

UiO : University of Oslo

Borel — A Game about Probability

Helmer Aslaksen

Dept. of Teacher Education/Dept. of Mathematics University of Oslo helmer.aslaksen@gmail.com



My background in USA and Singapore

- Cand. mag., University of Oslo.
- Ph.D., University of California, Berkeley.
- 1989–2011, Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore.
- Introduced two "General Education Modules": "Heavenly Mathematics and Cultural Astronomy" and "Mathematics in Art and Architecture".
- Vice president, Singapore Mathematical Society.
- Chair of organizing committee, Singapore Mathematical Olympiad.
- Reviewer of textbooks, Ministry of Education, Singapore.

My background in Norway

- Since 2011, joint appointment, Dept. of Teacher Education and Dept. of Mathematics, Univ. of Oslo.
- Introduced a new course, "School Mathematics from an Advanced Viewpoint".
- Teach a course every summer in Singapore at a Residential College in University Town.
- What I do is between mathematics and mathematics education. I call it educational mathematics.

I'm a Game Geek

► I have always loved board games and card games, and I currently own about 600.



Game Design and Mathematics

- My favorite designer is Reiner Knizia, who has a Ph.D. in mathematics from the University of Ulm. (Charakterisierung von mehrdimensionalen Perron-Integralen.)
- I have made a list on Board Game Geek called Designers with a Background in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics)
- In November 2019 I got a message on Board Game Geek from Michał Stajszczak who had discovered Borel. I bought it, and it was love at first sight!

UiO: University of Oslo

What is Borel?

- Borel can best be described as a party game for people who love mathematics and statistics, but it also works well in an educational setting.
- ► It comes with 180 cards with statements about the result of rolling various types of dice (D6, D10, D20 and D30), flipping a coin, drawing colored balls from a pouch and manipulating special symbol cards.
- ► For example: "Flip the Borel Coin six times. Note the results. Will the result be 4-2 for one side of the coin."
- You then decide whether you think the statement is likely to be true or false, and how much you are willing to bet on it.
- You are given betting cards that say Yes/No and \$100/\$300/\$800. You pick one answer and one amount, and everybody reveals their bets simultaneously.
- ► You then run the experiment, and the bets are resolved.
- ► I like to say that Borel is not a quiz about stochastic but a stochastic quiz.

Why is Borel a Great Game? 1

- Designing a good game requires finding a good balance between luck and skill.
- Chess is pure skill (except for drawing white or black), but that means that it is a waste of time for a beginner to play against an experienced player.
- On the other hand, a game like Ludo/Pachisi/Mensch ärgere Dich nicht, is pure luck with no meaningful decisions.
- Borel, on the other hand, has a great mix of skill and luck. If you are good at probability, you may be able to predict how likely the statement is to be true. But the experiment may still give the opposite result, so somebody who misjudged the probabilities can still win. It just is less likely.

Why is Borel a Great Game? 2

- You also have to manage your money carefully. We will discuss something called the Kelly Criterion later.
- ► There are two Rerun cards that allow you to run the experiment again. This can be useful if you lost thanks to an unlikely outcome, or if your opponent has made an unwise bet.
- If near the end of the game your are behind, you may want to bet on an unlikely result in an attempt to catch up.

How to use Borel in the Class Room

- ▶ I have used the game in my classes, and they love it. The game comes with betting cards for seven players, but I split my students into groups of 2-4, and they engage in intense discussions. For groups over 28, I make extra copies of the betting cards, bring my own poker chips and run the experiment in front of the class.
- It can also be done over Zoom!

What are the Cards Like?

- Many of the statements on the cards are intended to test your perception of randomness. On their website, www.playborel.com/, you can take a test that will you give an idea of what kind of questions you will find in the game. But be warned, you will probably do badly!
- Part of the reason why I like probability, is because of all the paradoxes. If you think you understand probability, then you don't!
- ► I had a master student who used Borel as a diagnostic tool to detect misconceptions about probability.

Problems with the Game 1

- I only have three negative comments.
- Some of the cards are not colorblind friendly.
- The cards are not numbered. That would have made it easier for teachers who want to select certain cards or refer to cards when discussing the answers.
- The wording on the cards is sometimes unclear. Part of the challenge in probability is to interpret the question precisely. Are you drawing balls with or without replacement? Do you mean increasing or strictly increasing?

