# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO <br> Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences 

Examination in: MAT-INF 4130 - Numerical Linear Algebra.
Day of examination: Monday 14. December 2015.
Examination hours: 9:00-13:00.
This problem set consists of 5 pages.
Appendices: None.
Permitted aids: None.

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

All 10 part questions will be weighted equally.

## Problem 1.

a) Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the matrix

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 3
\end{array}\right]
$$

Compute $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{1}$ and $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}$.
Solution: $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{1}$ is the maximum absolute column sum in $\mathbf{A}$. Since the absolute column sums are 2 and 6 , we have that $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{1}=6$.
$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}$ is the maximum absolute row sum in $\mathbf{A}$. Since the absolute row sums are 3,1 , and 4 , we have that $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty}=4$.
b) Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the matrix

$$
\mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Find the spaces $\operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{B}^{T}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}(\mathbf{B})$.
Solution: we have that

$$
\mathbf{B}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Clearly the two columns here are linearly independent, so that they are a base for $\operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{B}^{T}\right)$. To find $\operatorname{ker}(\mathbf{B})$ first perform row reduction:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

$x_{3}$ is thus a free variable, and we must have that $x_{1}=x_{3}$, and $x_{2}=-2 x_{3}$
for any $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$. Therefore

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]=x_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-2 \\
1
\end{array}\right],
$$

so that $(1,-2,1)$ is a basis for $\operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$.
c) Consider the underdetermined linear system

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & & -x_{3}=4 \\
x_{1} & +x_{2} & +x_{3}=12
\end{array}
$$

Find the solution $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}$ as small as possible.
Solution: There are several ways one can solve this task.
We can use that $\operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{B}^{T}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}(\mathbf{B})$ is an orthogonal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Any solution $\mathbf{x}$ to the above equation can thus be written as $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}$, where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbf{z} \in \operatorname{ker} \mathbf{B}$. The solution with minimum Euclidean norm is then $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{y}$, since $\operatorname{span}\left(\mathbf{B}^{T}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ker}(\mathbf{B})$ are orthogonal, so that one can solve for $\mathbf{y}$ first in $\mathbf{B B}^{T} \mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{c}4 \\ 12\end{array}\right]$. Since $\mathbf{B B}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$, we get that $\mathbf{y}=\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 4\end{array}\right]$, and finally $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{y}=(6,4,2)$.
We can also go as follows: We have that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -1 & 4 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 12
\end{array}\right] \sim\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & -1 & 4 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 8
\end{array}\right]
$$

so that the general solution is

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{3}+4 \\
-2 x_{3}+8 \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}^{2}=\left(x_{3}+4\right)^{2}+\left(-2 x_{3}+8\right)^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=6 x_{3}^{2}-24 x_{2}+80$. This is minimized when $12 x_{3}-24=0$, i.e. when $x_{3}=2$, which gives $\mathbf{x}=(6,4,2)$. It is also rather straightforward to solve this exercise using pseudoinverses. Consider $\mathbf{B B}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3\end{array}\right]$ (rather than $\mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{B}$, which is a $3 \times 3$ matrix). We see that the singular values of $\mathbf{B}$ are $\sigma_{1}=\sqrt{3}$ and $\sigma_{2}=\sqrt{2}$. The corresponding eigenvectors for $\mathbf{B B}^{T}$ are $\mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{1}$, respectively. Since $\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{1}=(1 / \sqrt{3}, 1 / \sqrt{3}, 1 / \sqrt{3})$ and $\frac{1}{\sigma_{2}} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{e}_{2}=(1 / \sqrt{2}, 0,-1 / \sqrt{2})$, a singular value factorization of $\mathbf{B}^{T}$ is

$$
\mathbf{B}^{T}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / \sqrt{3} & 1 / \sqrt{2} \\
1 / \sqrt{3} & 0 \\
1 / \sqrt{3} & -1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Transposing this we get a singular value factorization for $\mathbf{B}$, and we then easily get the following expression for the pseudoinverse:

$$
\mathbf{B}^{\dagger}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / \sqrt{3} & 1 / \sqrt{2} \\
1 / \sqrt{3} & 0 \\
1 / \sqrt{3} & -1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / \sqrt{3} & 0 \\
0 & 1 / \sqrt{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & 1 / 3 \\
0 & 1 / 3 \\
-1 / 2 & 1 / 3
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The least squares solution (which also here is a solution) with minimum Euclidean norm can now be obtained by computing

