

## Chapter 17

Let  $P$  be the feasible solutions of an LP problem

- Simplex algorithm moves along the boundary of  $P$  (nonbasic variables are zero)
- Interior point methods make a path to the solution in the interior of  $P$ .

Often faster algorithms

$$(P) \quad \max \vec{c}^T \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t. } A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b} \\ \vec{x} \geq \vec{0}$$

$$(D) \quad \min \vec{b}^T \vec{y} \\ \text{s.t. } A^T \vec{y} \geq \vec{c} \\ \vec{y} \geq \vec{0}$$

with slack variables:

$$\max \vec{c}^T \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t. } A\vec{x} + \vec{w} = \vec{b} \\ \vec{x}, \vec{w} \geq \vec{0}$$

$$\min \vec{b}^T \vec{y} \\ \text{s.t. } A^T \vec{y} - \vec{z} = \vec{c} \\ \vec{y}, \vec{z} \geq \vec{0}$$

Idea: Rewrite problems to eliminate  $\vec{x}, \vec{w} \geq \vec{0}$ ,  $\vec{y}, \vec{z} \geq \vec{0}$ , i.e., make variables unconstrained, but still avoid values  $\leq 0$ .

Will achieve this using a logarithmic barrier function.

Barrier problem:

$$(P_\mu) \quad \max \vec{c}^T \vec{x} + \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j + \mu \sum_{i=1}^m \log w_i \\ \text{s.t. } A\vec{x} + \vec{w} = \vec{b}$$

- $(P_\mu)$  is an approximation to  $(P)$ , with variable constraints observed in the objective
- As  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , the objective function for  $(P_\mu)$  becomes more and more like that of  $(P)$  (fig 17.1 in the book).
- $(P_\mu)$  is nonlinear.

Goal: Show that  $(P_\mu)$  has a unique optimal solution  $x(\mu)$ , so that  $x(\mu) \rightarrow x^*$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , where  $x^*$  is the unique optimal solution to  $(P)$

Theorem 5.10.5 in FVLA (MAT1110)

Suppose  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is open,  $f, g_i, U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  have cont. part. der.

Let  $b_1, \dots, b_m \in \mathbb{R}$

Assume that  $x^*$  is a local max/min for  $f$  on the set

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_i(x) = b_i \text{ for all } i \}$$

and that  $\nabla g_1(x^*), \dots, \nabla g_m(x^*)$  are linearly independent.

Then there exist constants  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  (called Lagrange multipliers) so that

$$(*) \quad \nabla f(x^*) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla g_i(x^*)$$

Necessary optimality condition.

Alternatively expressed in terms of the Lagrange function as follows:

$$L(\vec{x}, \vec{\lambda}) = f(\vec{x}) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i g_i(\vec{x}) \quad \left( \begin{array}{l} \text{replaced} \\ g_i \rightarrow g_i - b_i, \\ \text{so that constraints are} \\ g_i(x) = 0 \end{array} \right)$$

1)  $(*)$  is the same as  $\nabla_x L(x^*, \vec{\lambda}) = \vec{0}$   
 $x^*$  is then called a critical point for the constrained problem.

2)  $g_i(x^*) = 0$  is the same as  $\nabla_y L(x^*, \vec{\lambda}) = \vec{0}$   
 $(g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x))$

$\nabla L(x^*, \vec{\lambda}) = \vec{0}$  are called first order optimality conditions.

So, for a max/min it is necessary that either

1) The  $\nabla g_i(x^*)$  linearly dependent

2)  $x^*$  is a critical point

## Sufficient optimality conditions

Define  $Hf(\vec{x}) = \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right]_{i,j}$  symmetric  $n \times n$ -matrix.