Problems with the Game 2

- Some of the questions have also been made "confusing" on purpose. One card asks: "Roll a 6-sided die two times and a 10-sided die two times. Note the results. Will you roll a 7 or less exactly four times?"
- ► The two D6's will always give less than 7, so is there a typo, or are the D6's just "noise"? My personal view is that when teaching basic probability, you should avoid noise, but when teaching applied statistics, dealing with noise is part of what you have to learn.
- ▶ I believe that in school mathematics, there is a contract between teacher and student that the wording of the exercises is "fair", and that there is no redundant information or confusing statements.

Borel — Dice Edition

- ► The main problem with the game, however, is that it is out of print. Fortunately, they have released a new version, called Borel - Dice Edition.
- ► The rules are slightly different. The first person to put forward their yes/no card, becomes the "Leader" and gets their preferred outcome, while all the others must bet on the opposite outcome. The experiment is then performed a second time, where the players are allowed to bet freely, except for the Leader.
- By being the first to commit to a bet and becoming the Leader, you can force all the others to bet the opposite. However, the Leader is not allowed to change their bet in the second round, so betting too guickly can be dangerous, too.
- ► These rules change the game fundamentally, and add a speed element. This might be interesting in a party game setting, but in an educational setting, I prefer the rules in the original edition, and you can use the new cards with the old rules.

Borel as a Customizable Framework 1

- ► The game is both an interesting selection of cards, and a great framework that you can customize for diverse groups or for different types of games.
- You can treat it as a party game or as an educational game.
- You can mix and match the cards from the two version and your own cards.
- You can draw cards randomly or select cards in advance.
- You can vary the amount of time you let people think.
- You can require that people answer quickly and rely on their gut feelings about randomness, you can let them use pen or paper for computations, or they may be allowed to use technology for computations.
- You can even set different rules for different participants.
- You can mix and match the rules from the two versions to fit your group and your setting.

Borel as a Customizable Framework 2

- ▶ However, the main thing is that you can make your own cards.
- If you plan to use it for lower grades, some of the current cards may be too hard, but you can simply make your own cards.
- Any yes/no question about dice and coins can be used.
- It does not matter if the game is out of print.

How to Play

- I will now create groups or breakout rooms. I will give you a file with all the questions. You will be given some time to discuss, and then I will close the rooms and we will conduct the experiments.
- Some of them are simple, and you can solve them using a simple formula or a simple diagram.
- Some of them are more complex and requires elaborate, but elementary enumeration.
- Some of them would make for good exercises in programming or simulation.
- Is this going to be a party game or an educational game?
- Let's face it, we're all geeks, and no math geek party is complete without a bit of lecturing, so I will take some breaks where we discuss the answers to the questions.

UiO: University of Oslo

- We will do the experiments one by one, but I will give you the questions in advance, so that you can work ahead if you decide on the first questions quickly.
- ▶ We will use the original rules with Yes/No and \$100/\$300/\$800. You start with \$4000, and keep track of your money yourself.

Kelly Criterion

- When I first played the game, I assumed that choosing between betting \$100, \$300 or \$800 was simply a question of how confident you were of winning. I even thought that we could estimate how confident the students were by looking at how much they had bet.
- But then I realized that it more complex than that. Suppose I am 60% sure of winning, and I have to choose between betting \$1 or \$1000. The expected payoff will be \$0.60 or \$600, so it may seem obvious that I should bet the maximal amount.
- ► However, what happens if I loose several times in the beginning? I then run the risk of going broke.
- ► It is clear that choosing the optimal betting amount depends on both how confident I am of winning and how big my capital is.
- ► The Kelly criterion says that if my probability of winning is p and my capital is C, then I should bet (2p 1)C.
- In particular, if p = 0.5, I should not bet.

One Question to Rule Them All

- ► The designers of the game have analyzed the answers to the probability quizz on www.playborel.com/ and the replies to a question about the respondent's post-school formal mathematical training where the available answers were: "no formal training", "up to 4 years of training" and "more than 4 years of training".
- ► They did not find any link between performance on the quizz and length of mathematical training.
- However, they did find a strong link between the performance on one specific question, and the performance on the whole quizz. We will therefore start with that question.

UiO: University of Oslo Game On!

► Enough talk! Let's play!

 Start flipping the Borel coin.
 Note down the results in the order they appear.
 Stop when one of the two sequences appear: [Heads - Tails] or [Tails - Tails].

Will the sequence [Heads - Tails] appear first?

► The only way TT can beat HT is if your first two flips are TT, so it follows that.

$$P(YES) = 3/4.$$

➤ Start flipping the Borel coin.

Note down the results in the order they appear.

