# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO <br> Faculty of mathematics and natural sciences 

Exam in:
MAT3440 - Dynamical systems
Day of examination: 15 June 2022
Examination hours: 15:00-19:00
This problem set consists of 7 pages.
Appendices: None
Permitted aids: None

Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

## Note:

- You can get a total of 110 points. The point distribution is specified for each problem.
- All answers must be justified.


## Problem 1 (15p)

Consider the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=-(x-1)^{3}-(x-y)^{3}  \tag{1}\\
\dot{y}=(x-y)^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

1a (3p)
Show that $u^{*}:=(1,1)$ is the only fixed point.
1b (5p)
Find the linearized system for $u^{*}$. Does the linearization tell us anything about the stability of the fixed point? Explain why, or why not.

1c (7p)
Show that $u^{*}$ is an asymptotically stable fixed point.
Hint: Show first that the system is a gradient system.

## Solution:

1a
It is clear that $u^{*}$ is a fixed point. At any fixed point we need $(x-y)^{3}=0$, that is, $x=y$, and hence also $(x-1)^{3}=0$, so $x=1$, whence $y=1$.

## 1b

The linearized system around $u^{*}$ is $\dot{v}=A v$, where $A=\nabla F\left(u^{*}\right)=0$. The eigenvalues of $A$ are 0 , so $u^{*}$ is not hyperbolic. We can therefore not use the linearized system to learn about the stability of the fixed point.

## 1c

We claim that $\dot{u}=-\nabla G(u)$ for some $G: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, by the equation for $\dot{y}, G$ must contain a term $\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^{4}$, and by the equation for $\dot{x}, G$ must contain the terms $\frac{1}{4}(x-1)^{4}$ and $\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^{4}$. The function $G(x, y):=\frac{1}{4}(x-1)^{4}+\frac{1}{4}(x-y)^{4}$ fits both these needs.

This function $G$ has $u^{*}$ as its unique, global minimum. Hence, $G$ is a Lyapunov function for $u^{*}$ on all of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, so by the theory of Lyapunov functions, $u^{*}$ is asymptotically stable (in fact, globally attracting).

## Problem 2 (10p)

Compute the matrix exponential of $A:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}-1 & -3 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right)$.
Solution: $A$ is upper triangular so the eigenvalues are the diagonal entries: $\lambda_{1}=-1$ and $\lambda_{2}=2$. The corresponding eigenvectors are $r_{1}=\binom{1}{0}$ and $r_{2}=\binom{1}{-1}$. Denote $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ and $R=\left(r_{1} r_{2}\right)$. Then $A=R \Lambda R^{-1}$, where $R^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right)=R$. We get

$$
e^{A}=R e^{\Lambda} R^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & e^{2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{-1} & e^{-1}-e^{2} \\
0 & e^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Problem 3 (10p)

Consider the explicit Euler (forward Euler) method with step length $h>0$, applied to the system

$$
\dot{u}=A u, \quad \text { where } A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{2}\\
-1000 & -1001
\end{array}\right)
$$

Find the largest number $h_{0}>0$ such that this method is linearly stable for any step length $h \in\left(0, h_{0}\right)$.
Hint: You may use what you have learnt in class about the stability region and stability function of the explicit Euler method.

Solution: The eigenvalues of $A$ are $\lambda_{1}=-1$ and $\lambda_{2}=-1000$. In class we have found that the stability region of the explicit Euler method is $\mathcal{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z+1| \leqslant 1\}$. Since we need $\lambda_{k} h \in \mathcal{D}$ for $k=1,2$, and the eigenvalues are real, we need $\lambda_{k} h \in \mathcal{D} \cap \mathbb{R}=[-2,0]$, so we need
$h \leqslant 2 /\left|\lambda_{k}\right|$ for $k=1,2$. This yields the value $h_{0}=2 / 1000=1 / 500$.

## Problem 4 (45p)

Consider the system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=\alpha x-y-x^{3}  \tag{3}\\
\dot{y}=x+\alpha y-y^{3}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for some parameter $\alpha$. It can be shown that if $\alpha<2$ then the only fixed point of (1) is the origin (you don't need to show this).

## $4 \mathrm{a} \quad(5 \mathrm{p})$

For some value of $\alpha<2$ of your choice, draw the nullclines of (3) and indicate the direction of the velocity field.

## $4 b \quad(5 p)$

Show that sets of the form $A_{R}:=\left\{(x, y): x^{2}+y^{2}<R\right\}$ are forward invariant whenever $R>0$ is large enough.

## 4c (10p)

Show that (3) has a unique solution defined for all $t \geqslant 0$ for any initial data ( $x(0), y(0))$.

## $4 \mathrm{~d} \quad(10 \mathrm{p})$

Determine the type of stability of $(0,0)$ (i.e., Lyapunov stable, unstable, asymptotically stable, etc.) for all values of $\alpha<2$.
$4 \mathrm{e} \quad(10 p)$
Show that the system has a periodic orbit when $\alpha \in(0,2)$.

## $4 \mathrm{f} \quad(5 \mathrm{p})$

Draw a bifurcation diagram for (3). What sort of bifurcation does (3) undergo, and for what value of $\alpha$ ?

## Solution:

4a
...

4b
We study the evolution of $L(x, y):=x^{2}+y^{2}$ :

$$
\dot{L}=2 x\left(\alpha x-y-x^{3}\right)+2 y\left(\alpha x+y-y^{3}\right)=2\left(\alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-x^{4}-y^{4}\right)
$$

which is negative whenever $x^{2}+y^{2}$ is large enough. (A proof of this goes as follows: By the elementary inequality $2 a b \leqslant a^{2}+b^{2}$ we get

$$
\dot{L} \leqslant 2 \alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-x^{4}-y^{4}-2 x^{2} y^{2}=2 \alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

which is strictly negative when $x^{2}+y^{2}>2 \alpha$.) Hence, any solution on the boundary of $\partial A_{R}$, for $R$ large enough, will move into $A_{R}$. It follows that $A_{R}$ is forward invariant.

