

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Examination in: MAT-INF3600 — Mathematical logic.

Day of examination: Monday, December 10, 2012.

Examination hours: 9:00–13:00.

This problem set consists of 3 pages.

Appendices: None.

Permitted aids: None.

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

Part I

Let \mathcal{L} be a countable first-order language.

Problem 1

What does it mean that a set of \mathcal{L} -sentences is consistent?

Problem 2

State the Deduction Theorem for first-order logic.

Problem 3

Let Σ be a consistent set of \mathcal{L} -formulas, and let ϕ be an \mathcal{L} -sentence. Prove that at least one of the sets $\Sigma \cup \{\phi\}$ and $\Sigma \cup \{\neg\phi\}$ is consistent.

Problem 4

Let $\{\Sigma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite sequence of consistent sets of \mathcal{L} -sentences such that $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1 \subseteq \Sigma_2 \subseteq \dots$. Prove that the set $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Sigma_i$ is consistent.

Problem 5

Show that any consistent set of \mathcal{L} -sentences can be extended to a maximal consistent set.

(Continued on page 2.)

Part II

Let \mathcal{L} be the first-order language $\{c_0, S\}$ where S is a binary relation symbol and c_0 is a constant symbol. We will write the relation symbol in infix notation. Let T be the \mathcal{L} -theory consisting of the non-logical axioms

$$(A_1) \quad \forall x[\neg xSx]$$

$$(A_2) \quad \forall x[\neg xSc_0]$$

$$(A_3) \quad \forall xyz[xSy \wedge xSz \rightarrow y = z]$$

$$(A_4) \quad \forall xyz[ySx \wedge zSx \rightarrow y = z]$$

$$(A_5) \quad \forall x[x \neq c_0 \rightarrow \exists y[ySx]].$$

Problem 6

Give a model for T where the universe is $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Problem 7

Prove that $T \vdash xSy \rightarrow y \neq x$ by giving a T -derivation.

Let x_1, x_2, \dots be variables. For $n \geq 1$, we define the formula ϕ_n by

- $\phi_1 \equiv c_0Sx_1$
- $\phi_{n+1} \equiv \phi_n \wedge x_nSx_{n+1}$.

Lemma (A). Let i, j, n be natural numbers such that $1 \leq n$ and $i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$. Then

$$T \vdash \phi_n \rightarrow x_i \neq x_j .$$

Problem 8

Name the axioms of T that will be needed in a proof of Lemma (A), and name the axioms of T that will not be needed in a proof of Lemma (A). (You are not asked to prove the lemma.)

We extend the language \mathcal{L} by infinitely many constants c_1, c_2, \dots . For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we extend the theory T by the axiom c_iSc_{i+1} . An \mathcal{L} -formula is atomic if it is in form t_1St_2 or in the form $t_1 = t_2$ (for some terms t_1 and t_2).

(Continued on page 3.)

Problem 9

Let α be a variable-free atomic formula. Show that $T \vdash \alpha$ or $T \vdash \neg\alpha$.

Let \mathfrak{N} be the \mathcal{L} structure where the universe is the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} and

- $c_i^{\mathfrak{N}} = i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$
- $S^{\mathfrak{N}} = \{\langle i, i + 1 \rangle \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

We define a Σ -formula inductively by

- α and $\neg\alpha$ are Σ -formulas if α is an atomic formula
- $(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ is a Σ -formula if α and β are Σ -formulas
- $(\alpha \vee \beta)$ is a Σ -formula if α and β are Σ -formulas
- $(\exists x)(\alpha)$ is a Σ -formula if α is a Σ -formula and x is a variable.

Theorem (B). Let $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be a Σ -formula with free variables x_1, \dots, x_m , and let t_1, \dots, t_m be variable-free \mathcal{L} -terms. Then,

$$\mathfrak{N} \models \psi(t_1, \dots, t_m) \Rightarrow T \vdash \psi(t_1, \dots, t_m).$$

Problem 10

Prove Theorem (B).

Problem 11

Show that theory T is incomplete, that is, show that there exists an \mathcal{L} -formula θ such that $T \not\vdash \theta$ and $T \not\vdash \neg\theta$.

END