

UiO **Conversity of Oslo**

Numbers

Helmer Aslaksen

Dept. of Teacher Education & Dept. of Mathematics University of Oslo

> helmer.aslaksen@gmail.com helmeraslaksen.com



UiO : University of Oslo Natural numbers

- Let \mathbb{N} denote the positive, natural numbers $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$.
- Computer scientists start with 0, and many mathematicians now include 0 in N.
- Remember that positive means > 0 and negative means < 0, so nonnegative is not the same as positive, but means positive or zero.

UiO : University of Oslo Why is (-1)(-1) = 1?

- One way to understand why (-1)(-1) = 1, is to say that multiplying by -1 is the same as "flipping" across zero on the number line, in which case, flipping twice does nothing.
- However, it is instructive to also see an algebraic proof. Assume that we know how to multiply natural numbers, and that we want to extend this to integers. We want to do this in such a way that the following three properties are preserved.
 - 1. Commutative ab = ba
 - 2. Associative (ab)c = a(bc)
 - 3. Distributive a(b+c) = ab + ac

Why is (-1)(-1) = 1? 1

Assume that $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that

$$a(-b) = (-b) + (-b) + \dots + (-b) = -ab$$
 (1)

by repeated addition.

To compute (-a)b, we use commutativity and Equation (1) to get

$$(-a)b = b(-a) = -ba = -ab.$$

Why is (-1)(-1) = 1? 2

- We want to show that (−1)(−1) = 1, and to do that, we want to show that (−1)(−1) "behaves" like 1.
- We consider (-1)(-1) 1 and use distributivity

$$(-1)(-1) - 1 =$$

 $(-1)(-1) + (-1) =$
 $(-1)(-1) + (-1) \cdot 1 =$
 $(-1)(-1 + 1) =$
 $(-1) \cdot 0 = 0.$

Hence (-1)(-1) = 1.

UIO: University of Oslo Why do we use parentheses?

- We use a parenthesis when we need to manipulate order of operations. 2 · 3 + 4 means first multiply and then add. If we want to first add, we must write 2(3 + 4).
- We can write

1 - (-2 + x) = 1 + (-1)(-2 + x) = 1 + (2 - x) = 1 + 2 - x, so we see that we must change the sign of all terms when we remove a parenthesis with a minus in front of it.

- Notice that wrote 1 (-2 + x) and not for example 1 - (-2 + 3). If there were just numbers, we would probably evaluate the term inside the parenthesis instead of keeping the parenthesis.
- You can also explain the rule by giving an accounting example. You have \$10 and spend \$1 and \$2. You can either write 10 − 1 − 2 or 10 − (1 + 2), where you first compute as you spend, and in the second example add up all your expenses.

UiO: University of Oslo Rounding 1

- Why do we round 0.5 to 1 and not 0?
- This is a convention, but it does have one advantage and one disadvantage.
- If you are doing a long computation, and you have found that the first digit is 0.5, the you know that it should be rounded to 1. If your convention is that 0.5 goes to 0, while 0.5 followed by anything other than just 0's goes to 1, then you need to keep computing.



- However, always rounding 0.5 up creates a bias away from 0. What this means is that numbers tend to become larger. If you add two numbers that are 0.5 and 1.5, you would first round them to 1 and 2 and get 3 as the sum, while the actual sum is 2.
- For this reason, some people use round-to-even, which means that 0.5 is rounded to 0, while 1.5 is rounded to 2. If you then add two numbers that are 0.5 and 1.5, you get 0+2 = 2, which is the right answer, as opposed to 3, which we got above.

UiO: University of Oslo

- There are two ways to interpret division.
- Partitive division: You and your friend have 6 apples. If you share them, you get 6 : 2 = 3 apples each. Thing/number=thing.
- Measurement division: You have a barrel of 6l of water, and glasses that take 0.5l. You can fill 6 : 0.5 = 12 glasses. Thing/thing=number.
- Notice that measurement division also makes sense when the divisor is not an integer.