## 4c

The velocity field in (3) is locally Lipschitz continuous since it is $C^{1}$ (in fact, $\left.C^{\infty}\right)$. Therefore, it follows from the Cauchy-Lipschitz theory that there exists a unique solution defined for all $t \in(-\tau, \tau)$ for some $\tau>0$. If $R>0$ is large enough that $(x(0), y(0)) \in A_{R}$ and $A_{R}$ is forward invariant, then the solution remains bounded as $t$ increases. It follows that the solution exists for all $t \geqslant 0$.

## 4d

The linearization at $(0,0)$ is

$$
\dot{v}=A v, \quad \text { where } A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha & -1 \\
1 & \alpha
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of $A$ are $\alpha \pm i$. The real part of both eigenvalues is $\alpha$, so the fixed point is repelling for $\alpha>0$ and attracting when $\alpha<0$. When $\alpha=0$ we can use the estimate in problem 4 b to see that $\dot{L}=-2\left(x^{4}+y^{4}\right)$, so $L$ is a Lyapunov function for $(0,0)$, whence the origin is attracting.
Alternative solution: From the estimate in problem 4b, we see that

$$
\dot{L} \geqslant 2 \alpha\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-2\left(x^{4}+2 x^{2} y^{2}+y^{4}\right)=2 r^{2}\left(\alpha-r^{2}\right)
$$

where $r=\|(x, y)\|$.

- When $\alpha>0$, this quantity is strictly positive in the disc $U:=$ $B_{r_{0}}(0)$ (where $r_{0}=\sqrt{\alpha}$ ), apart from at $(x, y)=(0,0)$. Moreover, $(0,0)$ is a strict minimum of $L$. It follows that $L$ is a Lyapunov function for (3) but backwards in time. Hence, $(0,0)$ is repelling, i.e. asymptotically stable backwards in time.
- When $\alpha \leqslant 0$ this quantity is strictly negative everywhere apart from at $(0,0)$. By the same reasoning, it follows that $(0,0)$ is (globally) attracting.


## 4e

Let $R>0$ be such that $A_{R}$ is forward invariant. If $u_{0} \in A_{R}$ is any point apart from the origin, then $\Gamma_{+}\left(u_{0}\right)$ is bounded (since $A_{R}$ is bounded),
so by the Poincaré-Bendixson theorem, $\omega\left(u_{0}\right)$ either contains a fixed point or a periodic orbit. But the only fixed point in $A_{R}$ is 0 , which is repelling, so $\omega\left(u_{0}\right)$ must be a periodic orbit. We conclude that there exists a periodic orbit.

## 4f

The system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $\alpha=0$ near $(0,0)$. Indeed, for $\alpha<0$ the fixed point is globally attracting, so there are no periodic orbits, while for $\alpha>0$ there is a periodic orbit.


## Problem 5 (30p)

Consider the Lotka-Volterra model

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=x(3-x-2 y)  \tag{4}\\
\dot{y}=y(2-x-y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which is a model for the number of individuals in two species in an ecosystem. In particular, we require $x, y \geqslant 0$. It is easy to check that

$$
p_{0}:=(0,0), \quad p_{1}:=(1,1), \quad p_{2}:=(0,2) \quad \text { and } \quad p_{3}:=(3,0)
$$

are fixed points for (4) (you don't need to check this).
$5 \mathrm{a} \quad$ (3p)
Are the species predators or prey?

## 5b (5p)

Find the nullclines of (4) and use them to draw a (rough) phase portrait. Be sure to indicate the fixed points.

## $5 \mathrm{c} \quad(8 \mathrm{p})$

It can be shown that $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are asymptotically stable (you don't need to show this). Show that $p_{0}$ is repelling (i.e., asymptotically stable backwards in time), and that $p_{1}$ is unstable (i.e., not Lyapunov stable).

## 5d (14p)

What does the stable manifold theorem say about the fixed point $p_{1}$ ? Use this information to draw a new, more detailed phase portrait.

## Solution:

## 5a

Both species are prey animals, since neither specie will benefit from the abundance of the other specie.

5b


The figure above shows the nullclines and arrows indicating the direction of the velocity field.

## 5c

The Jacobian of the velocity field is

$$
\nabla F(x, y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
3-2 x-2 y & -2 x \\
-y & 2-x-2 y
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence,

$$
\nabla F\left(p_{0}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 0 \\
0 & 2
\end{array}\right), \quad \nabla F\left(p_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-1 & -2 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of $p_{0}$ are 3,2 , which are positive, so $p_{0}$ is repelling. The eigenvalues of $p_{1}$ are $\lambda_{ \pm}-1 \pm \sqrt{2}$, which have opposing signs, so $p_{1}$ is unstable.

## Sd

The eigenvectors of $\nabla F\left(p_{1}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{ \pm}=-1 \pm \sqrt{2}$ are $r_{ \pm}=\binom{\mp \sqrt{2}}{1}$. According to the stable manifold theorem, there are two branches of the stable manifold emanating from $p_{1}$ in the directions $\pm r_{-}$, and two branches of the unstable manifold emanating from $p_{1}$ in the directions $\pm r_{+}$. According to the phase portrait in problem 5 b , the two branches of the stable manifold must come from $p_{0}$ and $(\infty, \infty)$, and the two branches of the unstable manifold must approach $p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.


The above figure shows the nullclines, along with the stable manifold (orange) and the unstable manifold (purple) of $p_{1}$, as well as four other orbits.