$$
\mathbf{B}^{\dagger} \mathbf{b}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 / 2 & 1 / 3 \\
0 & 1 / 3 \\
-1 / 2 & 1 / 3
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
4 \\
12
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
6 \\
4 \\
2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

d) Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix with linearly independent columns, and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ a vector. Assume that we use the Gauss-Seidel method to solve the normal equations $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{b}$. Will the method converge? Justify your answer.
Solution: If $\mathbf{A}$ has linearly independent columns, $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}$ is invertible (by the characterization of least squares solutions in terms of the normal equations), so that it is also positive definite. But from Theorem 11.15 we know that the Gauss-Seidel method converges for any positive definite matrix.

## Problem 2.

a) Let $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be of the form $\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u u}^{T}$, where $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Show that $\mathbf{E}$ is symmetric and positive definite, and find an expression for $\mathbf{E}^{-1}$.
(Hint: $\mathbf{E}^{-1}$ is of the form $\mathbf{E}^{-1}=\mathbf{I}+a \mathbf{u u}{ }^{T}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$.)
Solution: We have that $\mathbf{E}^{T}=\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right)^{T}=\mathbf{I}^{T}+\left(\mathbf{u} u^{T}\right)^{T}=\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u u}^{T}=\mathbf{E}$, and

$$
\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{E x}=\mathbf{x}^{T}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T}\right) \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}^{T} \mathbf{x}=\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}+\left(\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{u}\right)^{2}>0,
$$

so that $\mathbf{E}$ is symmetric and positive definite. Using the hint we compute
$\left(\mathbf{I}+a \mathbf{u u}^{T}\right)\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{u u}^{T}\right)=\mathbf{I}+(1+a) \mathbf{u u}^{T}+a \mathbf{u u}^{T} \mathbf{u u}^{T}=\mathbf{I}+\left(1+a+a\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2}\right) \mathbf{u u}^{T}$. This equals $\mathbf{I}$ if $1+a+a\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2}=0$, i.e. if $a=-1 /\left(1+\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2}\right)$. This shows that

$$
\mathbf{E}^{-1}=\mathbf{I}-\frac{1}{1+\|\mathbf{u}\|^{2}} \mathbf{u u}^{T} .
$$

b) Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be of the form $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{u u}^{T}$, where $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric and positive definite, and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Show that $\mathbf{A}$ can be decomposed on the form

$$
\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{v v}^{T}\right) \mathbf{L}^{T}
$$

where $\mathbf{L}$ is nonsingular and lower triangular, and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Solution: Since B is symmetric and positive definite it has a Cholesky factorization $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^{T}$. We have that

$$
\mathbf{L}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{T}\right) \mathbf{L}^{T}=\mathbf{L L}^{T}+\mathbf{L v} \mathbf{v}^{T} \mathbf{L}^{T}=\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{L v}(\mathbf{L} \mathbf{v})^{T} .
$$

If we now choose $\mathbf{v}$ so that $\mathbf{L v}=\mathbf{u}$ (this is possible since $\mathbf{L}$ is nonsingular), this equals $\mathbf{B}+\mathbf{u u}^{T}=\mathbf{A}$, and this shows that $\mathbf{A}$ can be written on the desired form.
c) Assume that the Cholesky decomposition of $\mathbf{B}$ is already computed. Outline a procedure to solve the system $\mathbf{A x}=\mathbf{b}$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is of the form above.
Solution: We first find a $\mathbf{v}$ so that $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{v v}^{T}\right) \mathbf{L}^{T}$ (by solving $\mathbf{L v}=\mathbf{u}$, which is a lower triangular system). Then we solve $\mathbf{L z}=\mathbf{b}$ (lower triangular system), then $\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{v v}^{T}\right) \mathbf{w}=\mathbf{z}$ (where we can use a), where we found an expression for $\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{T}\right)^{-1}$ ), and finally $\mathbf{L}^{T} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{w}$ (upper triangular system).

