

# Introduction to Cryptography

TEK 4500 (Fall 2022)

Problem Set 10

## Problem 1.

Read Chapter 12 in [BR] (Section 12.3.6 can be skipped) and Chapter 10 in [PP] (Section 10.3 can be skipped).

## Problem 2.

Given an instance of the Textbook RSA signature scheme (Fig. 1) with public verification key  $vk = (e, n) = (131, 9797)$ , which of the following signatures are valid?

- a)  $(M, \sigma) = (123, 6292)$
- b)  $(M, \sigma) = (4333, 4768)$
- c)  $(M\sigma) = (4333, 1424)$

## Problem 3.

Given the same Textbook RSA instance as in Problem 2, make a forgery on the message  $M = 1234$ . Suppose you're in the UF-CMA setting, i.e., you have access to a signing oracle that returns signatures on messages of your choice.

## Problem 4. [Katz & Lindell]

Consider a padded RSA signature scheme where the public key is  $(n, e)$  as usual, and a signature on a message  $m \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$  is computed by choosing uniform  $r \in \{0, 1\}^{2n-\ell-1}$  and outputting  $(r||m)^d \pmod{n}$ .

- a) How is verification done in this scheme?
- b) Show that this padded RSA variant is not secure.

| <u>RSA.KeyGen:</u>                                | <u>RSA.Sign(<math>sk, M</math>):</u> | <u>RSA.Vrfy(<math>vk, M, \sigma</math>):</u> |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1: $p, q \xleftarrow{\$}$ two large prime numbers | 1: Parse $sk$ as $(d, n)$            | 1: Parse $vk$ as $(e, n)$                    |
| 2: $n \leftarrow p \cdot q$                       | 2: $\sigma \leftarrow M^d \pmod{n}$  | 2: <b>if</b> $\sigma^e = M \pmod{n}$ :       |
| 3: $\phi(n) = (p - 1) \cdot (q - 1)$              | 3: <b>return</b> $\sigma$            | 3: <b>return</b> 1                           |
| 4: <b>choose</b> $e \in \mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$   |                                      | 4: <b>else</b>                               |
| 5: $d \leftarrow e^{-1} \pmod{\phi(n)}$           |                                      | 5: <b>return</b> 0                           |
| 6: $sk \leftarrow (d, n)$                         |                                      |  |
| 7: $vk \leftarrow (e, n)$                         |                                      |  |
| 8: <b>return</b> $(sk, vk)$                       |                                      |  |

**Figure 1:** The Textbook RSA signature scheme.

**Problem 5.**

The Schnorr signature scheme, like ElGamal encryption and the Diffie-Hellman protocol, is based on the discrete log problem. A simplified variant of the Schnorr signature scheme is shown in Fig. 2. It is defined over a cyclic group  $(G, \star) = \langle g \rangle$  having prime order  $q$ . Note that the message space of this simplified scheme is  $\mathbf{Z}_q$ , i.e, the integers 0 to  $q - 1$ . As a convention we use uppercase letters for the elements in the group  $G$  (except for the generator element  $g$ ) and lowercase letters for integers.

a) Show that Simplified Schnorr is a correct signature scheme, i.e., for every key  $(d, D) \xleftarrow{\$}$  KeyGen and every message  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ , show that  $\text{Vrfy}(D, m, \text{Sign}(d, m)) = 1$ .

Let  $p = 2 \cdot 11 + 1 = 23$  and consider the group  $(\mathbf{Z}_{23}^*, \cdot)$

b) List all the subgroups of  $(\mathbf{Z}_{23}^*, \cdot)$ .

