Introduction to Cryptography

TEK 4500 (Fall 2021) Problem Set 4

Problem 1.

Read Chapter 7 in [BR] (Section 7.8 can be skipped, as can the proof of Theorem 7.5).

Problem 2.

The hex-string

5a8a55d2dea40512da9d0dd64863107aa3eb5a4d8f46967f

represents a ciphertext of the ASCII-encoded message M = "Transfer : \$10 to Bob", encrypted using the OTP. Modify the ciphertext so that it decrypts to \$100000.

Note: For reference, the above ciphertext was created using the following Python 3 code.

```
import os
m = bytes("Transfer: $10 to Bob", 'ascii')
k = os.urandom(len(m))
c = bytearray([a ^ b for (a,b) in zip(m,k)]) # note: bytarray is mutable
print(c.hex())
```

Problem 3. [Problem 10.1 in [Ros]]

Consider the following MAC scheme, where $F: \{0,1\}^k \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$ is a secure PRF.

$\frac{\Sigma.KeyGen:}{1: \ K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^k}$ 2: return K	$\frac{\sum \operatorname{Tag}(K, M_1 \ \cdots \ M_\ell)}{1: \ M \leftarrow 0^n} / \text{ each } M_i \text{ is } n \text{ bits}$ 2: for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ do 3: $M \leftarrow M \oplus M_i$
	4: return $F(K, M)$

Show that the scheme is *not* a secure MAC. Describe an adversary and compute its UF-CMA advantage (see Fig. 1 for the formal definition).

$ \frac{\mathbf{Exp}_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{uf-cma}}(\mathcal{A})}{1: K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \Sigma.KeyGen} \\ 2: won \leftarrow 0 \\ 3: S \leftarrow [] $	$ \frac{\operatorname{Tag}(M):}{1: S.\operatorname{add}((M,T))} \\ 2: T \leftarrow \Sigma.\operatorname{Tag}(K,M) \\ 3: return T $
 4: <i>A</i>^{TAG(·),VF(·)} 5: return won 	
$\mathbf{Adv}_{\Sigma}^{uf-cma}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\mathbf{Exp}_{\Sigma}^{uf-cma}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow 1]$	4: return d

Figure 1: UF-CMA security experiment and UF-CMA-advantage definition.

Problem 4. [Problem 10.3 in [Ros]]

Suppose MAC is a secure MAC algorithm. Create a new MAC algorithm MAC'(K, M) = MAC(K, M) || MAC(K, M). Define the Vrfy algorithm for MAC' and explain why MAC' is also a secure MAC algorithm.

Note: MAC' is not a secure PRF (why?). This illustrates that MAC security is different from PRF security.

Problem 5. [Problem 7.1 and 7.2 in [BR]]

Consider the following variants of CBC-MAC, intended to allow one to MAC messages of arbitrary length. The domain for both MACs is $\{0,1\}^{n \cdot \ell}$ for $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots$ where *n* is the block length of the underlying blockcipher used by CBC-MAC (thus, the MACs takes as input arbitrary multiples of the block length *n*).

- a) CBCv1(K, M) = CBC(K, M|||M|), where |M| is the length of M, written in n bits. Show that CBCv1 is completely insecure according to the UF-CMA definition (Fig. 1): break it with a constant number of queries.
- **b**) $CBCv2((K, K'), M) = CBC(K, M) \oplus K'$, where K' has n bits. Show that CBCv2 is completely insecure according to the UF-CMA definition (Fig. 1): break it with a constant number of queries.

Problem 6. [Problem 6.1 in [BS]]

Consider the following MAC (a variant of this was used for WiFi encryption in 802.11b WEP), where $F: \{0,1\}^{128} \times \{0,1\}^{128} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{32}$ is a is a PRF. Let CRC32 be a simple and

popular error-detecting code meant to detect random errors; CRC32 is a function that takes as input $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and outputs a 32-bit string. Define the following scheme Σ :

Σ .KeyGen:	$\Sigma.Tag(K, M)$:	Σ .Vrfy $(K, M, (R, T))$:
1: $K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{128}$ 2: return K	1: $R \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{128}$ 2: $T \leftarrow F(K,R) \oplus CRC32(M)$ 3: return (R,T)	1: $T' \leftarrow F(K, R) \oplus CRC32(M)$ 2: if $T' = T$ then 3: return 1 4: else 5: return 0

Show that this MAC system is insecure

Hint 1: One possible adversary creates a forgery by making one $Tag_K(\cdot)$ query and running for about 2^{32} time.

Hint 2: Another possible adversary makes one $T_{AG_K}(\cdot)$ query, but runs in virtually no time using the following property of CRC32: $CRC32(M \oplus M') = CRC32(M) \oplus CRC32(M')$.

Problem 7.

Let $F: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^n$ be an UF-CMA secure MAC.

a) Define another MAC $F' \colon \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^{2n}$ as follows:

 $F'_K(M||N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_K(M||N)||M,$

where $M, N \in \{0, 1\}^n$. That is, F' first applies F to the entire message, then appends the *first half* of the input (M) to the *end* of the output. Show that F' is UF-CMA secure.

b) Suppose instead of a secure PRF/PRP, CBC-MAC was instantiated with a fixed-length UF-CMA secure MAC as its internal building block. Show that this variant of CBC-MAC is not necessarily a secure MAC (even for fixed-length messages).

References

- [BR] Mihir Bellare and Phillip Rogaway. Introduction to Modern Cryptography. https: //web.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/classes/227/spring05/book/main.pdf.
- [BS] Dan Boneh and Victor Shoup. A Graduate Course in Applied Cryptography, (version 0.5, Jan. 2020). https://toc.cryptobook.us/.
- [Ros] Mike Rosulek. The Joy of Cryptography, (draft Feb 6, 2020). https://web.engr. oregonstate.edu/~rosulekm/crypto/crypto.pdf.