

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

Examination in: MAT-INF3600 — Mathematical logic.

Day of examination: Friday, December 15, 2017.

Examination hours: 9:00–13:00.

This problem set consists of 6 pages.

Appendices: None.

Permitted aids: None.

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

Part I

Let R and S be a unary relation symbols, and let a be a constant symbol. Let \mathcal{L} be the language $\{a, R, S\}$.

Problem 1

State the Soundness Theorem for first-order logic. State the Completeness Theorem for first-order logic.

Problem 2

Let ϕ be an \mathcal{L} -formula such that $\not\vdash \phi$. Explain why there exists an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \phi$. Give a brief answer.

Problem 3

Below you will find six \mathcal{L} -formulas $(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4, \phi_5, \phi_6)$. For each formula ϕ_i , we either have $\vdash \phi_i$ or $\not\vdash \phi_i$. If $\vdash \phi_i$, you should give a detailed deduction of ϕ_i (name all the axioms and inference rules involved in the deduction). If $\not\vdash \phi_i$, you should give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \phi_i$.

- $\phi_1 := Ra \rightarrow (Sa \rightarrow Ra)$
- $\phi_2 := \exists x[Rx] \rightarrow \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx]$
- $\phi_3 := \forall x[Rx] \rightarrow \forall x[Sx \rightarrow Rx]$
- $\phi_4 := \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \rightarrow \exists x[Rx]$
- $\phi_5 := \forall x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \rightarrow \forall x[Rx]$

(Continued on page 2.)

- $\phi_6 := (\forall x[Rx] \rightarrow Ra) \vee (\forall x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \rightarrow \forall x[Rx])$

SOLUTION ————— PROBLEM 3

We have $\vdash \phi_1$. Deduction:

$$1. \quad Ra \rightarrow (Sa \rightarrow Ra) \quad (\text{PC})$$

Yes, that is it. One formula.

We have $\vdash \phi_2$. Deduction:

$$1. \quad Rx \rightarrow (Sx \rightarrow Rx) \quad (\text{PC})$$

$$2. \quad (Sx \rightarrow Rx) \rightarrow \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \quad (\text{Q2})$$

$$3. \quad Rx \rightarrow \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \quad (\text{PC}), 1, 2$$

$$4. \quad \exists x[Rx] \rightarrow \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \quad (\text{QR}), 3$$

We have $\vdash \phi_3$. Deduction:

$$1. \quad \forall x[Rx] \rightarrow Rx \quad (\text{Q1})$$

$$2. \quad Rx \rightarrow (Sx \rightarrow Rx) \quad (\text{PC})$$

$$3. \quad \forall x[Rx] \rightarrow (Sx \rightarrow Rx) \quad (\text{PC}), 1, 2$$

$$4. \quad \forall x[Rx] \rightarrow \forall x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \quad (\text{QR}), 3$$

We have $\not\vdash \phi_4$. We give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \phi_4$: The universe is $\{\bullet\}$ (any nonempty set will work). Let $R^{\mathfrak{A}} = S^{\mathfrak{A}} = \emptyset$. *Explanation:* We have $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \exists x[Sx]$. Thus, $\mathfrak{A} \models \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx]$. Furthermore, we have $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \exists x[Rx]$. Thus, $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \exists x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \rightarrow \exists x[Rx]$. (We are not asked to justify our answer. The explanation is superfluous.)

We have $\not\vdash \phi_5$. We give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \phi_5$: The universe is $\{0, 1, 2\}$. Let $S^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{0\}$. Let $R^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{0, 1\}$.

We have $\vdash \phi_6$. Deduction:

$$1. \quad \forall x[Rx] \rightarrow Ra \quad (\text{Q1})$$

$$2. \quad (\forall x[Rx] \rightarrow Ra) \vee (\forall x[Sx \rightarrow Rx] \rightarrow \forall x[Rx]) \quad (\text{PC}), 1$$

————— END OF SOLUTION

Part II

Let $<$ be a binary relation symbol, let S be unary function symbols, and let 0 be a constant symbol. Let \mathcal{L} be the language $\{0, S, <\}$. Let T be the \mathcal{L} -theory where we have the following non-logical axioms:

$$(T_1) \quad \forall x[\neg Sx = 0]$$

$$(T_2) \quad \forall xy[Sx = Sy \rightarrow x = y]$$

$$(T_3) \quad \forall x[\neg Sx = x]$$

$$(T_4) \quad \forall x[\neg x < 0]$$

$$(T_5) \quad \forall xy[x < Sy \leftrightarrow (x < y \vee x = y)]$$

(Continued on page 3.)