Let  $G < (\mathbf{Z}_{23}^*, \cdot)$  be the subgroup of  $(\mathbf{Z}_{23}^*, \cdot)$  having order  $q = 11$  and assume we use 2 as the generator of  $G$ . In the following subproblems suppose we instantiate the Simplified Schnorr signature scheme with the group  $G = \langle 2 \rangle$ .

| <u>KeyGen:</u>                         | <u>Sign(<math>d, m \in \mathbf{Z}_q</math>):</u> | <u>Vrfy(<math>D, m, \sigma</math>):</u>            |
|--|--|--|
| 1: $d \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q\}$ | 1: $k \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q\}$           | 1: Parse $\sigma$ as $(R, s)$                      |
| 2: $D \leftarrow g^d$                  | 2: $R \leftarrow g^k$                            | 2: <b>return</b> $D^m \star R \stackrel{?}{=} g^s$ |
| 3: <b>return</b> $(d, D)$              | 3: $s \leftarrow dm + k \pmod{q}$                |  |
|  | 4: <b>return</b> $(R, s)$                        |  |

**Figure 2:** The Simplified Schnorr signature scheme.

- c) Suppose we use  $d = 5$  as our private signing key. Compute public verification key.
- d) Suppose during signing of the message  $m = 8$  we draw the random element  $k = 7$ . What is the corresponding signature on  $m$ ?
- e) Verify the signature computed in d).
- f) Unfortunately, Simplified Schnorr is *not secure*! Show how you can break Simplified Schnorr by forging on an arbitrary message  $m \in \mathbf{Z}_q$ .

Another problem with Simplified Schnorr (beside it being completely insecure!) is that the message space is limited to the integers in  $\mathbf{Z}_q$ . In real life we want to sign arbitrary bit strings of any length, i.e. we want our message space to be  $\{0, 1\}^*$ . As always, the solution is to use hash functions. Concretely, the *actual* Schnorr scheme also uses a hash function  $H : G \times \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_q$ , i.e. the hash function takes in a *pair* of elements  $(X, M)$  where  $X \in G$  is a group element and  $M \in \{0, 1\}^*$  is the message. The signing algorithm of actual Schnorr is shown below.

Sign( $d, M \in \{0, 1\}^*$ ):

- 1:  $k \xleftarrow{\$} \{1, \dots, q\}$
- 2:  $r \leftarrow H(g^k, M)$
- 3:  $s \leftarrow dr + k \pmod{q}$
- 4: **return**  $(r, s)$

- g) Describe the corresponding verification algorithm of the actual Schnorr signature scheme and show that the scheme is correct.
- h) What happens if you try to run your attack from f) on the actual Schnorr scheme?

**Problem 6.**

- a) The Schnorr signature scheme (both simplified and actual) has a very sharp edge: if the same random value  $k$  is ever used to sign two different messages then an attacker can obtain the private signing key  $d$ ! Show this.

**Hint:** Suppose you are given two signatures  $\sigma = (r, s)$  and  $\sigma' = (r', s')$  that both used the same value  $k$  during signing. What is  $s - s'$ ?

- b) Given the catastrophic failure mode of Schnorr on  $k$  reuse it would be good if we didn't have to rely on any randomness at all. And this turns out to be possible! To do this, on Line 1 of the (actual) Schnorr Sign algorithm, instead of picking  $k$  at random, we instead derive it as

- 1:  $k \leftarrow H(sk, M)$
- 2: ...

where  $sk = d$  is the long-term private signing key of Schnorr,  $M$  is the message to be signed, and  $H$  is a hash function. Explain why this solves the problem of  $k$  reuse.

- c) Unfortunately, it turns out that making Schnorr deterministic also makes it more vulnerable to [certain side-channel attacks](#) that are able to measure the power drawn while signing. Suggest a way of bringing non-determinism back to deterministic Schnorr, but without re-introducing the  $k$ -reuse problem.

## References

- [BR] Mihir Bellare and Phillip Rogaway. *Introduction to Modern Cryptography*. <https://web.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/classes/227/spring05/book/main.pdf>.
- [PP] Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl. *Understanding Cryptography - A Textbook for Students and Practitioners*. Springer, 2010.