UiO: University of Oslo Division by zero

The key to understanding division and fractions is that

$$\frac{a}{b} = c \iff a = bc.$$
 (2)

This shows why we cannot divide by 0. If b = 0, we get

$$\frac{a}{0}=c\iff a=0\cdot c=0,$$

which shows that we get a contradiction if we try to assign a value to a/0 when $a \neq 0$.

But what if a = 0? In that case, the above equation just says that 0 = 0 · c = 0, which is true for any c. But that is precisely the problem. We could theoretically define 0/0 to be anything, without violating (2), but which value should we choose? Since we theoretically could pick any value, we say that 0/0 is an indeterminate form.

UiO: University of Oslo Multiplication of fractions

We can interpret multiplication of fractions geometrically.



UIO: University of Oslo Multiplication of fractions 1

- We will not give a thorough discussion of fractions, just give a brief outline of how it could be developed.
- We think of the unit fraction 1/n, where n ∈ N, as one n-part of the interval [0, 1].
- We think of the fraction *m*/*n* where *m* ∈ N ∪ {0}, *n* ∈ N as *m n*-parts. Notice how the term numerator denotes the number of things we have, and the denominator describes what we have *m* of.
- Then k(m/n) = (km)/n.
- We think of the product of a unit fraction 1/l and a fraction m/n as m/(ln), i.e., m ln-parts.

UIO: University of Oslo Multiplication of fractions 2

We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{k}{l} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{n} \end{pmatrix} = \left(k \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{l} \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{n} \end{pmatrix} = k \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{l} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{n} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
$$= k \begin{pmatrix} \frac{m}{ln} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{km}{ln}.$$

 Notice how the crucial point was to first consider unit fractions.

UiO & University of Oslo Division by fractions

Many students do not understand why dividing by a fraction is the same as inverting the second fraction and multiplying

$$\frac{a}{b}:\frac{c}{d}=\frac{a}{b}\frac{d}{c}.$$
(3)

To see this, we must show that if multiply the number on the right by the divisor, we get the dividend, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\frac{d}{c}\right)\frac{c}{d}=\frac{a}{b}\left(\frac{d}{c}\frac{c}{d}\right)=\frac{a}{b}.$$



Another way to see this is to use complex fractions

$$\frac{\frac{a}{b}bd}{\frac{c}{d}bd} = \frac{ad}{bc}.$$

UiO: University of Oslo Division by fractions 2

It is also instructive to consider unit fractions, 1/d. There are many ways to argue that

$$a:\frac{1}{d}=ad.$$

• Then

$$\frac{a}{b}: \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{b}a: \frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{b}ad = \frac{ad}{b}.$$

It then follows from associativity that

$$\frac{a}{b}:\frac{c}{d}=\frac{a}{b}:\left(c\frac{1}{d}\right)=\left(\frac{a}{b}:c\right):\frac{1}{d}=\frac{ad}{bc}$$

UiO: University of Oslo Powers

• Assume that we have defined a^n with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ to be

$$a^n = \overbrace{a \cdot \ldots \cdot a}^n$$
 (4)

For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ it is easy to see that we have the following property

$$a^{n}a^{m} = \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{n} \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{m} = \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{n+m} = a^{n+m}.$$
 (5)

We now want to extend Definition (4) to n ∈ Z in way that preserves property (5). In other words, we will assume that (5) holds, and see what that implies about a⁰ and a⁻ⁿ for n ∈ N.

UiO: University of Oslo Powers 1

• Setting m = 0 in (5), we get

$$a^n = a^{n+0} = a^n \cdot a^0,$$

so if $a \neq 0$, we can divide by a^n and conclude that $a^0 = 1$. (We will discuss 0^0 later.)

• We now set m = -n in (5) and get

$$1 = a^0 = a^{n-n} = a^n a^{-n}$$

so it follows that

$$a^{-n}=\frac{1}{a^n}.$$

You can also make a table showing that 10³ = 1000, 10² = 100, 10¹ = 10, and then ask students to spot the pattern and see guess that 10⁰ = 1 and 10⁻¹ = 1/10.



