

## Exercises chap. 11

11.3 a) Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$  be a randomized strategy for row player.

Want to find  $\min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} y^T A x \rightarrow (x^*, y^*)$

$s$  is as in the text.

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (y^T A x) &= \max_j (y^T A)_j = \max_j \left( \sum_{i \neq r,s} y_i a_{ij} + y_r a_{rj} + y_s a_{sj} \right) \\ &\geq \max_j \left( \sum_{i \neq r,s} y_i' a_{ij} + a_{sj} (y_r + y_s) \right) \\ &= \max_j \left( \sum_{i \neq r} y_i' a_{ij} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $y'$  is  $y$  with entry  $r$  removed, and  $y_s$  is replaced with  $y_r + y_s$ .  $y'$  is a randomized strategy for the game where row  $r$  is removed ( $A \rightarrow A_0$ )

Let  $y^*$  be an optimal strategy for this new game. Then

$$\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (y^*)^T A_0 x \leq \max_{\substack{i \neq r \\ e^T y = 1}} \sum_{i \neq r} y_i' a_{ij} \stackrel{\text{proved above}}{\leq} \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (y^T A x) \quad \text{for all } y$$

↓

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (y^*)^T A_0 x &\leq \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} y^T A x \\ (y_1^*, \dots, \tilde{y_{r-1}}, 0, \tilde{y_{r+1}}, \dots) &\Rightarrow \text{if you insert a zero at place in } y, \\ &\quad \text{you get an optimal strategy for the game.} \end{aligned}$$

b) Similar (see notes from last year)

c) In summary: if row  $r$  dominates another row, set  $y_r^* = 0$   
if col  $s$  is dominated by another column, set  $y_s^* = 0$

see that col 1 dominates col 4  $\Rightarrow$  can drop col. 4

$$\begin{pmatrix} -6 & 2 & -4 & \textcircled{-7} & -5 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 & -9 & -1 \\ -7 & 3 & -3 & -8 & -2 \\ 2 & -3 & 6 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{-6} & 2 & -4 & -5 \\ 0 & \textcircled{4} & -2 & -1 \\ -7 & 3 & -3 & -2 \\ 2 & -3 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{see that row 2} \geq \text{row 1} \Rightarrow \text{can drop row 2}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{-6} & 2 & -4 & -5 \\ \textcircled{-7} & 3 & -3 & -2 \\ 2 & -3 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{see that col 3} \geq \text{col 1} \Rightarrow \text{can drop col. 1}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 & -5 \\ \textcircled{3} & -3 & -2 \\ -3 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{see that row 2} \geq \text{row 1} \Rightarrow \text{can drop row 2}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 & \textcircled{-5} \\ -3 & 6 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{see that col 2} \geq \text{col 3} \Rightarrow \text{can drop col. 3}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -4 \\ -3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

11.2 A picks  $\varepsilon$ , B picks  $j$

A wins:  $a_{ij} = -1$   
 B wins:  $a_{ij} = 1$

row player

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & & & \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & & \\ -1 & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

see that row 4, 5, 6, ..., 100 dominate row 1, can remove those

Left with:

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

col 1 dominates col 4, 5, 6, ..., 100, can remove those

Left

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

This is the payoff matrix for the paper scissors rock game, where  $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$  was an optimal strategy  
 (see Chap. 11)

- 11.5 (\* ) r<sup>th</sup> pure strategy of row player: row player chooses  $y = e_r$   
 (\*\* ) s<sup>th</sup> pure strategy of col player: col player chooses  $x = e_s$ .

(\*) col player chooses  $x$  by  $\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (e_r)^T A x = \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (Ax)_r$

(\*\*) row player chooses  $y$  by  $\min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} y^T A e_s = \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} (y^T A)_s$

So, when is  $\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} (Ax)_r = \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} (y^T A)_s$

$$\underbrace{\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}}}_{k} a_{kr} \quad \underbrace{\min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}}}_{l} a_{sr}$$

$\max$  in  $r$ <sup>th</sup> column =  $\min$  in  $s$ <sup>th</sup> row

Since:  $\max_k a_{kr} \geq a_{sr} \geq \min_l a_{sl}$

We must have that  $a_{sr} = \max_k a_{kr} = \min_l a_{sl}$

So:  $a_{sr}$  must be max in col  $r$ , and min in row  $s$ .

11.6 We have shown that, with  $f(x) = \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} y^T A x$

$$g(y) = \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} y^T A x,$$

$\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} f(x)$  and  $\min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} g(y)$  are dual problems.

By the minmax theorem, there exist  $x^*, y^*$  so that  
 $f(x^*) = g(y^*)$

Weak duality:  $\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} f(x) = \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} g(y)$

This shows that  $\max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} y^T A x = \min_{\substack{y \geq 0 \\ e^T y = 1}} \max_{\substack{x \geq 0 \\ e^T x = 1}} y^T A x$ .

