

6.5

①

Have: $F(0,0) = (1, -2)$, $JF = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

$JF(0,0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ which is invertible.

So $F'(0,0)$ is continuous and invertible, by the inverse function theorem we then have a G defined around $F(0,0) = (1, -2)$ with $G = F^{-1}$.

Also have: $F(-1, -1) = ((-1)^2 - 1, -1 + (-2)) = (0, -2)$

$JF(-1, -1) = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is invertible.

So $F'(-1, -1)$ is continuous and invertible, by the inverse function theorem, we then have a H defined around $F(-1, -1) = (0, -2)$ with $H = F^{-1}$.

We have $G'(1, -2) = (F'(0,0))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and $H'(0, -2) = (F'(-1, -1))^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Qa)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & -6 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -6 & -2 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 12 & 6 & 6 & 0 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 12 & 6 & 0 & -2 & 6 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

So $A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{5}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 6 & 0 & -10 & 6 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 2 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \sim$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -\frac{5}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

2b)

$$JF(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2x & y & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 2z \end{pmatrix}$$

$$c) F(1, 1, -1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1^2 + \frac{1}{2} - 1 \\ 1 + (-1)^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } JF(1, 1, -1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} = A$$

So $F(1, 1, -1)$ is invertible and continuous, and by the inverse function theorem there exists a G defined around $F(1, 1, -1) = (0, \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ with $G = F^{-1}$ and

$$G'(0, \frac{1}{2}, 2) = (F'(1, 1, -1))^{-1} = A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \\ -\frac{1}{3} & 1 & -\frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

③

Assume that F has a differentiable local inverse G with $n \neq m$.

Then $F \circ G = \text{Id}$, which gives us

$$(F \circ G)'(x) = \text{Id}'(x) = \text{Id}$$

$$F'(G(x)) \cdot G'(x)$$

$$\text{which gives } JF(G(x)) \cdot JG(x) = I_m$$

$$\text{Also } G \circ F = \text{Id}, \text{ so } JG(F(y)) \cdot JF(y) = I_n$$

But then for $y = G(x)$ $JF(y)$ is an invertible matrix for $n \neq m$, which is impossible!

④ Choose any point $y \in F(O)$. Then there exists an $x \in O$ s.t. $F(x) = y$, and as $F'(x)$ is invertible there exist an open $U_0 \subseteq O$ with $x \in U_0$ and $V_0 \subseteq Y$ with $y \in V_0$ s.t. F is a bijection from U_0 to V_0 .

Therefore $V_0 \subseteq F(O)$, so for any $y \in F(O)$ there exist an open set V_0 with $y \in V_0 \subseteq F(O)$, and therefore a ball $B(y, \epsilon) \subseteq V_0 \subseteq F(O)$ exists. So y is an interior point, and $F(O)$ is open.

⑤ We try using the directional derivatives to find the true derivative.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P'_n(A;R) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_n(A+tR) - P_n(A)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(A+tR)^n - A^n}{t} \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{A^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t A^i R A^{n-1-i} + t^2 O(A,R) - A^n}{t} \\
 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i} + t O(A,R) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $O(A,R)$ is all the parts of $(A+tR)^n$ where you have at least two Rs in the products.

So $P'_n(A;R) = RA^{n-1} + ARA^{n-2} + A^2RA^{n-3} + \dots + A^{n-2}RA + A^{n-1}R$

We therefore assume that $P'_n(A)(R) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}$ as well, and check if this is true:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\sigma(R)\| &= \left\| P_n(A+tR) - P_n(A) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i} \right\| \\
 &= \left\| (A+tR)^n - A^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i} \right\| = \left\| A^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i} + N(A,R) - A^n - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i} \right\| \\
 &= \|N(A,R)\|
 \end{aligned}$$

where $N(A,R)$ is a sum of products of A and R with at least two Rs in each product.

As $\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$ and $\|A+B\| \leq \|A\| + \|B\|$ we have that $\|N(A,R)\| \leq \|R\|^2 \cdot f(\|A\|, \|R\|)$ where $f(\|A\|, \|R\|) = \sum_{i=2}^n \|A\|^{n-i} \|R\|^{i-2}$.

so $\frac{\|\sigma(R)\|}{\|R\|} \leq \frac{\|R\|^2 \cdot f(\|A\|, \|R\|)}{\|R\|} = \|R\| \cdot f(\|A\|, \|R\|)$ and $\lim_{\|R\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|\sigma(R)\|}{\|R\|} = 0$, as wanted.

Ⓛ) We have $M_n = \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$, so it is complete.