- Another way to understand this, is to interpret a⁰ as an "empty product".
- ► 10²x means that x is multiplied by 10 twice. 10⁰x means that x is multiplied by 10 zero times. But not multiplying is the same as multiplying by 1, so 10⁰x = x, and 10⁰ must be 1.
- In the same way, 2a = a + a, while 0a is to add x zero times. But not adding at all is the same as adding 0, so 0a = 0.
- The "empty sum" $0 \cdot a$ is the additive identity 0, while the "empty product" a^0 is the multiplicative identity 1.
- So $a^0 = 1$ for the same reason as 0a = 0.

- Another "empty product" is 0!. We have n! = n(n − 1) ··· 2 · 1 for n ∈ N, but it is sometimes convenient to have an expression for 0!, too.
- In principle we could define 0! to be whatever we want, but we want our definition to preserve the properties we like.
- ▶ We have n! = n(n-1)! for $n \ge 2$, and if we want this to hold for n = 1, we must set 0! = 1.
- Notice that we use this convention in for instance the formula

$$e^{x}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{x^{n}}{n!}.$$

UIO: University of Oslo Fractional Exponents

Again we want to extend a definition to a larger set of numbers by preserving a property. We know that for *m*, *n* ∈ N we have

$$(a^n)^m = \underbrace{a^n \cdots a^n}_m = \underbrace{(\overbrace{a \cdots a}^n) \cdots (\overbrace{a \cdots a}^n)}_m = \overbrace{a \cdots a}^{n \cdot m} = a^{n \cdot m}.$$
(6)

We want to extend the definition of a^n to $n \in \mathbb{Q}$, while maintaining property (6), so we write $x = a^{1/n}$.

Then

$$x^n = (a^{1/n})^n = a^{(\frac{1}{n}n)} = a^1 = a$$

so we see that

$$a^{1/n} = \sqrt[n]{a}$$

Using property (6) again, we get that

$$a^{m/n}=(a^m)^{\frac{1}{n}}=\sqrt[n]{a^m}.$$

UiO: University of Oslo $|s| 0^0 = 1$?

- ▶ We have seen that for $a \neq 0$ we have $a^0 = 1$, so $\lim_{a\to 0} a^0 = 1$. It therefore seems natural to define $0^0 = 1$. However, for x > 0, we have $0^x = 0$ and it follows that $\lim_{x\to 0^+} 0^x = 0$.
- This shows that the function f(a, x) = a^x does not have a limit at (0,0) since we get different values depending on how we approach (0,0). It follows that f is not continuous at (0,0).
- That makes it harder to find a good value for 0⁰, but not impossible.



We often write a polynomial as

$$p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k.$$

► However, then

$$p(0) = a_0 0^0 + \cdots + a_n 0^n = a_0 0^0 = a_0,$$

and we are implicitly assuming that $0^0 = 1$.



We can also consider a power series like

$$f(x)=\frac{1}{1-x}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}x^n.$$

Then

$$f(0) = 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 0^n = 0^0.$$

If we do not define 0^0 to be 1, we will have trouble with even simple expressions like this.



Another example is the Binomial Theorem

$$(a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}.$$



$$b^n = (0+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} 0^k b^{n-k} = {n \choose 0} 0^0 b^n = 0^0 b^n,$$

where, we have used that $0^k = 0$ for k > 0, and that $\binom{n}{0} = 1$.

We see that we must set 0⁰ = 1 in order for the binomial theorem to be valid.



In order for the differentiation rule

$$\frac{d}{dx}x^n = nx^{n-1}$$

to hold for n = 1 when x = 0, we get

$$1=\frac{d}{dx}x=\frac{d}{dx}x^1=1\cdot x^{1-1}=x^0,$$

which requires $0^0 = 1$.

So to sum up, we must write 0⁰ = 1 to make many expressions work, and this also agrees with our earlier discussion of the "empty product".

