

MAT2400: Solutions Spring 2010

Problem 1

a) Since d_1, d_2 are metrics, we get that

$$d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow d_1(x, y) = d_2(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y,$$

Moreover it also follows that $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$. Let $x, y, z \in X$. We may assume that $d(x, y) = d_1(x, y)$. Then

$$d(x, y) = d_1(x, y) \leq d_1(x, z) + d_1(z, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y),$$

All together, this proves that d is a metric on X .

b) If f is not uniformly continuous, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that for any $\delta > 0$, we may find $x = x(\delta), y = y(\delta)$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta$, but $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \epsilon$. Putting $\delta = \frac{1}{n}$, $x_n = x(\frac{1}{n}), y_n = y(\frac{1}{n})$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we get $d(x_n, y_n) < \frac{1}{n}$, hence $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \geq \epsilon$.

c) Let us suppose that f is continuous but not uniformly continuous. By b) above, we may find $\epsilon > 0$ and sequences x_n, y_n such that $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \geq \epsilon$ for each n . Since X satisfies the Bolzano-Weierstrass condition, we may find a subsequence $x_{n(j)}$ of x_n which converges to a point $x \in X$. Let $y_{n(j)}$ be the induced subsequence of y_n . Then $d(x_{n(j)}, y_{n(j)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since, $d(x, y_{n(j)}) \leq d(x, x_{n(j)}) + d(x_{n(j)}, y_{n(j)})$, and $d(x, x_{n(j)}) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, we must also have that $y_{n(j)} \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is continuous, we thus get that $|f(x_{n(j)}) - f(x)|, |f(y_{n(j)}) - f(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since

$$|f(x_{n(j)}) - f(y_{n(j)})| \leq |f(x_{n(j)}) - f(x)| + |f(x) - f(y_{n(j)})|,$$

we get that $|f(x_{n(j)}) - f(y_{n(j)})| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Now, our assumption that $|f(x_n) - f(y_n)| \geq \epsilon$ for each n , implies that $|f(x_{n(j)}) - f(y_{n(j)})| \geq \epsilon$ for each j , contradicting that $|f(x_{n(j)}) - f(y_{n(j)})| \rightarrow 0$. So f must be uniformly continuous.

Problem 2

a) Assume that $M = \sup A$. Then M is an upper bound for A , hence $a \leq M$ for each $a \in A$. Since A is the least upper bound for A , $M - \frac{1}{n}$ is not an upper bound for A for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$. So for each n , we can find a_n in A such that $M - \frac{1}{n} < a_n \leq M$. This gives us a sequence $a_n \in A$ such that $a_n \rightarrow M$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Assume that $a \leq M$ for each $a \in A$ and that we have a sequence $a_n \in A$ such that $a_n \rightarrow M$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $a \leq M$ for each $a \in A$, M is an upper bound for A . Let $N < M$. Then, if n is big enough, we must have that $N < a_n \leq M$, showing that N cannot be an upper bound for A . It follows that M is the least upper bound, i.e. $M = \sup A$.

b) We know that $t \rightarrow e^{-t}$ is decreasing in $[0, \infty)$ and $e^{-t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Then we can find a positive integer N such that $e^{-N^2} < \epsilon$. Let $n \geq N$ and $|x| \geq n$. Then $0 \leq f(x) = e^{-x^2} \leq e^{-n^2} \leq e^{-N^2} < \epsilon$, and $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq f_n(\pm n) = e^{-n^2} \leq e^{-N^2} < \epsilon$. This implies that $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon$ when $n \geq N$ and $|x| \geq n$. When

$|x| < n$, we get that $|f(x) - f_n(x)| = 0$. So for any x , and $n \geq N$, we get that $|f(x) - f_n(x)| < \epsilon$, proving that f_n converges uniformly to f .

c) Let $f \in C_K(\mathbb{R})$, and consider $a = a(f), b = b(f)$. We know that a continuous function defined on a closed bounded interval is bounded. Therefore, the restriction of f to $[a, b]$ is bounded. Now $f \equiv 0$ outside $[a, b]$, and f must therefore be bounded on \mathbb{R} . That is, $f \in B_C(\mathbb{R})$.