Stop when one of the two sequences appear:

[Tails - Tails] or [Tails - Heads].

Will the sequence [Tails - Tails] appear first?

Once you get a T, you will then get T or H the next time, so it is clear that

$$P(YES) = 1/2.$$

- ► However, it can be shown that the average waiting time for TH is 4, while the waiting time for TT is 6.
- ► The point is that the waiting times are actually irrelevant! If you are trying to get TT, and you already have a T, then if you get an H, you have to start again. However, if you are trying to get TH, and get first one T and then another T, you are still just one away from TH.
- ► If you are trying to get TH, and lose because the first T was followed by another T, you were still just one away from winning. However, if you are trying to get TT, and lose because the first T was followed by an H, you are two away from winning.
- The way I think of this is that with TT, you win half the time, but when you lose, you lose badly.

- Imagine that A and B are taking a number of tests that are scored out of 100 points, with a passing grade of 40. A gets 70 half the time and 30 half the time, while B gets 70 half the time and 10 half the time. A has an average grade of 50, while B has an average grade of 40, but they both pass half the time.
- So while passing rate and average grade in this example are clearly related, they are not the same. Similarly, winning rate and waiting time are not the same.

Let E(T) be the expected waiting time for the first T. We have E(T) = E(T|H)P(H) + E(T|T)P(T), but if we get H, we must add one to the waiting time, so E(T|H) = 1 + E(T), and we get

$$E(T) = E(T|H)P(H) + E(T|T)P(T)$$

= $(1 + E(T))/2 + 1/2 = E(T)/2 + 1$,

and hence E(T) = 2.

In the same way,

$$E(TH) = E(TH|H)P(H) + E(TH|T)P(T)$$

= $(1 + E(TH))/2 + (1 + E(H))/2 = E(TH)/2 + 2$,

so we get E(TH)=4.

► From

$$E(TT) = E(TT|H)P(H) + E(TT|T)P(T)$$

$$= (1 + E(TT))/2 + (E(TT|TT) + E(TT|HT))/4$$

$$= E(TT)/2 + 1/2 + (2 + E(TT) + 2)/4 = 3E(TT)/4 + 3/2,$$
we get $E(TT) = 6$.

Keep tossing the Borel coin, noting the total number of heads and tails you get. Stop when you reach two heads.

Will you have more heads than tails when you stop?

Coin Stop 2H MoreH Solution

Consider the first two flips. If you get TT, you will lose. If you get HH, you have won. If you get HT or TH, you will win or lose depending on what you flip next time, so the your chance of winning is 1/2 in both cases. All together, we get

$$P(YES) = 1/2.$$

UiO: University of Oslo Coin Row 8 4Row

► Flip the Borel coin eight times. Note the results in the order they appear.

Will you get the same result at least four times in a row?

Coin Row 8 4Row Solution

▶ Let us first count the number of ways we can get at least four Heads in a row. In this table *X* denotes either H or T, and you can check that this is a disjoint list of all the possible ways to get at least four Heads in a row.

$TH^{4}X^{3}$ 8 $XTH^{4}X^{2}$ 8 $X^{2}TH^{4}X$ 8 $X^{3}TH^{4}$ 8 Total 48	H^4X^4	16
$\begin{array}{c cc} X^2TH^4X & 8 \\ X^3TH^4 & 8 \end{array}$	TH^4X^3	8
X^3TH^4 8	XTH^4X^2	8
71 777	X^2TH^4X	8
Total 48	X^3TH^4	8
	Total	48

To find the number of ways we can get the same result four times in a row, we multiply 48 by 2 and subtract 2, since H^4T^4 and T^4H^4 have both been counted twice. Hence

$$P(YES) = \frac{94}{256} \approx 0.37.$$

UiO: University of Oslo Coin Distribution 4 H2

Flip the Borel coin four times. Note the results.

Will you get Heads exactly two times?

Coin Distribution 4 H2 Solution

► Using the binomial distribution, we get

$$P(YES) = {4 \choose 2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 0.375.$$

UiO: University of Oslo Dice Row D6x8 x2

► Roll a 6-sided die eight times. Note the results in the order they appear.

Will some number appear at least two times in a row?

Dice Row D6x8 x2 Solution

Using complimentary probability, we get

$$P(YES) = 1 - \left(\frac{5}{6}\right)^7 \approx 0.72$$

Dice Stop D6More1 3or4

► Keep rolling a 6-sided die as long as the outcome is above 1. Stop when you roll a 1.