### Theorem 17.1

If the  $g_i$  are linear functions, and  $x^*$  is a critical point, then  $x^*$  is a local maximum in the constrained problem

if  $\vec{z}^T H_f(x^*) \vec{z} < 0$  for all  $\vec{z} \neq \vec{0}$  which satisfy

$\vec{z}^T \nabla q_i(x^*) = 0$  for all  $i$

$\vec{z}$  feasible direction

### Second order optimality condition

Proof: Second order Taylor formula says

means that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{K(z)}{\|z\|^2} = 0$   
 $K(z)$

$$f(\vec{x}^* + \vec{z}) = f(\vec{x}^*) + \nabla f(\vec{x}^*)^T \vec{z} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{z}^T H_f(\vec{x}^*) \vec{z} + O(\|z\|^2)$$

To preserve feasibility,  $\vec{z}$  must be chosen so that  $x^* + z$  also satisfies the constraints.

No change in  $g_i \Leftrightarrow$  change direction  $\vec{z}$  perpendicular to  $\nabla q_i$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \vec{z}^T \nabla q_i(x^*) = 0$  for all  $i$

Since  $x^*$  is a critical point:

$$\nabla f(x^*)^T \vec{z} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla q_i(x^*) \right)^T \vec{z} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \nabla q_i(x^*)^T \vec{z} = 0$$

This, and that  $\vec{z}^T H_f(x^*) \vec{z} < 0$  for all feasible directions  $\vec{z}$ ,

imply that

$$f(\vec{x}^* + \vec{z}) < f(x^*) \quad \left( \frac{1}{2} \vec{z}^T H_f(x^*) \vec{z} \text{ dominates } O(\|z\|^2) \right)$$

min eigenvalue of  $H_f(x^*)$ .

so  $x^*$  is a local maximum.

Note: if  $\vec{z}^T H_f(\vec{x}) \vec{z} < 0$  for all  $\vec{x}$ , then  $x^*$  is also a global maximum: Needs following for this:

$$f(\vec{x} + \vec{h}) = f(\vec{x}) + \nabla f(\vec{x})^T \vec{h} + \frac{1}{2} \vec{h}^T H_f(\vec{x} + t\vec{h}) \vec{h}$$

$t \in (0,1)$   $< 0$  always

## Lagrange's method applied to the barrier problem

$$(P_\mu) \quad \max \quad \vec{c}^T \vec{x} + \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j + \mu \sum_{i=1}^m \log w_i$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad A\vec{x} + \vec{w} = \vec{b} \quad f(x, w)$$

$$q_i(\vec{x}, \vec{w}) = (\vec{b} - A\vec{x} - \vec{w})_i = 0$$

$$G(\vec{x}, \vec{w}) = \vec{b} - A\vec{x} - \vec{w} = \vec{0}$$

$$L(x, \vec{w}, \vec{y}) = f(x, w) - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i q_i(\vec{x}, \vec{w})$$

$$= \vec{c}^T \vec{x} + \mu \sum_{j=1}^n \log x_j + \mu \sum_{i=1}^m \log w_i - \vec{y}^T (\vec{b} - A\vec{x} - \vec{w})$$

First order optimality conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_j} = c_j + \frac{\mu}{x_j} - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i a_{ij} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\mu}{w_i} - y_i = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y_i} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j - w_i = 0$$

write  $X$  for the diagonal matrix with the vector  $\vec{x}$  on the diagonal

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{c} + \mu X^{-1} \vec{e} - A^T \vec{y} &= \vec{0} \\ \mu W^{-1} \vec{e} - \vec{y} &= \vec{0} \\ \vec{b} - A\vec{x} - \vec{w} &= \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$A\vec{x} + \vec{w} = \vec{b}$$

$$A^T \vec{y} - \vec{z} = \vec{c}$$

$$\vec{z} = \mu X^{-1} \vec{e}$$

$$\vec{y} = \mu W^{-1} \vec{e}$$

rewrite to

$$A\vec{x} + \vec{w} = \vec{b}$$

$$A^T \vec{y} - \vec{z} = \vec{c}$$

$$X \vec{z} = \mu \vec{e}$$

$$W \vec{y} = \mu \vec{e}$$

interpretation

$\vec{x}$  primal feasible

$\vec{y}$  dual feasible

$$x_i z_i = \mu$$

$$w_i y_i = \mu$$

$\mu$ -complementarity.

only difference from LP.

