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## Solution proposal

## Problem 1

## 1a

The equilibrium points satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 y^{3}-4 x y=0 \\
& 2 y^{2}-2 x^{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The first equation gives $4 y\left(y^{2}-x\right)=0$ and either $y=0$ or $x=y^{2}$. If $y=0$, the second equation yields $x=0$. If $x=y^{2}$, then the second equation gives $x\left(1-x^{2}\right)=0$, that is, $x=0$ or $x= \pm 1$. If $x=-1$, then $y^{2}=-1$ and there does not exist an equilibrium point in this case. If $x=1$, we get $y= \pm$. In conclusion, there are 3 equilibrium points: $(0,0),(1,1),(1,-1)$.

We linearize around $(1,1)$. We compute the Jacobian:

$$
J(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-4 y & 12 y^{2}-4 x \\
-6 x^{2} & 4 y
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $x=y=1$, we get

$$
J(1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-4 & 8 \\
-6 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues satisfies $\lambda^{2}+32=0$ and therefore they are complex conjugate numbers with zero real value. In this case, we cannot conclude if the equilibrium of the originial system is an attractive or repulsive point.

## 1b

Let $M(x, y)=2 x^{3}-2 y^{2}$ and $N(x, y)=4 y^{3}-4 x y$. We can rewrite the ordinary differential equation as the form $M d x+N d y=0$. We have $\frac{\partial M}{\partial y}=-4 y=\frac{\partial N}{\partial x}$ and therefore the form is exact and there exists a function $F(x, y)$ such that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=M$ and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}=N$. Then,

$$
\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}=2 x^{3}-2 y^{2}
$$

implies

$$
F=\frac{1}{2} x^{4}-2 y^{2} x+g(y)
$$

for some unknown function $g(y)$. We differentiate this result with respect to $y$ and obtain $-4 y x+g^{\prime}(y)=4 y^{3}-4 x y$. Therefore, $g(y)=y^{4}+C$ and the solution of the ordinary differential equation are implicitely given by

$$
F=\frac{1}{2} x^{4}-2 y^{2} x+y^{4}+C
$$

for some constant $C$. We can rewrite $F$ as

$$
F=\left(x-y^{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2}+C-\frac{1}{2}
$$

## 1c

Let us consider a solution $(x(t), y(t))$. We have $\dot{x}=M(x, y)$ and $\dot{y}=$ $-M(x, y)$. Hence,

$$
\frac{d}{d t} F(x(t), y(t))=\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} M+\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(-N)=N M-M N=0
$$

and therefore $F(x(t), y(t))$ is a constant. Let us assume that the equilibrium $(1,1)$ is a sink or spiral sink. Then, there exists a trajectory $(x(t), y(t))$ starting close but away from $(1,1)$, that is, $(x(0), y(0)) \neq(1,1)$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=1$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t)=1$. We have

$$
\left(x(t)-y^{2}(t)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}(t)-1\right)^{2}=C
$$

for some constant $C$. Since $(x(0), y(0)) \neq(1,1)$, we have $C>0$. By letting $t$ tend to infinity, we obtain $0=C$, which is a contradiction and therefore the equilibrium is not an attractive point.

## Problem 2

We compute the eigenvalue of $A$. We have to solve

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
2-\lambda & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 3-\lambda & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 1-\lambda
\end{array}\right|=0
$$

We expand this determinant and obtain

$$
(2-\lambda)((3-\lambda)(1-\lambda)+1)=0
$$

which yields $(2-\lambda)(\lambda-2)^{2}$ and $\lambda=2$ is an eigenvalue with multiplicity 3. We compute the eigenvector space. We have that $u=[x, y, z]^{t}$ is an eigenvector if

$$
(A-\lambda I) u=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

This system of equations is equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right)
$$

so that the eigenvector space is of dimension 2 for which

$$
u_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right), \quad u_{2}\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

form a basis. We have to compute a generalized eigenvector $v$. It satisfies

$$
(A-\lambda I)^{k-m+1} v=0
$$

where $k=3$ is the multiplicity of the eigenvalue, $m=2$ is the dimension of the eigenvector space. We get

$$
(A-\lambda I)^{k-m+1}=(A-\lambda I)^{2}=0
$$

and we choose $v=[0,1,0]^{t}$, which is linearly independent of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. We set $v_{2}=v$ and

$$
v_{1}=(A-\lambda I) v_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The vectors $v_{1}, v_{2}$ form a chain. The general solution of the ordinary differential equation is given by

$$
X(t)=C_{1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right) e^{2 t}+C_{2} e^{2 t}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right)+C_{3} e^{2 t}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right) t+\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

for any constants $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$. For the initial value $[0,1,0]$, we have to solve

