

LP. Lecture 2. Chapter 2: the simplex method, cont.

- ▶ initialization
- ▶ two phases
- ▶ unbounded solution
- ▶ geometry

Repetition

- ▶ LP problem
- ▶ feasible solution, optimal solution
- ▶ dictionary
- ▶ basis, basic variable and nonbasic variable
- ▶ pivot
- ▶ see example from Lecture 1

Initialization

LP problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{subj.to} \quad & \\ & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce **slack variables** and obtain the **dictionary**:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ w_i &= b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

So if $b_i \geq 0$ for all $i \leq m$ we find an initial feasible solution by letting

$$\begin{aligned} w_i &= b_i \quad \text{for all } i \leq m \text{ and} \\ x_j &= 0 \quad \text{for all } j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Problem: what if some of the b_i 's are negative?

Solution:

- ▶ consider finding a first feasible solution as a new problem
- ▶ and this problem may be written as an LP problem !!!!
- ▶ the new LP problem is called the **Phase I problem**
- ▶ fortunately: it is easy to find an initial feasible solution of the phase I problem !

Phase I problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max & && -x_0 \\ \text{subject to} & && \\ & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j - x_0 &\leq b_i & \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m \\ & x_j &\geq 0 & \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Note: this is also an LP problem. We denote it by **LP-I**.

The Phase I problem:

- ▶ same variables as before, but one extra variable x_0
- ▶ the original objective function is replaced by $-x_0$. We want to *maximize* $-x_0$. This is equivalent to *minimize* x_0 .
- ▶ the constraints are “almost as before” and they are

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i + x_0$$

Interpretation of the Phase I problem:

The Phase I problem determines if the original problem has a feasible solution (which may be highly nontrivial). And, if the answer is positive, then it also find a feasible solution. More on this:

- ▶ think about x_0 as an increase of each right-hand side b_i .

- ▶ If we find a feasible solution of LP-I where this increase is 0 ($x_0 = 0$), then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j \leq b_i + x_0 = b_i$$

so then (x_1, \dots, x_n) is a feasible solution in the original LP problem! Great!

- ▶ If there is no feasible solution in LP-I with $x_0 \leq 0$, then the original problem does not have any feasible solution either. Why?

Initialization, example

An LP problem (with at least one negative b_i):

$$\begin{array}{llll} \max & -2x_1 & - & x_2 \\ \text{subj. to} & & & \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 \leq -1 \\ & -x_1 & - & 2x_2 \leq -2 \\ & & & x_2 \leq 1 \\ & & & x_1, x_2 \geq 0 . \end{array}$$

This gives the **Phase I problem**:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \max & & & -x_0 \\ \text{subj. to} & & & \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 - x_0 \leq -1 \\ & -x_1 & - & 2x_2 - x_0 \leq -2 \\ & & & x_2 - x_0 \leq 1 \\ & & & x_0, x_1, x_2 \geq 0 . \end{array}$$

This is the first dictionary. Which is nonfeasible!!? (Why?)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \xi & = & -x_0 \\
 \hline
 w_1 & = & -1 + x_1 - x_2 + x_0 \\
 w_2 & = & -2 + x_1 + 2x_2 + x_0 \\
 w_3 & = & 1 - x_2 + x_0
 \end{array}$$

But it can be converted into a feasible dictionary by a pivot! We let x_0 enter the basis (interpretation: we need a surplus on the right-hand side) and let the variable which is the most negative leave the basis. This is w_2 here.

Result:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \xi & = & -2 + x_1 + 2x_2 - w_2 \\
 \hline
 w_1 & = & 1 - 3x_2 + w_2 \\
 x_0 & = & 2 - x_1 - 2x_2 + w_2 \\
 w_3 & = & 3 - x_1 - 3x_2 + w_2
 \end{array}$$

This is a feasible dictionary, and the corresponding basic solution is

$$w_1 = 1, x_0 = 2, w_3 = 3 \quad \text{and the other variables are zero.}$$

What next? Since we have a feasible dictionary (i.e., a dictionary with a basic feasible solution) we proceed with the simplex algorithm from this solution. So: **pivot until we have found an optimal solution.** (We use floating point numbers to increase readability).

