Introduction to Cryptography

TEK 4500 (Fall 2020) Problem Set 4

Problem 1.

Read Chapter 7 in [BR] (Section 7.8 can be skipped, as can the proof of Theorem 7.5).

Problem 2.

The hex-string

5a8a55d2dea40512da9d0dd64863107aa3eb5a4d8f46967f

represents a ciphertext of the ASCII-encoded message M = "Transfer: \$10 to Bob", encrypted using the OTP. Modify the ciphertext so that it decrypts to \$100000.

Note: For reference, the above ciphertext was created using the following Python 3 code.

```
import os

m = bytes("Transfer: $10 to Bob", 'ascii')
k = os.urandom(len(m))
c = bytearray([a ^ b for (a,b) in zip(m,k)]) # note: bytarray is mutable
print(c.hex())
```

Problem 3. [Problem 10.1 in [Ros]]

Consider the following MAC scheme, where $F: \{0,1\}^k \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ is a secure PRF.

Show that the scheme is *not* a secure MAC. Describe an adversary and compute its UF-CMA advantage (see Fig. 1 for the formal definition).

```
\mathbf{Exp}^{\mathsf{uf\text{-}cma}}_{\Sigma}(\mathcal{A})
                                                                                           Tag_K(M):
                                                                                              1: S.add((M,T))
  1: K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \Sigma. Key Gen
                                                                                              2: T \leftarrow \Sigma.\mathsf{Tag}(K, M)
  2: won \leftarrow 0
                                                                                              3: return T
  3: S \leftarrow []
  4: \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{Tag}_K(\cdot),\mathrm{VF}_K(\cdot)}
                                                                                            VF_K(M,T):
   5: return won
                                                                                              1: d \leftarrow \Sigma.Vrfy(K, M, T)
                                                                                              2: if d = 1 and (M, T) \notin S then
                                                                                                          \mathsf{won} \leftarrow 1
                                                                                              4: return d
  \mathbf{Adv}_{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{uf-cma}}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\mathbf{Exp}_{\Sigma}^{\mathsf{uf-cma}}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow 1]
```

Figure 1: UF-CMA security experiment and UF-CMA-advantage definition.

Problem 4. [Problem 10.3 in [Ros]]

Suppose MAC is a secure MAC algorithm. Create a new MAC algorithm $\mathsf{MAC}'(K,M) = \mathsf{MAC}(K,M) \| \mathsf{MAC}(K,M) \|$. Define the Vrfy algorithm for MAC' and explain why MAC' is also a secure MAC algorithm.

Note: MAC' is not a secure PRF (why?). This illustrates that MAC security is different from PRF security.

Problem 5. [Problem 7.1 and 7.2 in [BR]]

Consider the following variants of CBC MAC, intended to allow one to MAC messages of arbitrary length. The domain for both MACs is $\{0,1\}^{n\cdot\ell}$ for $\ell=0,1,\ldots$ where n is the block length of the underlying blockcipher used by CBC-MAC (thus, the MACs takes as input arbitrary multiples of the block length n).

- a) $\mathsf{CBCv1}(K,M) = \mathsf{CBC}(K,M||M|)$, where |M| is the length of M, written in n bits. Show that $\mathsf{CBCv1}$ is completely insecure according to the UF-CMA definition (Fig. 1): break it with a constant number of queries.
- b) $\mathsf{CBCv2}((K,K'),M) = \mathsf{CBC}(K,M) \oplus K'$, where K' has n bits. Show that $\mathsf{CBCv2}$ is completely insecure according to the UF-CMA definition (Fig. 1): break it with a constant number of queries.

Problem 6. [Problem 6.1 in [BS]]

Consider the following MAC (a variant of this was used for WiFi encryption in 802.11b WEP), where $F: \{0,1\}^{128} \times \{0,1\}^{128} \to \{0,1\}^{32}$ is a is a PRF. Let CRC32 be a simple and

popular error-detecting code meant to detect random errors; CRC32 is a function that takes as input $M \in \{0,1\}^*$ and outputs a 32-bit string. Define the following scheme Σ :

$$\begin{array}{lll} \underline{\Sigma.\mathsf{KeyGen:}} & \underline{\Sigma.\mathsf{Tag}(K,M):} & \underline{\Sigma.\mathsf{Vafy}(K,M,(R,T)):} \\ 1: & K \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{128} & 1: & R \overset{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{128} \\ 2: & \mathbf{return} \ K & 2: & T \leftarrow F(K,R) \oplus \mathsf{CRC32}(M) \\ 3: & \mathbf{return} \ (R,T) & 3: & \mathbf{return} \ 1 \\ 4: & \mathbf{else} \\ 5: & \mathbf{return} \ 0 & \\ \end{array}$$

Show that this MAC system is insecure

Hint 1: One possible adversary creates a forgery by making one $Tag_K(\cdot)$ query and running for about 2^{32} time.

Hint 2: Another possible adversary makes one $Tag_K(\cdot)$ query, but runs in virtually no time using the following property of CRC32: $CRC32(M \oplus M') = CRC32(M) \oplus CRC32(M')$.

References

- [BR] Mihir Bellare and Phillip Rogaway. *Introduction to Modern Cryptography*. https://web.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/classes/227/spring05/book/main.pdf.
- [BS] Dan Boneh and Victor Shoup. *A Graduate Course in Applied Cryptography*, (version 0.5, Jan. 2020). https://toc.cryptobook.us/.
- [Ros] Mike Rosulek. *The Joy of Cryptography*, (draft Feb 6, 2020). https://web.engr.oregonstate.edu/~rosulekm/crypto/crypto.pdf.