Will a 3 or a 4 have appeared before you stop?

Dice Stop D6More1 3or4 Solution

The question is simply whether you first roll a 1 or first roll a 3 or 4. It is clear that

$$P(\mathsf{YES}) = \frac{2}{3} \approx 0.67.$$

Dice Sum D6x3D10D20D30 40

► Roll three 6-sided dice, a 10-sided die, a 20-sided die and a 30-sided die.

Will the sum of the results be at least 40?

Dice Sum D6x3D10D20D30 40 Solution

The sum of the expected values is

$$3 \cdot 3.5 + 5.5 + 10.5 + 15.5 = 42,$$

and since this is greater than 40, we know that

I have not tried to find the exact value.

Dice Increasing D10D6

▶ Roll a 10-sided die. Then roll a 6-sided die.

Will the two results be in increasing order?

Dice Increasing D10D6 Solution



Draw a table and observe that there are 15 Yes and 6+15+24 No. Hence

$$P(YES) = \frac{15}{60} = 0.25$$

Dice Increasing D6D10D20

► Roll a 6-sided die. Then roll a 10-sided die. Then roll a 20-sided die.

Will the three results be in strictly increasing order?

Dice Increasing D6D10D20 Solution 1

- ► There are $n = 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 20 = 1200$ possible cases. We need to enumerate the different ways to get strictly increasing results. We will consider four different cases.
 - ► I: All dice between 1 and 6.
 - II: Two between 1 and 6 and one between 7 and 20.
 - III: One between 1 and 6 and two between 7 and 10.
 - IV: One between 1 and 6, one between 7 and 10 and one between 11 and 20.

Dice Increasing D6D10D20 Solution 2

Case I: If the D6 is 1, there are 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 10 possibilities for the remaining two, namely

$$(2,3),(2,4),...,(2,6),(3,4),...,(3,6),(4,5),(4,6),(5,6)$$
. If the D6 is 2, there are $3+2+1=6$ possibilities, if the D6 is 3, there are $2+1=3$ possibilities, and if the D6 is 4 there is 1 possibility. So all together 20.

Case II: The number of upper diagonal entries in a 6×6 matrix is $6 \cdot 5/2 = 15$, which is the number of ways to select the first two, so all together $15 \cdot 14 = 210$.

Case III: $6 \cdot (4 \cdot 3/2) = 36$. Case IV: $6 \cdot 4 \cdot 10 = 240$.

$$P(YES) = \frac{20 + 210 + 36 + 240}{1200} = \frac{506}{1200} \approx 0.42.$$

► Roll three 6-sided dice and a 20-sided die. What is the minimum value you got and what is the maximum?

Will the difference between these two values be greater than 10?

Dice MaxMin D6x3D20 More10 Solution 1

- If the D20 is 11 or less, the difference cannot be greater than 10. So it is clear that $P(YES) \le 9/20 = 0.45$.
- To get the exact value, we observe that the total number of ordered cases is 6³ ⋅ 20 = 4320. How many ways can the minimum of the 3 D6 be 1?
 - If the first one is 1, the two others can be anything, so we get $5 \cdot 6 = 36$.
 - If the first one is not 1, but the second one is, we get $5 \cdot 6 = 30$.
 - If the first two are not 1, but the last one is, we get $5 \cdot 5 = 25$, so all together 36 + 30 + 25 = 91.
 - You can also say that there are $3 \cdot 25 = 75$ ways to get only one 1, $3 \cdot 5 = 15$ ways to get two 1's, and 1 way to get 3 1's, so all together 75 + 15 + 1 = 91.

Dice MaxMin D6x3D20 More10 Solution 2

In the same way, you can get a minimum of 2 in $5^2+5\cdot 4+4^2=61$ ways, 3 in $4^2+4\cdot 3+3^2=37$, 4 in $3^2+3\cdot 2+2^2=19$, 5 in $2^2+2\cdot 1+1^2=7$ and 6 in 1 way. We therefore get that the total number of yes cases is

$$91 \cdot 9 + 61 \cdot 8 + 37 \cdot 7 + 19 \cdot 6 + 7 \cdot 5 + 1 \cdot 4 = 1719.$$

Hence

$$P(YES) = \frac{1719}{4320} \approx 0.40.$$

Dice Consecutive D6x3 2

Roll three 6-sided dice.

Will there be two consecutive numbers among the results? Example: if you roll [4, 1, 5] the answer is Yes.

