

5.2.7 Prove that  $R[x]$  is a commutative ring with unity when  $R$  is a commutative ring with unity

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^{n_1}$$

$$g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^{n_2}$$

$$h(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_nx^{n_3}$$

WLOG  $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = \max(n_1, n_2, n_3)$

by adding terms with zero-coefficients

$$(f+g)(x) = a_0+b_0 + (a_1+b_1)x + \dots + (a_n+b_n)x^n$$

$$(f+g)+h = (a_0+b_0)+c_0 + ((a_1+b_1)+c_1)x + \dots + ((a_n+b_n)+c_n)x^n$$

We see that  $f+g = g+f$ , so  $R[x]$  is an abelian group under  $+$

$$fg(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) x^k$$

$$(fg)h = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) x^k \cdot \sum_{l=0}^n c_l x^l$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3n} \left( \sum_{k+l=\alpha} \left( \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \right) c_l \right) x^\alpha$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3n} \sum_{i+j+l=\alpha} a_i b_j c_l x^\alpha$$

So we see that  $(R, \cdot)$  is also associative and commutative.

Can also check that the distributive property holds and  $1$  is a unit

5.2.9 Find the group of units in  $\mathbb{R}[x]$

Assume  $f(x)g(x) = 1$

Then  $0 = \deg(fg) = \deg f + \deg g$

$\Rightarrow \deg f = \deg g = 0$       Since  $\deg f \geq 0$

So  $f$  and  $g$  are units in  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e. any nonzero real number

5.2.11 Find the units in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}^{2 \times 2}$  of  $2 \times 2$  matrices and the usual matrix multiplication

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \quad A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

if  $A^{-1}$  is defined

So the units are matrices  $A$  s.t.  $\det(A) = \pm 1$

Since these are the units in  $\mathbb{Z}$

5.2-14 Show that the Lie bracket

$[A, B] = AB - BA$  makes  $(M, +, [\cdot, \cdot])$   
into a non-associative ring satisfying the  
Jacobi identity

$$[A, [B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]] = 0$$

$$[A, [B, C]] = A(BC - CB) - (BC - CB)A = ABC - ACB - BCA + CBA$$

$$[[A, B], C] = (AB - BA)C - C(AB - BA) = ABC - BAC - CAB + CBA$$

These are different, so not associative

$$[C, [A, B]] = -[[A, B], C]$$

$$[B, [C, A]] = BCA - BAC - CAB + ACB$$

Recognize that Jacobi identity holds by cancelling terms

5.2.16 Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[[x]]$  is a commutative ring with unity and  $fg=0 \Rightarrow f=0$  or  $g=0$

We see from the definition that  $fg=gf$

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \quad g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$$

$$fg = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{\substack{m+n=k \\ 0 \leq m, n \leq k}} a_m b_n \right) x^k$$

Assume  $fg=0$ , then

$$\sum_{\substack{m+n=k \\ 0 \leq m, n \leq k}} a_m b_n = 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$a_0 b_0 = 0 \Rightarrow a_0 \text{ or } b_0 = 0 \quad \text{Assume } a_0 = 0 \text{ and } a_i \neq 0 \text{ } i > 0$$

Assume  $i$  is the lowest non-zero coefficient of  $f$  and  $j$  the lowest non-zero coefficient of  $g$   $b_j \neq 0$

$$\sum_{\substack{m+n=i+j \\ 0 \leq m, n \leq i+j}} a_m b_n = 0 \quad a_i b_j = - \sum_{\substack{m+n=i+j \\ 0 \leq m, n \leq i+j \\ (m, n) \neq (i, j)}} a_m b_n$$

By assumption the left side is non-zero and the right side is zero. Therefore either  $f$  or  $g$  must be zero.

5.3.6. ① Nothing to prove

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \text{---} u \text{---}$$

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \text{---} u \text{---}$$

④  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  has characteristic  $p$  since

$$\underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{p\text{-times}} = 0$$

It has infinitely many elements since it contains the elements  $\{x^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$

3.3.7 Prove that  $\mathbb{Z}_p(\theta)$  and  $R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in \mathbb{Z}_p \right\}$   
are isomorphic.

$$F: \mathbb{Z}_p(\theta) \rightarrow R$$

$$x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$F$  is a ring homomorphism since

$$F(x+y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x+y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = F(x) + F(y)$$

$$F(xy) = F(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = F(x) F(y)$$

$F$  is bijective.

5.3.9. Which of these rings are integral domains and which are fields

①  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is an integral domain since it is a subring of the integral domain  $\mathbb{C}$ , but not a field since 2 does not have an inverse

②  $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z}$  is not an integral domain since  
 $3 \cdot 4 = 0$

③  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{2 \times 2}$  is not an integral domain since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

④  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a field since  $p$  is a prime

⑤  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  is not an integral domain since

$$(1, 0) \cdot (0, 1) = (0, 0)$$

⑥  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field

⑦  $R[x]$  is not in general an integral domain, only when  $R$  is



5.4.4 Show that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2-2)$

is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$

We will show that  $[x] \in \mathbb{Q}[x]/(x^2-2)$

acts as  $\sqrt{2}$

$$[x]^2 = [x^2] = [x^2 - (x^2 - 2)] = [2]$$

More formal way of proving this

Show that for  $F: \mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$

defined by  $F(1) = 1$   $F(x) = \sqrt{2}$

has kernel  $(x^2-2)$  and use an isomorphism theorem

5.4.14 Is  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  a field or integral domain?  
What about  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ ?

No, neither ring is an integral domain since

$$(1,0)(0,1) = (0,0)$$

5.4.15 Find the characteristics of

a)  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$    b)  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$    c)  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$

a)  $2(1,1) = (0,0)$  char is 2

b)  $4(1,1) = (0,0)$  char is 4

c)  $6(1,1) = (0,0)$  char is 6

5.4.16 Find a subring of  $R = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  that is not an ideal

Following the hint we look at  $S = \{(a, b) \mid a+b \text{ is even}\}$

$S$  is a subring, since it is closed under subtraction and multiplication

$S$  is not an ideal since

$$(3, 5) \in S \quad \text{but} \quad (1, 0)(3, 5) = (3, 0) \notin S$$