We know that convergence in the uniform metric is the same as uniform convergence. Now, the sequence in b) above is a sequence of functions in $C_K(\mathbb{R})$ which is converging uniformly (hence converging in the uniform metric) to a function in $B_C(\mathbb{R}) - C_K(\mathbb{R})$. This proves that $C_K(\mathbb{R})$ is not a closed subspace of $B_C(\mathbb{R})$.

d) If f vanishes outside an interval $[a, b]$, gf must vanish outside $[a, b]$. Also gf is continuous if f and g are continuous. So $T_g(f) \in C_K(\mathbb{R})$ if $f \in C_K(\mathbb{R})$. It is also obvious that $T_g(f_1 + f_2) = g(f_1 + f_2) = gf_1 + gf_2 = T_g(f_1) + T_g(f_2)$, and that $T_g(\lambda f) = \lambda gf = \lambda T_g(f)$. so

$$T_g : C_K(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_K(\mathbb{R})$$

is a linear map. Moreover, we have that

$$|g(x)f(x)| \leq \sup\{|g(y)| : y \in \mathbb{R}\} \sup\{|f(y)| : y \in \mathbb{R}\} = \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty.$$

This proves that $\|T_g(f)\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty$, and from this inequality follows that T_g is continuous. Also, since $\|T_g\| = \sup_{\|f\|_\infty \leq 1} \|T_g(f)\|_\infty$, and $\|T_g(f)\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty \|f\|_\infty \leq \|g\|_\infty$, when $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$, we get that $\|T_g\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$.

To prove that, $\|T_g\| \geq \|g\|_\infty$, let $\epsilon > 0$. We may find $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|g\|_\infty \geq |g(x)| > \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon$ (this follows from a) above). Let $[a, b]$ be a closed interval such that $x \in (a, b)$. Let f be a continuous function such that $f(t) = 0$ outside $[a, b]$, $0 \leq f(t) \leq 1$ for all t and $f(x) = 1$ (we may choose such f being linear on $[a, x]$ and $[x, b]$). Then $\|f\|_\infty = f(x) = 1$, and $\|T_g(f)\|_\infty \geq |gf(x)| = |g(x)| \geq \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon$. From the definition of $\|T_g\|$ we get that $\|T_g\| \geq \|T_g(f)\|_\infty \geq \|g\|_\infty - \epsilon$. It follows that $\|T_g\| \geq \|g\|_\infty$, hence $\|T_g\| = \|g\|_\infty$.

Problem 3

a) Let $x = r \cos \theta$, $y = r \sin \theta$. When $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$, we get that

$$|f(x, y)| = \left| \frac{r^3 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta}{r^2} \right| \leq r,$$

and we see that $|f(x, y)| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. This shows that f is continuous at $(0, 0)$.

We have $f(x, 0) = f(0, y) = 0$, and this gives immediately that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ exist at $(0, 0)$ and are both equal 0. From this follows that if f is differentiable at $(0, 0)$, the derivative of f at this point must be the zero-mapping. Assume that f is differentiable at $(0, 0)$. Then, writing $f(x, y) = \epsilon(x, y)\|(x, y)\|$, we get that $\epsilon(x, y) = \frac{xy^2}{(x^2+y^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ and we must have that $\epsilon(x, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $\|(x, y)\| \rightarrow 0$. We see however that $\epsilon(t, t) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \not\rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. This shows that f is not differentiable at $(0, 0)$.

b) The Jacobian matrix of f is given by

$$DF(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{x-y} & -e^{x-y} & 2z \\ e^{x+y} & e^{x+y} & \frac{2z}{1+z^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

F is obviously continuously differentiable at \mathbb{R}^3 . We see that $\det DF(x, y, z) = 2e^{2x} \neq 0$. Especially we get that $DF(0, 0, 0)$ is invertible. It follows from the inverse function theorem that there exist open sets B and V , with $(0, 0, 0) \in B$ and $F(0, 0, 0) = (1, 1, 0) \in V$ such that $F|_B : B \rightarrow V$ is a differentiable bijection with differentiable inverse $G : V \rightarrow B$. Writing $G(u, v, w) = (f(u, v, w), g(u, v, w), h(u, v, w))$, we get that $(u, v, w) = F(G(u, v, w)) = (\dots, \dots, h(u, v, w))$ so $h(u, v, w) = w$.

We see from above that

$$DF(0, 0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By inspection, we see that

$$DG(1, 1, 0) = DF(0, 0, 0)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$