Second order optimality conditions (uniqueness of solution to barrier p.)

Recall that  $f(\vec{x}, \vec{w}) = \vec{c}^T \vec{x} + \mu \sum_j \log x_j + \mu \sum_i \log w_i$

First order: 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = c_j + \frac{\mu}{x_j} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\mu}{w_i} \end{cases}$$

Second order: 
$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2} = -\frac{\mu}{x_j^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial w_i^2} = -\frac{\mu}{w_i^2} \\ \text{others are 0} \end{cases}$$
  $H^2 f$  is diagonal, with negative elements on the diagonal, so  $\vec{z}^T H^2 f \vec{z} < 0$  for all  $(\vec{x}, \vec{w})$ .

From theorem 17.1 it follows that barrier function can have at most one critical point, and that this is a global maximum if it exists.

Existence of solution to the barrier problem

Theorem 17.2 Barrier problem has a solution if and only if both the primal feasible and the dual feasible region have a nonempty interior

Proof: We only show  $\Leftarrow$

Assume  $(\bar{x}, \bar{w})$  is interior to primal feasible region  
 ————  $(\bar{y}, \bar{z})$  ———— " ———— dual ———— " ————

We have that  $A\bar{x} + \bar{w} = b$        $\bar{x}, \bar{w}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} > 0$   
 $A^T \bar{y} - \bar{z} = c$

Assume that  $(x, w)$  is primal feasible:

$\bar{z}^T x + \bar{y}^T w = (A^T \bar{y} - c)^T x + \bar{y}^T (b - Ax) = b^T \bar{y} - c^T x$

It follows that  $c^T \bar{x} = -\bar{z}^T \bar{x} - \bar{y}^T \bar{w} + b^T \bar{y}$   
 Barrier function:  $f(x, w) = c^T x + \mu \sum_j \log x_j + \mu \sum_i \log w_i$

$$= \sum_j (-z_j^T x_j + \mu \log x_j) + \sum_i (-y_i^T w_i + \mu \log w_i) + b^T \bar{y}$$

Both terms in the sum are on the form  $h(v) = -av + \mu \log v$ ,  
 with  $a > 0$ ,  $0 < v < \infty$

$$h'(v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow -a + \frac{\mu}{v} = 0 \Leftrightarrow v = \frac{\mu}{a}$$

$$h''(v) = -\frac{\mu}{v^2} < 0, \text{ so that } v = \frac{\mu}{a} \text{ is a maximum}$$

Thus,  $\{ (x, w) : f(x, w) \geq \delta \}$  is bounded for any  $\delta$ .

Set  $\delta = \bar{f} = f(\bar{x}, \bar{w})$ , and define

$$\bar{P} = \{ (x, w) : Ax + w = b, x \geq 0, w \geq 0 \} \quad \text{closed}$$

$$\cap \{ (x, w) : x > 0, w > 0, f(x, w) \geq \bar{f} \} \quad \text{closed}$$

$\bar{P}$  is closed and bounded (i.e.,  $\bar{P}$  is compact)

$\bar{P}$  is also nonempty (contains  $(\bar{x}, \bar{w})$ )

$f$  thus has a supremum on  $\bar{P}$ , and therefore also on

$$\{ (x, w) : Ax + w = b, x > 0, w > 0 \}$$

as desired ■

Exercise 10.7 in the book: The dual feasible region has an interior point when the primal feasible region is bounded.

It follows that:

Corollary 17.3 If the primal feasible region has interior points and is bounded, then for each  $\mu$  there exists a unique solution  $(x(\mu), w(\mu), y(\mu), z(\mu))$  to the barrier problem.

The path  $p(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} x(\mu) \\ w(\mu) \\ y(\mu) \\ z(\mu) \end{bmatrix}$  is called the primal-dual central path.

primal-dual path-following method: one computes a sequence  $\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)} \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $k$  one approximately solves the barrier problem using Newton's method.  $p(\mu^{(k)}) \rightarrow$  optimal primal dual solution.