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
C_{1} \\
C_{2} \\
C_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

which gives $C_{1}=C_{2}=0, C_{3}=1$ and the solution is

$$
X(t)=e^{2 t}\left(\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
1 \\
-1
\end{array}\right) t+\left(\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

## Problem 3

## 3a

Let $H=\left(x^{2}-u^{2}\right)+p u$. The optimal pair $\left(x^{*}, u^{*}\right)$ satisfies

$$
H\left(t, x^{*}(t), u^{*}(t), p(t)\right)=\max _{u \in[0,1]} H\left(t, x^{*}(t), u, p(t)\right)
$$

and

$$
\dot{p}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}=-2 x
$$

and

$$
p(\pi)=0
$$

Since $\dot{x}=u$ and $u \in[0,1], x$ is an increasing function and therefore $x(t) \geq x(0)=1$ for $\in[0, \pi]$. Thus $\dot{p} \leq-2$ and $p$ is strictly decreasing.

## 3b

We have $\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}=-2 u+p=0$ if $u=\frac{p}{2}$. Since the function $u \mapsto H$ is concave, the maximum is attained for $u^{*}=\frac{p}{2}$. This value of $u^{*}$ belongs to $[0,1]$ when $p \in[0,2]$. For $p \notin[0,2]$, the maximum is attained at the boundaries of the interval $[0,1]$ and we have to compare the values of $H$ for $u=0$ and $u=1$. We have

$$
H(1)-H(0)=x^{2}-1+p-x^{2}=p-1
$$

Hence, for $p<0, H(1)<H(0)$ and the maximum is attained for $u^{*}=0$. For $p>2, H(1)>H(0)$ and the maximum is attained for $u^{*}=1$.

## 3c

We have $p(\pi)=0$. By continuity of $p$, there exists a $t_{*}<\pi$ such that $p(t) \in[-2,2]$ for $t \in\left[t_{*}, \pi\right]$. Since, the function $p$ is strictly decreasing, we must have $p(t) \in[0,2]$.

For $p \in[0,2], u^{*}=\frac{p}{2}$ and we have to solve the system of ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} & =\frac{p}{2} \\
\dot{p} & =-2 x .
\end{aligned}
$$

We differentiate the second equation and plug in the first one. We obtain

$$
\ddot{p}+p=0
$$

The general solution is $p(t)=A \cos (t)+B \sin (t)$. Since $p(\pi)=0$, we get $p(t)=B \sin (t)$. Then,

$$
x(t)=-\frac{\dot{p}}{2}=-\frac{B}{2} \cos (t)
$$

and $x(\pi)=\bar{x}$ gives $\bar{x}=-\frac{B}{2} \cos (\pi)$, that is, $B=2 \bar{x}$. Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(t)=-\bar{x} \cos (t) \\
& p(t)=2 \bar{x} \sin (t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

3d

Let us assume that $t_{*}=0$. Then we must have $x(0)=1=-\bar{x} \cos (0)$. It gives $\bar{x}=-1$ and $p(t)=-2 \sin (t)$. However, this last result contradicts the fact that $p(t) \in[0,2]$ for $t \in\left[t_{*}, \pi\right]$.

We have $p\left(t_{*}\right)=2$ if and only if $2 \bar{x} \sin \left(t_{*}\right)=2$, that is, $\bar{x} \sin \left(t_{*}\right)=1$

## 3e

Since $p$ is strictly decreasing, we have $p(t)>2$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{*}\right)$. Then, $u^{*}=1$ and we have to solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} & =1 \\
\dot{p} & =-2 x .
\end{aligned}
$$

It gives

$$
x(t)=t+1,
$$

as $x(0)=1$, and $\dot{p}=-2 x=-2 t-2$ implies

$$
p(t)=-t^{2}-2 t+\bar{p} .
$$

By continuity of the functions $p$ and $x$ at $t_{*}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{*}+1 & =-\bar{x} \cos \left(t_{*}\right) \\
2 \bar{x} \sin \left(t_{*}\right)=2 & =-t_{*}^{2}-2 t_{*}+\bar{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{\sin \left(t_{*}\right)}$ and the first equation above gives

$$
t_{*}+1=-\frac{1}{\tan \left(t_{*}\right)} .
$$

We get $\bar{p}=2+t_{*}^{2}+2 t_{*}$. The optimal control $u^{*}$ is given by

$$
u^{*}(t)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } t \in\left[0, t_{*}\right] \\ \frac{\sin (t)}{\sin \left(t_{*}\right)} & \text { if } t \in\left[t_{*}, \pi\right] .\end{cases}
$$