UiO: University of Oslo Rational numbers

We will study the rational numbers

$$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \left. rac{a}{b} \right| oldsymbol{a}, oldsymbol{b} \in \mathbb{Z}, oldsymbol{b}
eq \mathbf{0}
ight\}.$$

We want to show that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

We will need the following lemma.

Lemma

A natural number a is even if and only if a^2 is even.

▶ Proof: If *a* is even we can write a = 2k with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and then

$$a^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2),$$

so we see that

a is even
$$\implies a^2$$
 is even.

UiO: University of Oslo Rational numbers 1

In order to show

$$a$$
 is even $\iff a^2$ is even,

we will use that

$$p \implies q$$
 is the same as $\neg p \iff \neg q$.

So we will show that

$$a ext{ is odd } \implies a^2 ext{ is odd.}$$

If a = 2k + 1 with k ∈ Z, then $a^{2} = (2k + 1)^{2} = 4k^{2} + 4k + 1 = 2(2k^{2} + 2k) + 1,$ so a² is odd.

UiO: University of Oslo Rational numbers 2

We can now prove that

Theorem

- $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
 - ▶ Proof: We will assume that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational and can be written as a/b, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ are relatively prime, i.e., they have no common factors. Then

$$2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$$
 and $2b^2 = a^2$,

and we see that a^2 is even. But then we know from the above Lemma that *a* is also even, so a = 2k with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$a^2 = (2k)^2 = 4k^2 = 2b^2$$
 or $b^2 = 2k^2$.

Since b^2 is even, it follows that *b* is also even. We have now shown that both *a* and *b* are even, but this contradicts the assumption that *a* and *b* are relatively prime.

UiO: University of Oslo Countable

- We say that *f*: X → Y is a bijection if it is one-to-one and onto. That means that x₁ ≠ x₂ ⇒ f(x₁) ≠ f(x₂) and that ∀y ∈ Y, ∃x ∈ X such that f(x) = y.
- We say that two sets X and Y have the same cardinality if there is a bijection f: X → Y.



- We say that X is countably infinite if there is a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \to X$.
- We say that X is countable if there is a surjection f: N → X. This means that we can write the elements of X as a list.
- A countable set is either countably infinite or finite.



• The set of integers, \mathbb{Z} is countable, since

$$\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, -1, 2, -2, 3, -3, ...\}.$$

► The set of rational numbers, Q, is countable. This can be seen in many ways.

$\begin{array}{c} {\rm UiO} \mbox{: University of Oslo}\\ \hline Countable \mbox{: 3} \end{array}$



UiO: University of Oslo Countable 4



- This shows that the set of positive rational numbers is countable. By alternating between positive and negative numbers, we can show that the whole of Q is countable.
- This picture also shows that a countable union of countable sets is countable.



- In 1874, Georg Cantor (1845 --- 1918) proved that ℝ is not countable.
- ▶ Assume that \mathbb{R} is countable. Then [0, 1] is also countable, and we can write $[0, 1] = \{r_1, r_2, ...\}$ where $r_i = 0.d_{i1}d_{i2}...$

$$\begin{array}{c} r_1 = 0, \, d_{11} \ d_{12} \ d_{13} \ d_{14} \ d_{15} \ \cdots \\ r_2 = 0, \, d_{21} \ d_{22} \ d_{23} \ d_{24} \ d_{25} \ \cdots \\ r_3 = 0, \, d_{31} \ d_{32} \ d_{33} \ d_{34} \ d_{35} \ \cdots \\ r_4 = 0, \, d_{41} \ d_{42} \ d_{43} \ d_{44} \ d_{45} \ \cdots \\ r_5 = 0, \, d_{51} \ d_{52} \ d_{53} \ d_{54} \ d_{55} \ \cdots \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

$$r = 0. d_1 d_2 d_3 d_4 d_5 \cdots$$

▶ We then construct a number $r = 0.d_1d_2...$, where $d_i \neq d_{ii}$ and $d_i \neq 9$. Then $r \neq r_i$ for all *i*, and *r* is not in the list.