

# Introduction to Cryptography

TEK 4500 (Fall 2022)

Problem Set 6

## Problem 1.

Read Chapter 11 in [PP] and Chapter 6 in [BR] + Appendix A in [BR] (Birthday problem).

## Problem 2.

Suppose we have three different hash functions producing output of lengths 64, 128 and 160 bits. How many random computations do you approximately need in order to find a collision with probability  $p = 0.5$ ? How many different random hash values do you approximately need to find a collision with probability  $p = 0.1$ ?

**Hint:** Use whatever formulation of the birthday paradox you want.

## Problem 3.

Suppose  $H_1, H_2 : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  are two hash functions for which we know that at least one is collision-resistant. Unfortunately, we don't know which. Consider now the following derived hash functions.

- a)  $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}$ , defined by  $H(X) = H_1(X) \| H_2(X)$ . Is  $H$  collision-resistant? Justify your answer.
- b)  $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  defined by  $H(X) = H_2(H_1(X))$  (here we assume that  $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathcal{M}$ ). Is  $H$  collision-resistant? What about  $H(X) = H_1(H_2(X))$ ? Justify your answer.

## Problem 4. [2nd-preimage-resistance]

The two main security properties for hash functions are *collision-resistance* and *one-wayness*. However, there is also a third security property commonly defined for hash functions called *2nd preimage-resistance*. In a 2nd-preimage attack the adversary is given  $X \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $Y \leftarrow H(X)$ , and then asked to find a *different*  $X' \in \mathcal{M}$  that hash to the same value as  $X$ . That is: given  $X$  and  $Y$ , find  $X' \neq X$  such that  $H(X') = H(X) = Y$ . In other words, the adversary is asked to find a *second* pre-image for  $Y$ , hence the name. See Fig.1 for the formal definitions. Note that 2nd preimage-resistance is a *weaker* security requirement than collision-resistance, i.e., we're asking for *more* from the adversary. Indeed, for finite  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and assuming  $|\mathcal{M}| \gg |\mathcal{Y}|$ , we have

collision-resistance  $\implies$  2nd preimage-resistance  $\implies$  one-wayness.

$\text{Exp}_H^{\text{cr}}(\mathcal{A})$ :	$\text{Exp}_H^{2\text{pre}}(\mathcal{A})$ :	$\text{Exp}_H^{\text{ow}}(\mathcal{A})$ :
1: $(X_1, X_2) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_H$	1: $X \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{M}$	1: $X \xleftarrow{\$} \mathcal{M}$
2: <b>if</b> $X_1 \neq X_2 \wedge H(X_1) = H(X_2)$ :	2: $Y \leftarrow H(X)$	2: $Y \leftarrow H(X)$
3: <b>return</b> 1	3: $X' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_H(X, Y)$	3: $X' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}_H(Y)$
4: <b>else</b>	4: <b>if</b> $X' \neq X \wedge H(X') = Y$ :	4: <b>if</b> $H(X') = Y$ :
5: <b>return</b> 0	5: <b>return</b> 1	5: <b>return</b> 1
	6: <b>else</b>	6: <b>else</b>
	7: <b>return</b> 0	7: <b>return</b> 0
$\text{Adv}_H^{\text{cr}}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_H^{\text{cr}}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow 1]$		
$\text{Adv}_H^{2\text{pre}}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_H^{2\text{pre}}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow 1]$		
$\text{Adv}_H^{\text{ow}}(\mathcal{A}) = \Pr[\text{Exp}_H^{\text{ow}}(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow 1]$		

**Figure 1:** Security definitions for collision-resistance, 2nd preimage-resistance, and one-wayness for a hash function  $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ .

- a) Explain why the first implication above holds, i.e., why collision-resistance implies 2nd preimage-resistance.
- b) Suppose  $\{0, 1\}^{200} \subset \mathcal{M}$  and that  $H : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  is a collision-resistant hash function. Now define  $H' : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  as follows:

$$H'(X) = \begin{cases} 0^{200} & \text{if } X = 0^{200} \text{ or } X = 1^{200} \\ H(X) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $H'$  is 2nd preimage-resistant, but not collision-resistant.

### Problem 5.

Suppose that  $F : \{0, 1\}^m \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  is a one-way secure permutation. Define  $H : \{0, 1\}^{2m} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  as follows. Given  $X \in \{0, 1\}^{2m}$ , write

$$X = X' || X'',$$

where  $X', X'' \in \{0, 1\}^m$ . Then define

$$H(X) = F(X' \oplus X'').$$

Is  $H$  one-way? Is it 2nd preimage-resistant? Justify your answers.

### Problem 6.

Suppose  $H_1 : \{0, 1\}^{2m} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  is a collision resistant hash function.

a) Define  $H_2 : \{0, 1\}^{4m} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  as follows:

- Write  $X \in \{0, 1\}^{4m}$  as  $X = X_1 || X_2$ , where  $X_1, X_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{2m}$
- Define  $H_2(X) = H_1(H_1(X_1) || H_1(X_2))$ .

Prove that  $H_2$  is collision resistant.

b) For an integer  $i \geq 2$ , define a hash function  $H_i : \{0, 1\}^{2^i m} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^m$  as follows:

- Write  $X \in \{0, 1\}^{2^i m}$  as  $X = X_1 || X_2$ , where  $X_1, X_2 \in \{0, 1\}^{2^{i-1} m}$
- Define  $H_i(x) = H_1(H_{i-1}(X_1) || H_{i-1}(X_2))$ .

Prove that  $H_i$  is collision resistant.

**Problem 7.** [Problem 11.3 in [Ros]]

I've designed a hash function  $H : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ . One of my ideas is to make  $H(X) = X$  if  $X$  is an  $n$ -bit string (assume the behavior of  $H$  is much more complicated on inputs of other lengths). That way, we know with certainty that there are no collisions among  $n$ -bit strings. Have I made a good design decision?

**Problem 8.** [Davies-Meyer alternatives]

Recall that the Davies-Meyer construction is a way of turning a block cipher  $E : \{0, 1\}^b \times \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  into a collision-resistant compression function  $h : \{0, 1\}^{n+b} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$  as:

$$h(V || M) = E(M, V) \oplus V.$$

Here we look at some alternative constructions to Davies-Meyer that all turn out to be insecure. Show that none of the compression functions below are collision-resistant. For b) and c) we assume that  $b = n$ .

- a)  $h_1(V || M) = E(M, V)$
- b)  $h_2(V || M) = E(M, V) \oplus M$
- c)  $h_3(V || M) = E(V, V \oplus M) \oplus V$

## References

- [BR] Mihir Bellare and Phillip Rogaway. *Introduction to Modern Cryptography*. <https://web.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/classes/227/spring05/book/main.pdf>.
- [PP] Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl. *Understanding Cryptography - A Textbook for Students and Practitioners*. Springer, 2010.
- [Ros] Mike Rosulek. *The Joy of Cryptography*, (draft Feb 6, 2020). <https://web.engr.oregonstate.edu/~rosulekm/crypto/crypto.pdf>.