Systems Workshop, 1994*, IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, USA: 108–113, by permission of IEEE, © 1994 IEEE

Some challenges in switch design

- **High electric field** in small dimensions
 - Parts of metal surface may melt
 - Liquid metal damp conducts when switch is turned off
 - "Break-down" in dielectric
- **Self actuation**
 - If RF-signal modulates a DC voltage the beam can **self actuate**
 - May be beneficial to have **separate** pull-down electrodes
- **Integration of switch with IC**
 - (more on this in a future lecture)

Challenge: System-on-Chip (SoC)

Switch integrated on IC:

2318

IEEE JOURNAL OF SOLID-STATE CIRCUITS, VOL. 38, NO. 12, DECEMBER 2003

An Above IC MEMS RF Switch

Daniel Saias, Philippe Robert, Samuel Boret, Christophe Billard, Guillaume Bouche, Didier Belot, and Pascal Ancey




Fig. 9. Switch and driver die Micrograph.




Fig. 1. SEM view of the microswitch.

Comparing performance

TABLE II
RF SWITCHING DEVICES COMPARED PERFORMANCE

	FET switch [2]	SOI CMOS Tx/Rx Switch High Resistivity substrate [3]	Stand alone MEMS solution [4]	Integrated MEMS (this work)
Insertion Loss	2 @ 6GHz	0.7 @ 2.5GHz	0.15 @10GHz	0.4 @6GHz
Isolation (dB)	-20 @ 6GHz	-50 @ 2.5GHz	-15 @10GHz	-40 @6GHz
Rs series (Ohm)				2
Cup series (fF)				1
Size (um x um)	~1mm ²	0.02mm ²	120x260	300x900
Switching time	10ns	10ns	5.3us	~250us
Actuation	--	--	Electrostatic	Thermal + Electrostatic
Driver	--	--	External	Internal (~300umx300um)
Integration	GaAs embedded	SOI design / Separate Chip	Separate chip	embedded