## Problem 3.

a) Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix. Explain how we can use the spectral theorem for symmetric matrices to show that

$$
\lambda_{\min }=\min _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} R(\mathbf{x})=\min _{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=1} R(\mathbf{x})
$$

where $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\mathbf{A}$, and $R(\mathbf{x})$ is the Rayleigh quotient given by

$$
R(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}}
$$

Solution: The spectral theorem says that we can write any real symmetric matrix as $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{U D} \mathbf{U}^{T}$, where $\mathbf{U}$ is orthogonal and $\mathbf{D}$ is diagonal. We now get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(\mathbf{x}) & =\frac{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}}=\frac{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{U D}^{T} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{x}}=\frac{\left(\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)^{T} \mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)}{\left\|\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right\|^{2}}=R_{D}\left(\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathbf{U}$ is orthogonal ( $R_{D}$ is the Rayleigh quotient using $\mathbf{D}$ instead of $\mathbf{A}$ ). We thus have that

$$
\min _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} R(\mathbf{x})=\min _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} R_{D}\left(\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)=\min _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} R_{D}(\mathbf{x})=\min _{\mathbf{x} \neq 0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} x_{i}^{2} /\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=\lambda_{i}
$$

where the minimum is attained for $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{e}_{i}$ with $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{\text {min }}$.
b) Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=1$ and $\mathbf{y} \neq 0$. Show that

$$
R(\mathbf{x}-t \mathbf{y})=R(\mathbf{x})-2 t(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-R(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x})^{T} \mathbf{y}+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)
$$

where $t>0$ is small.
(Hint: Use Taylor's theorem for the function $f(t)=R(\mathbf{x}-t \mathbf{y})$.)
Solution: Using the hint we have that $f(0)=R(\mathbf{x})$. We also have that

$$
f(t)=\frac{(\mathbf{x}-t \mathbf{y})^{T} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}-t \mathbf{y})}{(\mathbf{x}-t \mathbf{y})^{T}(\mathbf{x}-t \mathbf{y})}=\frac{\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-2 t \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}+t^{2} \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}-2 t \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}+t^{2}\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2}}=\frac{g(t)}{h(t)}
$$

We here have that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
g(0)=\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} & g^{\prime}(t)=-2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}+2 t \mathbf{y}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} & g^{\prime}(0)=-2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y} \\
h(0)=\|\mathbf{x}\|^{2}=1 & h^{\prime}(t)=-2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}+2 t\|\mathbf{y}\|^{2} & h^{\prime}(0)=-2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}
\end{array}
$$

We now get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(0) & =\frac{g^{\prime}(0) h(0)-g(0) h^{\prime}(0)}{h(0)^{2}}=-2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{y}+2 \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \\
& =-2\left((\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x})^{T} \mathbf{y}-R(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{y}\right)=-2(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}-R(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x})^{T} \mathbf{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly the second derivative of $f$ is bounded close to 0 , so that $f(t)=$ $f(0)+t f^{\prime}(0)+\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$. Inserting $f(0)=R(\mathbf{x})$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=-2(\mathbf{A x}-R(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x})^{T} \mathbf{y}$ gives the desired result.
c) Based on the characterisation given in 3a) above it is tempting to develop an algorithm for computing $\lambda_{\text {min }}$ by approximating the minimum of $R(\mathbf{x})$ over the unit ball

$$
B_{1}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=1\right\}
$$

Assume that $\mathbf{x}^{0} \in B_{1}$ satisfies $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{0}-R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{0} \neq 0$, i.e. $\left(R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right), \mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$ is not an eigenpair for $\mathbf{A}$. Explain how we can find a vector $\mathbf{x}^{1} \in B_{1}$ such that $R\left(\mathbf{x}^{1}\right)<R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$.
Solution: If $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{0}-R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{0} \neq 0$ we can choose a vector $\mathbf{y}$ so that $\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{0}-R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{0}\right)^{T} \mathbf{y}>0(\mathbf{y}$ can for instance be a vector pointing in the same direction as $\left.\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{0}-R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$. But then $-2 t\left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{0}-R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{0}\right)^{T} \mathbf{y}<0$ ( $t$ is assumed to be positive here) and since this term dominates $\mathcal{O}\left(t^{2}\right)$ for small $t$, we see that $R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}-t \mathbf{y}\right)<R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right)$. In other words, we can reduce the Rayleigh quotient by taking a small step from $\mathbf{x}^{0}$ in the direction of $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^{0}-R\left(\mathbf{x}^{0}\right) \mathbf{x}^{0}$.
Good luck!