Dice Consecutive D6x3 2 Solution

Let us first assume that we roll (ignoring order) (x, x, y), i.e., we roll one number twice. It can be checked that there are then 10 ways to get two consecutive numbers, namely

$$(1,1,2),\ldots,(5,5,6)$$
 and $(2,2,1),\ldots,(6,6,5).$

We must then multiply by 3 to get 30 such ordered rolls.

If we instead roll three different numbers, we get $\binom{5}{3} = 20$ possible tripples, and we can check that 16 of them will contain two consecutive numbers. We must then multiply by 3! to get 96 such ordered rolls. Hence

$$P(YES) = \frac{30 + 96}{6^3} = \frac{126}{216} \approx 0.58.$$

Dice Combination D6x3 2orMore10

► Roll three 6-sided dice.

Will there be a combination of at least two dice that forms exactly sum 10?

Dice Combination D6x3 2orMore10 Solution 1

We will first see which values of the dice will enable us to form a sum equal to 10. This is the same as looking for all possible partitions of 10 into two or three numbers. We must then count how many possible dice rolls (x, y, z) will give us each of these partitions. That depends on how many different numbers there are among the dice rolls, and the corresponding number of possible permutations of the dice. Let us first see how we can get get 10 using three dice.

Partition	Permutations
1+3+6	6
2+2+6	3
2+3+5	6
1+4+5	6
2+2+4	3
3+3+4	3
Total	27

Dice Combination D6x3 2orMore10 Solution 2

We can get 10 using two dice if we have a partition of the form (6,4,x) or (5,5,x). There will be six of each type. For the first type, four of them will consist of three different numbers, and two of them will consist of two numbers. This will give us 6 ⋅ 4 + 3 ⋅ 2 = 30 permutations. For the second type, of the first type and d 5 ⋅ 3 + 1 ⋅ 1 = 16. Hence

$$P(YES) = \frac{27 + 30 + 16}{6^3} = \frac{73}{216} \approx 0.34$$

Pouches Single B6R1 4 R1

Prepare a pouch with six blue balls and one red ball. Take out four balls at random.

Will the red ball be taken out?

Pouches Single B6R1 4 R1 Solution

Using complementary probability, we get

$$P(YES) = 1 - \frac{6576543}{7654} = 1 - \frac{3}{7} \approx 0.57.$$

Pouches Single B2R2 2 R2

Prepare a pouch with two blue balls and two red balls. Take out two balls at random.

Will both of them be red?

Pouches Single B2R2 2 R2 Solution

▶ Using the formula for two dependent events, we get

$$P(YES) = P(R1)P(R2|R1) = \left(\frac{2}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right) = 0.17.$$

Pouches Single B6R3 2 RM1

Prepare a pouch with six blue balls and three red balls.
Draw two balls at random.

Will at least one of them be red?

Pouches Single B6R3 2 RM1 Solution

Using complementary probability, we get

$$P(YES) = 1 - \frac{65}{98} = 1 - \frac{10}{24} \approx 0.58.$$

Pouches Double 5-2,2-5

Pouch 1 has five blue balls and two red balls, and Pouch 2 has two blue and five red.

Shuffle the pouches and draw one ball at random.

Given the colour of the ball, was the Pouch 1 with five blue balls selected?

Pouches Double 5-2,2-5 Solution

▶ We use Bayes' rule.

$$P(\text{Pouch 1}|\text{Red drawn}) = \frac{P(R|1)P(1)}{P(R)} = \frac{(2/7)(1/2)}{P(R|1)P(1) + P(R|2)P(2)} = \frac{1/7}{(2/7)(1/2) + (5/7)(1/2)} = \frac{1/7}{1/2} = \frac{2}{7}.$$

It can be shown that if there is the same total in both bags, then

$$P(\text{Pouch 1}|\text{Red drawn}) = \text{Ratio of red balls in Pouch 1}.$$

Hence

$$P(\text{Pouch 1}|\text{Red drawn}) = \frac{2}{7}$$
$$P(\text{Pouch 1}|\text{Blue drawn}) = \frac{5}{7}.$$

Pouches Tripple B1R1,B2,R2 Same

Prepare three pouches with one blue ball and one red ball, two blue balls, and one red ball and one blue ball, respectively. Shuffle the pouches and draw one ball

Is the ball left in that pouch of the same color?

Pouches Tripple B1R1,B2,R2 Same Solution

Two of the three pouches have two balls of the same color, so

$$P(YES) = \frac{2}{3} \approx 0.67.$$

UiO: University of Oslo Thanks!