Want to show that $S_k(A) = \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{A^n}{n!}$ is Cauchy.

$$\text{Have: } \|S_k - S_l\| = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{A^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^l \frac{A^n}{n!} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{n=l+1}^k \frac{A^n}{n!} \right\| \leq \sum_{n=l+1}^k \frac{\|A\|^n}{n!}$$

We have from calculus that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$ converges.

Specifically $e^{\|A\|} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|A\|^n}{n!}$ is Cauchy, and we can find N

s.t. $\sum_{n=l+1}^k \frac{\|A\|^n}{n!} < \varepsilon$ whenever $l, k \geq N$, as wanted.

g) We have $S'_k(A)(R) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^k \frac{P_n}{n!} \right)'(A)(R) = \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{P'_n(A)(R)}{n!}$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!}$$

So $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S'_k(A)(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!}$. If this converges uniformly,

We can use Exercise 6.2.3. to give us the derivative of exp. Unfortunately, this convergence does not seem to be uniform.

However! Let $\mathcal{O}_r = \{A \in M_n \mid \|A\| < r\}$

Then $\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!} \right\| = \left\| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!} \right\|$

$$\leq \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \|A\|^i \|R\| \|A\|^{n-1-i}}{n!} = \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{n \|A\|^{n-1} \|R\|}{n!} = \|R\| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{\|A\|^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

$$\leq \|R\| \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \leq \|R\| \varepsilon \text{ when } k \text{ large enough.}$$

So operator norm of $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S'_k(A)$ is less than ε for all $A \in \mathcal{O}_r$, and

we do have uniform convergence on \mathcal{O}_r . By Exercise 6.2.3 we then have $\exp'(A)(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!}$ on \mathcal{O}_r for all r , so

$$\exp'(A)(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i R A^{n-1-i}}{n!} \text{ for all } A \in M_n.$$

Not the prettiest of derivatives.

Note: $\exp'(I_m)(R) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} I_m^i R I_m^{n-1-i}}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n R}{n!} = R \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} = e I_m R$

So $\exp'(I_m) = e I_m$.

$$d) \text{ We have } \exp(I_n) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{I_n^m}{m!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{I_n^m}{m!} = I_n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} = I_n e = e I_n.$$

Then $\exp'(I_n) = \exp I_n = e I_n$, which is invertible, so we have that a local inverse (\log) is defined in a neighborhood.

$$\text{We have } \log'(e I_n) = (\exp'(I_n))^{-1} = (e I_n)^{-1} = \frac{1}{e} I_n.$$

$$\textcircled{7} \text{ a) For } x \neq 0 \text{ we have } f'(x) = (x + x^2 \cos \frac{1}{x})' = 1 + 2x \cos \frac{1}{x} + x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{x^2}$$

$$= 1 + 2x \cos \frac{1}{x} + \sin \frac{1}{x}.$$

$$\text{For } x=0 \text{ we have } f'(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t) - f(0)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t + t^2 \cos \frac{1}{t}}{t}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} 1 + t \cos \frac{1}{t}$$

$$= 1 + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t \cos \frac{1}{t} = 1 \quad \text{as } |\cos \frac{1}{t}| < 1.$$

We have that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f'(x)$ does not exist, as $\sin \frac{1}{x}$ will oscillate rapidly between -1 and 1 as $x \rightarrow 0$, so the derivative is not continuous.

b) First, a small theorem:

Any continuous, differentiable, injective function $f: (a,b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will have at most one peak, i.e. where $f'(x) = 0$, $f''(x) < 0$.

Proof: Assume x and y are two different peaks for f .

As f is injective, $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Assume for simplicity $f(x) < f(y)$ and $x < y$. As x is a peak, if we choose a small ϵ , $f(x+\epsilon) < f(x)$. So we have that $x+\epsilon < y$ and $f(x+\epsilon) < f(x) < f(y)$. By the intermediate value theorem we have that there must exist a $z \in [x+\epsilon, y]$ with $f(z) = f(x)$, but this cannot be the case as f was injective. \square

Now we just need to note that $f'(\frac{2}{3\pi k}) = 0$ and $f''(\frac{2}{3\pi k}) < 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

So no matter how small ϵ is, f will have an infinite number of peaks in $(0, \epsilon)$, and hence cannot be injective. Does not contradict inverse function theorem, as we need continuity of f' , which we don't have.

6.6)

②

$$\text{Have } A(-1, 2, 0) = -1 \cdot 2^2 e^0 + 0 = -4.$$

$$\text{So we define } F(x, y, z) = xy^2 e^z + z + 4$$

$$\text{Then } F(-1, 2, 0) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = xy^2 e^z + 1,$$

$$\text{so } \frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(-1, 2, 0) = -4 + 1 = -3. \text{ We can find an open set}$$

around $(-1, 2, 0)$ where $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \neq 0$, so as a linear function it's bijective from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} .