1. iteration: **x_2 into the basis and w_1 out**, which gives:

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \xi & = & -1.33 & + & x_1 & - & 0.67w_1 & - & 0.33w_2 \\ \hline x_2 & = & 0.33 & & & - & 0.33w_1 & + & 0.33w_2 \\ x_0 & = & 1.33 & - & x_1 & + & 0.67w_1 & + & 0.33w_2 \\ w_3 & = & 2 & - & x_1 & + & w_1 & & \end{array}$$

2. iteration: x_1 into the basis and x_0 out, which gives:

$$\begin{array}{rcllcl} \xi & = & 0 & - & x_0 \\ \hline x_2 & = & 0.33 & & - 0.33w_1 + 0.33w_2 \\ x_1 & = & 1.33 & - & x_0 + 0.67w_1 + 0.33w_2 \\ w_3 & = & 0.67 & + & x_0 + 0.33w_1 - 0.33w_2 \end{array}$$

We see that this dictionary is optimal! So we have solved the Phase I problem.

Since the optimal value is 0, there is a feasible solution in the original LP problem. Namely: $x_1 = 4/3, x_2 = 1/3$. Check this!

Furthermore, we can write down a feasible dictionary for the original LP problem by

- ▶ removing x_0 from the optimal dictionary in Phase I, and
- ▶ reintroduce the original objective function:

$$\begin{array}{rcllcl} \eta & = & -3 & - & w_1 & - & w_2 \\ \hline x_2 & = & 0.33 & - & 0.33w_1 & + & 0.33w_2 \\ x_1 & = & 1.33 & + & 0.67w_1 & + & 0.33w_2 \\ w_3 & = & 0.67 & + & 0.33w_1 & - & 0.33w_2 \end{array}$$

Now we have a feasible dictionary (and a basic feasible solution) and we solve this problem using the simplex algorithm. We call this the **Phase II problem**.

By chance, this dictionary is already optimal, so no pivot was necessary. Normally several pivots are required to solve the Phase II problem.

Initialization

Summary:

- ▶ the method in the example **may be used in general**.
- ▶ **Phase I**: solve the Phase I problem to find, if possible, an initial feasible solution (actually a basic feasible solution). This is a feasible starting point for the next phase.
- ▶ **Phase II**: solve the Phase II problem using the solution from Phase I as the starting point. We then find, using the simplex algorithm, an optimal solution of the original LP problem or, possibly, an unbounded solution.

Unbounded solution

Next topic: some problems have an **unbounded value!**

Look closer at a **pivot**. Recall:

- ▶ an index k is moved from N to B (x_k is **entering variable**; new basic variable because it increases η ,
- ▶ another index l is moved from B to N (x_l is **leaving variable**; this variable leaves the basis because it becomes zero, and
- ▶ we find a new feasible dictionary from the previous one by **row operations**.

Possible problem: it could be that when the ingoing variable x_k is increased, then none of the basic variables become zero! Example:

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \eta & = & 2 & + & 3x_4 & - & x_5 \\ \hline x_1 & = & 1 & + & x_4 & + & x_5 \\ x_2 & = & 2 & + & 5x_4 & + & x_5 \\ x_3 & = & 0 & & & - & x_5 \end{array}$$

We wish to take x_4 into the basis, and see that no basic variable becomes zero.

Conclusion: may increase x_4 without bounds and thereby obtain arbitrarily large value on the objective function η . We then say that the problem is **unbounded**, and that the optimal “value” is ∞ . Further, we see that if x moves along the ray

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (1, 2, 0, 0, 0) + (1, 5, 0, 1, 0)x_4$$

where $x_4 \geq 0$, then $\eta \rightarrow \infty$.

What can be said in general?

Let x_k be the variable that enters the basis. If all the coefficients (in the dictionary) of x_k are nonnegative, then the same thing as above will happen.

So:

- ▶ unbounded value, and
- ▶ we find a ray where η goes towards infinity.

Geometry

Geometry for LP in two variables (figure!):

- ▶ **feasible set**: polyhedron P .
- ▶ **feasible basic solution**: vertex.
- ▶ **pivot**: move along an edge between two neighbor vertices.
- ▶ the **level set** $\{x : c^T x = \alpha\}$: line orthogonal to c , translate this line until it becomes a tangent to P .
- ▶ the similar geometry for several variables: see later (convexity).

Final comments

When we solve an LP problem the following possibilities are present:

1. the problem **has no feasible solution**. If so, this is determined in Phase I.
2. the problem has a feasible solution, but no optimal solution because the **problem is unbounded**.
3. the problem is feasible but not unbounded, and we terminate with an **optimal solution**

And these are in fact *all* possibilities

But the reason for this is nontrivial. We need to prove that the simplex algorithm always terminates.

- ▶ We have so far assumed that all basic variables are positive, but we have not discussed what happens if at least one of them is zero!
- ▶ This is the topic we consider in Lecture 3.