

Recall: A homomorphism of groups <sup>(abelian)</sup> is a map  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$  s.t.  
 $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$ .

$H = \ker(\varphi)$  for some  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$

$\Leftrightarrow H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  
 i.e.  $G/H$  is a well-defined quotient gp

$$G/\ker(\varphi) \cong \varphi(G) \quad \varphi: G \rightarrow G/H$$


---

A ring homomorphism  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$   
 is a map s.t.

$$(1) \quad \varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b)$$

$$(2) \quad \varphi(a \cdot b) = \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\varphi) &= \{r \in R: \varphi(r) = 0_{R'}\} \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(0_{R'}). \end{aligned}$$

Prop: Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$  be a ring homomorphism.

(i)  $\varphi(0_R) = 0_{R'}$

(ii)  $\varphi(-a) = -\varphi(a)$

(iii) If  $S \subseteq R$  is a subring, then  $\varphi(S)$  is a subring of  $R'$

(iv) If  $S' \subseteq R'$  is a subring, then  $\varphi^{-1}(S')$  is a subring of  $R$

(v) If  $R$  has a unity  $1$ , then  $\varphi(1)$  is a unity of  $\varphi(R)$ .

(vi) If  $R$  has a unity  $1$ , then  $\varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a)^{-1}$ .

Proof: (i), (ii) are statements about group homomorphisms.

(iii) Only need to check  $\varphi(S)$  is closed under  $\cdot$ .

Let  $\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \in \mathcal{P}(S)$ ,  $a, b \in S$

$$\varphi(a) \cdot' \varphi(b) = \varphi(a \cdot b) \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{Axiom of} \\ \text{ring morphism} \end{array} \right)$$
$$\in \mathcal{P}(S) \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} S \text{ is closed} \\ \text{under } \cdot \end{array} \right)$$

(iv) Only need to check  $\varphi^{-1}(S')$  is closed under  $\cdot$ .

Let  $a, b \in \varphi^{-1}(S')$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \in S'$ .

$$\varphi(a \cdot b) = \varphi(a) \cdot' \varphi(b) \in S'$$

$$\Rightarrow a \cdot b \in \varphi^{-1}(S')$$

(v) Need to check  $\forall r' \in \mathcal{P}(R)$ ,

$$\varphi(1_R) \cdot' r' = r' \cdot \varphi(1_R) = r'$$

Let  $r' = \varphi(r)$ .

$$\varphi(1_R) \cdot' r' = \varphi(1_R) \cdot' \varphi(r)$$

$$= \varphi(1_R \cdot r)$$

$$= \varphi(r) = r'$$

(vi) Need to check

$$\varphi(a^{-1}) \cdot \varphi(a) = 1_{\varphi(R)} (= \varphi(1_R))$$

$$\varphi(a^{-1}) \cdot \varphi(a) = \varphi(a^{-1} \cdot a)$$

$$= \varphi(1_R) = 1_{\varphi(R)} \quad \square$$

Example:  $\cdot \varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$

$$\cdot \text{ev}_\alpha(f) = f(\alpha) \quad \text{ev}_\alpha: \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

• Let  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  be rings.  $1 \leq i \leq n$

Then  $\pi_i: R_1 \times \dots \times R_n \rightarrow R_i$

by  $\pi_i((r_1, \dots, r_n)) = r_i$

•  $\iota: R_i \rightarrow R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$  by

$$\iota(r_i) = (0_1, \dots, r_i, \dots, 0_n)$$

$$\iota: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$$

$\iota(1) = (1, 0)$  is a unity of  $\iota(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$  but not the unity of  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ , which is  $(1, 1)$ . (A non-example for (v) that  $\varphi(1_R)$  need not to be the unity of  $R'$ )

---

Def: Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$ . We define  $R/\ker \varphi \stackrel{=}{=} I$  as

$$\{a + I : a \in R\}.$$

$$(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I$$

$$(a + I) \cdot (b + I) = ab + I.$$

Prop:  $R/I$  is a ring

Proof: All statements involving  
only  $\dagger$  follow from quotient group.