Then by Implicit Function Theorem there exists a $g(x, y)$

$$\text{s.t. } g(-1, 2) = 0 \text{ and } F(x, y, g(x, y)) = 0, \text{ i.e. } A(x, y, g(x, y)) = -4.$$

$$\text{Have } g'(x, y) = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(x, y), \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(x, y) \right)$$

$$= - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}(x, y, g(x, y)) \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial(x, y)}(x, y, g(x, y))$$

$$= - \left(xy^2 e^{g(x, y)} + 1 \right)^{-1} \cdot \left(y^2 e^{g(x, y)}, 2xy e^{g(x, y)} \right)$$

$$g'(-1, 2) = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(-1, 2), \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(-1, 2) \right)$$

$$= - (-3)^{-1} \cdot (4e^0, -4e^0) = \frac{1}{3} (4, -4) = \left(\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{4}{3} \right).$$

$$\text{so } \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}(-1, 2) = \frac{4}{3} \text{ and } \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = -\frac{4}{3}.$$

③ Let $F(x, y) = x^3 + y^3 + y - 1$.

Then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = 3y^2 + 1 \neq 0$ for any (x_0, y_0) on the curve,

so we can by Implicit function theorem find an $f(x) = y$

s.t. $F(x, f(x)) = 0$ i.e. $x^3 + f(x)^3 + f(x) = 1$, i.e. $(x, f(x))$ satisfies the equation,

We have: $f'(x_0, y_0) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) \right)$

$$= - (3y_0^2 + 1)^{-1} \cdot (3x_0) = \frac{-3x_0}{3y_0^2 + 1}.$$

④

Define $F(x, y) = \phi(x, y) - C$

We assume $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$ is non-zero,

Then by implicit function theorem $y(x)$ exists, and

we have $y'(x) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x, y(x)) \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, y(x)) = - \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, y(x))} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x, y(x))$

$$= - \frac{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(x, y(x))}{\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, y(x))}, \text{ as wanted.}$$

6

a) Define $F(x, t) = \Phi(x, y(t))$

Then $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, t) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y(t))$. Assume $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y(t)) \neq 0$ for x, t

By Implicit Function Theorem, there exist a $x(t)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x(t), y(t)) = 0$, and we have that

$$x'(t) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x(t), t) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x(t), t)$$

$$= - \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x(t), y(t)) \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(x(t), y(t)) \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(t)$$

$$= - \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(x(t), y(t))}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x(t), y(t))} y'(t) \quad \text{as wanted.}$$

Assumptions: $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, t) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y) \neq 0$, and both

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, t) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x, t) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(x, y(t)) y'(t)$$

are existing and continuous.

b) We define $F(x, t) = \Phi(x, y(t), z(t))$.

Assume $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, t) = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y(t), z(t)) \neq 0$ for x, t .

By Implicit Function Theorem, there exist a $x(t)$ s.t.

$\Phi(x(t), y(t), z(t)) = 0$ and we have that

$$x'(t) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x(t), t) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}(x(t), t)$$

$$= - \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x(t), y(t), z(t)) \right)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(x(t), y(t), z(t)) y'(t) + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}(x(t), y(t), z(t)) z'(t) \right)$$

$$= - \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y} y'(t) + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} z'(t)}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}}(x(t), y(t), z(t)).$$

⑦ We define $F(x, y, z) = \Phi(x, y, z)$, $G(x, y, z) = \Phi(x, y, z)$
and $H(x, y, z) = \Phi(x, y, z)$.

Assume: $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x, y, z) \neq 0$, $\frac{\partial G}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}(x, y, z) \neq 0$, $\frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}(x, y, z) \neq 0$.

By Implicit Function Theorem, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} X(y, z), Y(x, z), Z(x, y) \text{ s.t. } & \Phi(X(y, z), y, z) = 0 \\ & \Phi(x, Y(x, z), z) = 0 \\ & \Phi(x, y, Z(x, y)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with: } \frac{\partial X}{\partial(y, z)} &= \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial X}{\partial z} \right) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial(y, z)} \\ &= - \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}}, \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial(x, z)} &= \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial Y}{\partial z} \right) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial(x, z)} \\ &= - \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}}, \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial(x, y)} &= \left(\frac{\partial Z}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial Z}{\partial y} \right) = - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial(x, y)} \\ &= - \left(\frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}}, \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \frac{\partial X}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial Y}{\partial z} \cdot \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x} = \left(- \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}} \right) \left(- \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y}} \right) \left(- \frac{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}}{\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}} \right) = (-1)^3 = -1.$$