

# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Examination in: MAT-INF3600 — Mathematical logic.

Day of examination: Tuesday, December 1, 2020.

Examination hours: 15:00 – 19:00.

This problem set consists of 4 pages.

Appendices: None.

Permitted aids: None.

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

*The weights might be adjusted.*

## PART I

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be unary relation symbols. Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be constant symbols. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be unary function symbols. Furthermore,  $x$  and  $y$  denote variables.

### Problem 1 (weight 10 %)

Let  $\Sigma = \{\forall x[Px]\}$ . Give a full  $\Sigma$ -deduction of  $\forall x[Qc \rightarrow Px]$ . Name all the logical axioms and inference rules involved in the deduction.

### Problem 2 (weight 10 %)

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language  $\{P, Q, c\}$ . Give an  $\mathcal{L}$  structure  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} \models \forall x[Qc \rightarrow Px]$  and  $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \forall x[Px]$ . Explain briefly why we have  $\{\forall x[Qc \rightarrow Px]\} \not\models \forall x[Px]$ .

### Problem 3 (weight 10 %)

**Ten Questions:** Answer each question with a YES or a NO (and nothing else). If you do not answer a question, your answer to that question will be considered as wrong.

1. Is the set  $\{a \neq b, f(a) = f(b)\}$  consistent?
2. Is the set  $\{a = b, f(a) \neq f(b)\}$  consistent?
3. Is the set  $\{f(a) = g(b), g(b) = f(c), g(f(a)) \neq g(f(c))\}$  consistent?
4. Is the set  $\{\forall xy[f(x) \neq f(y)], \exists xy[f(x) \neq f(y)]\}$  consistent?
5. Is the set  $\{\forall xy[f(x) = f(y)], \exists xy[f(x) = f(y)]\}$  consistent?

*(Continued on page 2.)*

6. Does  $\forall x[f(x) = x]$  follow logically from  $\{\forall x[f(f(x)) = f(x)], \forall x[g(f(x)) = x]\}$ ?
7. Does  $\forall xy[x \neq y \rightarrow f(x) \neq f(y)]$  follow logically from  $\{\forall x[g(f(x)) = x]\}$ ?
8. Does  $\forall x\exists y[f(y) = x]$  follow logically from  $\{\forall x[g(f(x)) = x]\}$ ?
9. Does  $\forall x[f(g(x)) = x]$  follow logically from  $\{\forall x[g(f(x)) = x]\}$ ?
10. Does  $\exists x[g(x) = c]$  follow logically from  $\{\forall x[g(f(x)) = x]\}$ ?

## PART II

Let  $e$  be a constant symbol, let  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  be unary function symbols, let  $\circ$  be a binary function symbol and, furthermore, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the first-order language  $\{e, S_0, S_1, \circ\}$  and  $T$  be the  $\mathcal{L}$ -theory consisting of the non-logical axioms

$$(T_1) \quad \forall xy[ S_0(x) \neq e \wedge S_1(x) \neq e ]$$

$$(T_2) \quad \forall xy[ x \neq y \rightarrow (S_0(x) \neq S_0(y) \wedge S_1(x) \neq S_1(y)) ]$$

$$(T_3) \quad \forall xy[ S_0(x) \neq S_1(y) ]$$

$$(T_4) \quad \forall x[ e \circ x = x ]$$

$$(T_5) \quad \forall xy[ S_0(y) \circ x = S_0(y \circ x) ]$$

$$(T_6) \quad \forall xy[ S_1(y) \circ x = S_1(y \circ x) ].$$

We have  $T \vdash S_0(e) \circ S_0(e) \neq S_1(e)$  and  $T \vdash S_0(S_0(e)) \neq S_0(e)$ .

### Problem 4 (weight 5 %)

Name the non-logical axioms of  $T$  we need to deduce  $S_0(e) \circ S_0(e) \neq S_1(e)$ . Give a brief answer.

### Problem 5 (weight 5 %)

Name the non-logical axioms of  $T$  we need to deduce  $S_0(S_0(e)) \neq S_0(e)$ . Give a brief answer.

### Problem 6 (weight 10 %)

Show that  $\{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5, T_6\} \not\vdash T_3$ .

### Problem 7 (weight 10 %)

Show that  $\{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5, T_6\} \not\vdash \neg T_3$ .

We define the *canonical*  $\mathcal{L}$ -terms inductively:  $e$  is a canonical term;  $S_0(s)$  is a canonical term if  $s$  is a canonical term;  $S_1(s)$  is a canonical term if  $s$  is a canonical term. (So a canonical term is a variable-free term with no occurrences of  $\circ$ .)

(Continued on page 3.)

**Theorem I.** For every variable-free  $\mathcal{L}$ -term  $t$ , there exists a canonical term  $s$  such that  $T \vdash t = s$ .

**Problem 8** (weight 10 %)

Prove Theorem I.

**PART III**

The next theorem is also known as the Compactness Theorem for first-order logic.

**Theorem II.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a first-order language, and let  $\Sigma$  be a set of  $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas. If every finite subset of  $\Sigma$  has a model, then  $\Sigma$  has a model.

**Problem 9** (weight 10 %)

Prove Theorem II. The proof should refer to the Completeness Theorem for first-order logic (do not prove the Completeness Theorem).

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{NT}$  be the language of number theory, that is, the language  $\{0, S, +, \cdot, E, <\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be the standard  $\mathcal{L}_{NT}$ -structure, and let  $Th(\mathfrak{N})$  denote the theory of  $\mathfrak{N}$ , that is,

$$Th(\mathfrak{N}) = \{ \phi \mid \phi \text{ is an } \mathcal{L}_{NT}\text{-formula and } \mathfrak{N} \models \phi \}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  denote the set of rational numbers. For each  $i \in \mathbb{Q}$  we introduce a unique constant symbol  $c_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_*$  be  $\mathcal{L}_{NT}$  extended by  $\{c_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Let

$$\Sigma = Th(\mathfrak{N}) \cup \{ c_i < c_j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } i < j \} \cup \{ \exists x [SSS0 + x = c_i] \mid i \in \mathbb{Q} \}$$

and

$$\Gamma = Th(\mathfrak{N}) \cup \{ c_i < c_j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } i < j \} \cup \{ \exists x [c_i + x = SSS0] \mid i \in \mathbb{Q} \}.$$

Obviously,  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  are sets of  $\mathcal{L}_*$ -formulas.

**Problem 10** (weight 10 %)

Prove that one of the two sets  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma$  has a model. Prove that the other set does not have model.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an  $\mathcal{L}_{NT}$  structure. An infinite sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  is an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor chain if  $S^{\mathfrak{A}}(a_{i+1}) = a_i$  (for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). An  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor chain  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  lies below an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor chain  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots$  if  $a_0 <^{\mathfrak{A}} b_i$  (for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ). If  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$  lies below  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots$ , then  $b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots$  lies above  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$ .

(Continued on page 4.)

**Problem 11** (weight 10 %)

Prove that  $Th(\mathfrak{A})$  has a model  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that

- there are infinitely many  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor chains
- if one  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor lies below another  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor chain, then there is an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -predecessor chain in between, that is, a chain that lies above one of the chains and below the other.

END