# Introduction to Cryptography

TEK 4500 (Fall 2022) Problem Set 4

# Problem 1.

Read Chapter 7 in [BR] (Section 7.8 can be skipped, as can the proof of Theorem 7.5).

### Problem 2.

The hex-string

b393d9ab1410d9c73660a1b18b18e56e0b60d664cb547f31

represents a ciphertext of the ASCII-encoded message M = "Transfer : \$000010 to Bob", encrypted using the OTP. Modify the ciphertext so that it decrypts to \$123456.

Note: For reference, the above ciphertext was created using the following Python 3 code.

```
import secrets
m = bytes("Transfer: $000010 to Bob", 'ascii')
k = secrets.token_bytes(len(m))
c = bytearray([a ^ b for (a,b) in zip(m,k)])
print(c.hex())
```

# Problem 3. [Problem 10.1 in [Ros]]

Consider the following MAC scheme, where  $F: \{0,1\}^k \times \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  is a secure PRF.

$\Sigma$ .KeyGen:	$\Sigma.Tag(K, M_1 \  \cdots \  M_\ell)$ : // each $M_i$ is n bits
1: $K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^k$ 2: return $K$	1: $M \leftarrow 0^n$ 2: for $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ do 3: $M \leftarrow M \oplus M_i$ 4: return $F(K, M)$

Show that the scheme is *not* a secure MAC. Describe an adversary and compute its UF-CMA advantage (see Fig. 1 for the formal definition).

$ \frac{\mathbf{Exp}_{\Sigma}^{uf-cma}(\mathcal{A})}{1: K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \Sigma.KeyGen} \\ 2: won \leftarrow 0 \\ 3: S \leftarrow [] \\ 4: \mathcal{A}^{Tag(\cdot),VF(\cdot)} $	$ \frac{\operatorname{Tag}(M):}{1: S.\operatorname{add}(M)} \\ 2: T \leftarrow \Sigma.\operatorname{Tag}(K, M) \\ 3: \text{ return } T $
4: $\mathcal{A}^{\text{rad}(), \text{vr}()}$ 5: return won	$\begin{array}{l} \underbrace{\operatorname{VF}(M,T):} \\ \hline 1: \ d \leftarrow \Sigma.\operatorname{Vrfy}(K,M,T) \\ 2: \ \mathbf{if} \ d = 1 \ \mathbf{and} \ M \notin S \ \mathbf{then} \\ 3: \qquad \operatorname{won} \leftarrow 1 \\ 4: \ \mathbf{return} \ d \end{array}$
$\mathbf{Adv}_{\Sigma}^{uf-cma}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\mathbf{Exp}_{\Sigma}^{uf-cma}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow 1]$	



# Problem 4. [Problem 10.3 in [Ros]]

Suppose MAC = (Tag, Vrfy) is a secure MAC algorithm. Create a new MAC algorithm MAC' = (Tag', Vrfy'), where Tag'(K, M) = Tag(K, M) || Tag(K, M). Define the Vrfy algorithm for MAC' and explain why MAC' is also a secure MAC algorithm.

**Note:** Tag' is not a secure PRF (why?). This illustrates that MAC security is different from PRF security.

#### Problem 5. [Problem 7.1 and 7.2 in [BR]]

Consider the following variants of CBC-MAC, intended to allow one to MAC messages of arbitrary length.<sup>1</sup> The domain for all MACs is  $\{0,1\}^{n \cdot \ell}$  for  $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots$  where *n* is the block length of the underlying blockcipher used by CBC-MAC (thus, the MACs takes as input arbitrary multiples of the block length *n*). Show that all these variants are completely insecure according to the UF-CMA definition (Fig. 1): break them with a constant number of queries.

**a**) CBCv1(K, M) = CBC(K, M || |M|), where |M| is the length of M, written in n bits.

**Hint:** You can do this with 3 TAG queries: two queries having 1 (message) block, and one query having 3 (message) blocks. The VF query should have 3 (message) blocks and use elements from all 3 TAG queries.

**b**)  $\mathsf{CBCv2}((K, K'), M) = \mathsf{CBC}(K, M) \oplus K'$ , where K' has n bits.

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  We're slightly abusing notation and use CBC, CBCv1,  $\ldots$  , to denote both the MAC algorithm and the Tag function.

c) CBCv3(K, M) = (IV, CBC(K, IV||M)), where IV is drawn at random from  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . That is, this an IV-based version of CBC-MAC.

### **Problem 6.** [Problem 6.1 in [BS]]

Consider the following MAC (a variant of this was used for WiFi encryption in 802.11b WEP), where  $F: \{0,1\}^{128} \times \{0,1\}^{128} \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{32}$  is a PRF. Let CRC32 be a simple and popular error-detecting code meant to detect random errors; CRC32 is a function that takes as input  $M \in \{0,1\}^*$  and outputs a 32-bit string. Define the following scheme  $\Sigma$ :

$\Sigma$ .KeyGen:	$\Sigma.Tag(K,M)$ :	$\Sigma$ .Vrfy $(K, M, (R, T))$ :
1: $K \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0,1\}^{128}$ 2: return $K$	1: $R \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \{0, 1\}^{128}$ 2: $T \leftarrow F(K, R) \oplus CRC32(M)$ 3: return $(R, T)$	1: $T' \leftarrow F(K, R) \oplus CRC32(M)$ 2: if $T' = T$ then 3: return 1 4: else 5: return 0

Show that this MAC system is insecure

**Hint 1:** One possible adversary creates a forgery by making one  $T_{AG}(\cdot)$  query and running for about  $2^{32}$  time.

**Hint 2:** Another possible adversary makes one TaG(·) query, but runs in virtually no time using the following property of CRC32: CRC32( $M \oplus M'$ ) = CRC32(M)  $\oplus$  CRC32(M').

#### Problem 7.

Let  $F: \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^n$  be an UF-CMA secure MAC.<sup>2</sup>

**a**) Define another MAC  $F' \colon \mathcal{K} \times \{0,1\}^{2n} \to \{0,1\}^{2n}$  as follows:

$$F'_K(M||N) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_K(M||N)||M,$$

where  $M, N \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . That is, F' first applies F to the entire message, then appends the *first half* of the input (M) to the *end* of the output. Show that F' is UF-CMA secure.

**b**) Suppose instead of a secure PRF, CBC-MAC was instantiated with a fixed-length UF-CMA secure MAC as its internal building block. Show that this variant of CBC-MAC is not necessarily a secure MAC (even for fixed-length messages).

Hint: Use a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We're slightly abusing notation and use F to denote both the MAC algorithm and the Tag function.

# References

- [BR] Mihir Bellare and Phillip Rogaway. Introduction to Modern Cryptography. https://web.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/classes/227/spring05/book/main.pdf.
- [BS] Dan Boneh and Victor Shoup. A Graduate Course in Applied Cryptography, (version 0.5, Jan. 2020). https://toc.cryptobook.us/.
- [Ros] Mike Rosulek. The Joy of Cryptography, (draft Feb 6, 2020). https://web.engr. oregonstate.edu/~rosulekm/crypto/crypto.pdf.