- Multiplication is well-defined.

Suppose  $a' \in a \dagger I$ ,  $b' \in b \dagger I$ .

Then need to show  $a'b' \dagger I = ab \dagger I$

$$a' = a + h_1, \quad b' = b + h_2, \quad h_1, h_2 \in I.$$

$$a'b' = (a + h_1)(b + h_2)$$

$$= ab + \underbrace{ah_2 + h_1b + h_1h_2}$$

want to check it is in  $I$ .

$$= \ker(\varphi)$$

$$\varphi(ah_2 + h_1b + h_1h_2)$$

$$= \varphi(a)\varphi(h_2) + \varphi(h_1)\varphi(b) + \varphi(h_1)\varphi(h_2)$$

$$= 0$$

$$\Rightarrow ah_2 + h_1b + h_1h_2 \in \ker(\varphi) = I$$

$$\Rightarrow a'b' \dagger I = ab \dagger I$$

□

Theorem: Let  $\varphi: R \rightarrow R'$ . Then  
 $R/\ker(\varphi) \cong \varphi(R)$ , by  
 $\mu: a + I \mapsto \varphi(a)$ .

Proof:  $\mu$  is a group isomorphism.

Only need to show  $\mu$  preserves  $\cdot$ .

$$\mu((a+I)(b+I))$$

$$= \mu(ab+I)$$

$$= \varphi(ab)$$

$$= \varphi(a) \cdot \varphi(b)$$

$$= \mu(a+I) \mu(b+I) \quad \square$$

---

Def: A subring  $I \subseteq R$  is

an ideal if  $\forall a \in R$

$$aI = \{ah : h \in I\} \subseteq I$$

$$Ia = \{ha : h \in I\} \subseteq I$$

Example:  $6\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is  
an ideal b/c  $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall 6n \in 6\mathbb{Z},$   
 $m(6n) = 6(mn) \in 6\mathbb{Z}$   
 $\Rightarrow m(6\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq 6\mathbb{Z}$

$\{0\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal b/c  
 $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \cdot 0 = 0 \in \{0\}$

In general,  $\{0\}$  is an ideal of  
any ring. Any ring is an ideal  
of itself.

Prop:  $R/I$  is well-defined  
if and only if  $I$  is an ideal.

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " Suppose  
 $(a+I)(b+I) = ab+I$  is well-defined.

Need to check  $aI \subseteq I$  ( $\forall a \in R$ )

Need to check  $\forall a \in R, \forall h \in I, ah \in I$ .

Since  $(a+I)I$  is well-defined.

$$(a+I)I = (a+I)(0+I) = (a \cdot 0) + I = 0 + I$$

$$(a+I)I = (a+I)(h+I) = ah + I$$

are the same, i.e.,  $ah \in I$

" $\Leftarrow$ ": Need to show

$(a+I)(b+I) = ab + I$  is well-defined.

$$a' \in a+I, b' \in b+I$$

$$\Rightarrow a' = a + h_1, b' = b + h_2 \text{ for } h_1, h_2 \in I$$

$$a'b' = (a+h_1)(b+h_2)$$

$$= ab + \underbrace{hb}_{\in I} + \underbrace{ah_2}_{\in I} + \underbrace{h_1h_2}_{\in I} \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow a'b'tI = abtI$$

So multiplication is well-defined in  $R/I$ .  
-----  
□

Prop! Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ .

Then  $R/I = \{a + I : a \in R\}$

with  $(a + I) + (b + I) = (a + b) + I$

and  $(a + I)(b + I) = ab + I$

is a well-defined ring.

Also,  $\gamma: R \rightarrow R/I$  by

$\gamma(a) = a + I$  is a ring homomorphism

whose kernel is precisely  $I$ .

"kernels of ring homomorphisms  $\Leftrightarrow$  ideals"

Both are for forming quotient rings.