Problem 4

Prove that the axiom T_3 is independent of the other axioms of T , that is, prove that

$$\{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\} \not\models T_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\} \not\models \neg T_3 .$$

SOLUTION ————— PROBLEM 4

We give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{N} such that $\mathfrak{N} \models \{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\}$ and $\mathfrak{N} \not\models \neg T_3$: The universe is \mathbb{N} (the set of natural numbers). Let $<^{\mathfrak{N}}$ be the standard strict ordering of the natural numbers, i.e.

$$<^{\mathfrak{N}} = \{ (a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b \} .$$

Let $S^{\mathfrak{N}}$ be the successor function, i.e. $S^{\mathfrak{N}}(x) = x + 1$, and let $0^{\mathfrak{N}} = 0$. It is easy to see that we have $\mathfrak{N} \models \{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\}$ and $\mathfrak{N} \not\models \neg T_3$. By the Soundness Theorem for first-order logic, we have $\{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\} \not\models \neg T_3$.

We give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \models \{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \not\models T_3$: The universe is $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ (where ω of course if something else than a natural number). Let

$$<^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{ (a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b \} \cup \{ (\omega, \omega) \} .$$

Let

$$S^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = \begin{cases} a + 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{N} \\ a & \text{if } a = \omega \end{cases}$$

and let $0^{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$. It is not hard to check that we have $\mathfrak{A} \models \{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \not\models T_3$. By the Soundness Theorem for first-order logic, we have $\{T_1, T_2, T_4, T_5\} \not\models T_3$.

————— END OF SOLUTION

Let $\phi(x)$ be any \mathcal{L} -formula (as usual $\phi(t)$ denotes $\phi(x)$ where every free occurrence of the variable x is replaced by the term t). We will consider three axiom schemes.

The scheme of Zero Intolerance. This is the scheme

$$\forall x[\phi(Sx)] \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x)] \tag{Z}$$

The theory T_Z is the theory T extended by this axiom scheme.

The scheme of Pseudo Induction. This is the scheme

$$(\phi(0) \wedge \forall x[\phi(Sx)]) \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x)] \tag{P}$$

The theory T_P is the theory T extended by this axiom scheme.

The scheme of Induction. This is the scheme

$$(\phi(0) \wedge \forall x[\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(Sx)]) \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x)] \tag{I}$$

The theory T_I is the theory T extended by this axiom scheme.

(Continued on page 4.)

Problem 5

Give a T_Z -deduction of $\neg 0 = 0$. Give a full deduction. Name all the axioms and inference rules involved in the deduction.

SOLUTION _____ PROBLEM 5

1. $\forall x[\neg Sx = 0] \rightarrow \forall x[\neg x = 0]$ (Z)
2. $\forall x[\neg Sx = 0]$ (T_1)
3. $\forall x[\neg x = 0]$ 1, 2, (PC)
4. $\forall x[\neg x = 0] \rightarrow \neg 0 = 0$ (Q1)
5. $\neg 0 = 0$ 3, 4, (PC)

_____ END OF SOLUTION

Problem 6

Explain why we have $T_Z \vdash \theta$ for every \mathcal{L} -formula θ .

SOLUTION _____ PROBLEM 6

We have $T_Z \vdash \neg 0 = 0$ and $T_Z \vdash 0 = 0$. For any θ , we have $T_Z \vdash \theta$ as θ follows tautologically from $\neg 0 = 0$ and $0 = 0$ (the theory T_Z is inconsistent).

_____ END OF SOLUTION

Problem 7

Prove that

$$T_P \vdash \forall x[x = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = x]].$$

Sketch a T_P -deduction of the formula. Name all the non-logical axioms involved in the deduction.

SOLUTION _____ PROBLEM 7

We will use the scheme of Pseudo Induction with $\phi(x) :\equiv x = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = x]$.

By (E1) and other logical axioms, we have $T_P \vdash 0 = 0$. Thus, by (PC)

$$T_P \vdash 0 = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = 0].$$

This shows that $T_P \vdash \phi(0)$.

By logical axioms, we have $\exists y[Sy = Sx]$. Thus, by (PC)

$$T_P \vdash Sx = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = Sx].$$

This shows that $T_P \vdash \phi(Sx)$. Furthermore, by (Q1) and other logical axioms, we have $T_P \vdash \forall x[\phi(Sx)]$.

Thus, we have $T_P \vdash \phi(0)$ and $T_P \vdash \forall x[\phi(Sx)]$. By (PC) and (P), we have $T_P \vdash \forall x[\phi(x)]$, that is

$$T_P \vdash \forall x[x = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = x]].$$

_____ END OF SOLUTION

(Continued on page 5.)

Problem 8

Prove that

$$T \not\vdash \forall x[x = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = x]] .$$

SOLUTION ————— PROBLEM 8

We will give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \models T$ and

$$\mathfrak{A} \not\models \forall x[x = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = x]] .$$

First we give the universe A . Let B be a countably infinite set containing the elements $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots$ (for each i , we have $\beta_i \notin \mathbb{N}$). Let $A = \mathbb{N} \cup B$.

Let $0^{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$, let

$$S^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = \begin{cases} a + 1 & \text{if } a \in \mathbb{N} \\ \beta_{i+1} & \text{if } a = \beta_i \end{cases}$$

and let

$$<^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b\} \cup \{(\beta_i, \beta_j) \mid i < j\} .$$

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{A} \models \{T_1, T_2, T_3, T_4\}$. We argue that $\mathfrak{A} \models T_5$: We have

$$a <^{\mathfrak{A}} S^{\mathfrak{A}}(b) \leftrightarrow (a <^{\mathfrak{A}} b \vee a = b) \tag{*}$$

when $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ (as $<^{\mathfrak{A}}$ restricted to \mathbb{N} is the standard strict ordering of \mathbb{N}). Furthermore, (*) holds when $a, b \in B$. If $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in B$, (*) holds since both sides of the bi-implication is false. If $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in B$, (*) holds since both sides of the bi-implication is false. Thus, we conclude that $\mathfrak{A} \models T$.

We have

$$\mathfrak{A} \not\models \forall x[x = 0 \vee \exists y[Sy = x]]$$

since $\beta_0 \neq 0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ and there is no y in the universe such that $S^{\mathfrak{A}}(y) = \beta_0$.

————— END OF SOLUTION

Problem 9

Let θ be any \mathcal{L} -formula. Prove that $T_P \vdash \theta$ implies $T_I \vdash \theta$.

SOLUTION ————— PROBLEM 9

Let $\phi(x)$ be an arbitrary \mathcal{L} -formula. We have (see Problem 3)

$$\vdash \forall x[\phi(Sx)] \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(Sx)] .$$

Thus, we also have

$$T_I \vdash \forall x[\phi(Sx)] \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(Sx)] . \tag{(**)}$$

It is trivial that

$$T_I \vdash (\phi(0) \wedge \forall x[\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(Sx)]) \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x)] . \tag{(**)}$$

By (*), (**), and (PC), we have

$$T_I \vdash (\phi(0) \wedge \forall x[\phi(Sx)]) \rightarrow \forall x[\phi(x)] .$$

This shows that the scheme of Pseudo Induction (P) is available in the theory T_I . Hence, any formula deducible from the axioms of T_P will also be deducible from the axioms of T_I .

————— END OF SOLUTION

(Continued on page 6.)

Problem 10

Does it exist an \mathcal{L} -formula η such that $T_I \vdash \eta$ and $T_P \not\vdash \eta$? Justify your answer. If you can, prove that your answer is correct.

SOLUTION _____ PROBLEM 10

We have $T_I \vdash \forall x[\neg S S x = x]$ and $T_P \not\vdash \forall x[\neg S S x = x]$.

First we argue that $T_I \vdash \forall x[\neg S S x = x]$. We have

$$T_I \vdash [\neg S S 0 = 0] \quad (*)$$

by (T_1) . We have

$$T_I \vdash \forall x[\neg S S x = x \rightarrow \neg S S S x = S x] \quad (**)$$

by (T_2) . By $(*)$, $(**)$, (I) and (PC) , we have $T_I \vdash \forall x[\neg S S x = x]$ (we use the scheme of Induction with $\phi(x) := \neg S S x = x$).

We give an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathfrak{A} such that $\mathfrak{A} \models T_P$ and $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \forall x[\neg S S x = x]$. The universe of \mathfrak{A} is $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}$ (where $\alpha, \beta \notin \mathbb{N}$). Let $0^{\mathfrak{A}} = 0$, let $S^{\mathfrak{A}}(a) = a + 1$ when $a \in \mathbb{N}$, let $S^{\mathfrak{A}}(\alpha) = \beta$, let $S^{\mathfrak{A}}(\beta) = \alpha$. Let

$$<^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a < b\} \cup \{(\alpha, \alpha), (\alpha, \beta), (\beta, \alpha), (\beta, \beta)\}.$$

We have $S^{\mathfrak{A}} S^{\mathfrak{A}}(\alpha) = \alpha$. Thus $\mathfrak{A} \not\models \forall x[\neg S S x = x]$. It is possible to check that $\mathfrak{A} \models T_P$ (It is obvious that $\mathfrak{A} \models \{T_1, T_2, T_4\}$. Some work is required to check that $\mathfrak{A} \models T_5$. Since any element in the universe either equals $0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ or is the the successor of something, \mathfrak{A} satisfies the scheme of Pseudo Induction.)

_____ END OF SOLUTION

END