

8. LECTURE 17 – CHAIN CONDITIONS II

**Theorem.** *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{p} M'' \rightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of  $A$ -modules. Then*

$$M \text{ Noetherian} \Leftrightarrow M' \text{ and } M'' \text{ Noetherian.}$$

*and*

$$M \text{ Artinian} \Leftrightarrow M' \text{ and } M'' \text{ Artinian.}$$

*Proof.* We only do the statement for the Noetherian condition, the Artinian case is exactly the same.

$\Rightarrow$ : If

$$M'_1 \subseteq M'_2 \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of submodules of  $M'$ , then

$$i(M'_1) \subseteq i(M'_2) \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of submodules of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is Noetherian, the latter stabilises, so the first one must as well.

If

$$M'_1 \subseteq M'_2 \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of submodules of  $M''$ , then

$$p^{-1}(M'_1) \subseteq p^{-1}(M'_2) \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of submodules of  $M$ . Since  $M$  is Noetherian, the latter stabilises, so the first one must as well.

$\Leftarrow$ : If

$$M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of submodules, then we get chains

$$i^{-1}(M_1) \subseteq i^{-1}(M_2) \subseteq \dots$$

and

$$p(M_1) \subseteq p(M_2) \subseteq \dots$$

Both of these stabilise, so for some  $N$  we have that for all  $i \geq N$ , then

$$i^{-1}(M_i) = i^{-1}(M_{i+1})$$

and

$$p(M_i) = p(M_{i+1}).$$

*Claim:* It follows that  $M_i = M_{i+1}$ . It is not hard to prove this directly,<sup>8</sup> but for fun we can use the snake lemma on this:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & i^{-1}(M_i) & \xrightarrow{i} & M_i & \xrightarrow{p} & p(M_i) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f'' & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & i^{-1}(M_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{i} & M_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{p} & p(M_{i+1}) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

<sup>8</sup>If  $m \in M_{i+1}$ , then  $p(m) \in p(M_{i+1}) = p(M_i)$ , so there is some  $m' \in M_i$  such that  $p(m) = p(m')$ . But then  $p(m - m') = 0$ , so there is some  $m'' \in M'_{i+1}$  such that  $i(m'') = m - m'$ . Since  $i^{-1}M_{i+1} = i^{-1}M_i$ , we have that  $m'' \in M'_i$ , and therefore  $m = m' + i(m'') \in M_i$ .

The snake lemma gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f' \rightarrow \ker f \rightarrow \ker f'' \rightarrow \operatorname{cok} f' \rightarrow \operatorname{cok} f \rightarrow \operatorname{cok} f'' \rightarrow 0,$$

and since  $\operatorname{cok} f' = \operatorname{cok} f'' = 0$ , we get that  $\operatorname{cok} f = 0$ , so  $f$  is surjective. We've shown that the sequence  $M_i$  stabilises.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  are Noetherian (resp. Artinian)  $A$ -modules, then so is*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i.$$

*Proof.* Inductively prove that  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^j M_i$  is Noetherian, using the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{j+1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{j+1} M_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^j M_i \rightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) ring, and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $A$ -module. Then  $M$  is Noetherian (resp. Artinian).*

*Proof.*  $A$  is Noetherian  $\Rightarrow A^n$  is Noetherian. There is some surjective homomorphism  $\phi: A^n \rightarrow M$ , and the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \phi \rightarrow A^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

shows that  $M$  is Noetherian.  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Let  $A$  be a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) ring, and let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A$  be an ideal. Then  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  is Noetherian (resp. Artinian).*

*Proof.* The ring  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  has structure as an  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ -module and an  $A$ -module. A set  $M \subseteq A/\mathfrak{a}$  is an  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ -submodule if and only if it is an  $A$ -submodule, since

$$a(x + \mathfrak{a}) \in M \quad \forall a \in A, x + \mathfrak{a} \in M$$

is the same condition as

$$(a + \mathfrak{a})(x + \mathfrak{a}) \in M \quad \forall a + \mathfrak{a} \in A/\mathfrak{a}, x + \mathfrak{a} \in M.$$

Since  $A/\mathfrak{a}$  is a Noetherian  $A$ -module, it is then also a Noetherian  $A/\mathfrak{a}$ -module, i.e. Noetherian as a ring.  $\square$

#### COMPOSITION SERIES

**Definition.** A module  $M$  is **simple** if it has no proper nontrivial submodules.

**Example.** If  $A$  is a ring with a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ , then  $A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a simple  $A$ -module: If  $0 \subseteq M \subseteq A/\mathfrak{m}$  is a chain of modules, and  $p: A \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$  is the projection, then

$$p^{-1}(0) = \mathfrak{m} \subseteq p^{-1}(M) \subseteq p^{-1}(A/\mathfrak{m}) = A$$

is a chain of submodules (ideals) of  $A$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal, then either  $p^{-1}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$  or  $p^{-1}(M) = A$ , which implies  $M = 0$  or  $M = A/\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Remark.** One can show that every simple  $A$ -module is isomorphic to one of the form  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ .

**Definition.** A **composition series** of a module  $M$  is a finite chain

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{n-1} \supsetneq M_n = 0,$$

which is maximal, that is it cannot be extended to a longer chain by inserting

$$M_i \supsetneq M' \supsetneq M_{i+1}.$$

Equivalently, maximality means that  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is simple for each  $i$ . The length of a composition series is  $n$ , the number of pieces  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  appearing.

**Example.** Let  $p$  be a prime,  $k \geq 1$ , and consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}/(p^k)$ . This has a composition series of length  $k$ , given by

$$\mathbb{Z}/(p^k) \supsetneq (p)/(p^k) \supsetneq (p^2)/(p^k) \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq (p^{k-1})/(p^k) = 0.$$

The quotients are  $((p^i)/(p^k))/(p^{i+1})/(p^k) \cong (p^i)/(p^{i+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p$ , so are simple.

**Example.** Let  $p$  and  $q$  be primes, and consider the module  $\mathbb{Z}/(pq)$ . This has two composition series

$$\mathbb{Z}/(pq) \supsetneq (p)/(pq) \supsetneq (pq)/(pq) = 0$$

and

$$\mathbb{Z}/(pq) \supsetneq (q)/(pq) \supsetneq (pq)/(pq) = 0$$

**Proposition.** Let  $M$  be a module with a composition series of length  $n$ . Then every composition series has length  $n$ , and every chain

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_k = 0$$

can be extended to a composition series by adding finitely many modules  $M'$  with  $M_i \supsetneq M' \supsetneq M_{i+1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $l(N)$  be the function on modules defined as the minimal length of a composition series of  $N$  ( $+\infty$  if  $N$  has no composition series).

**Lemma.** If  $N \subsetneq M$ , then  $l(N) < l(M)$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$M = M_0 \supsetneq M_1 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{l(M)} = 0$$

be a composition series of minimal length. We claim

$$N = M_0 \cap N \supsetneq M_1 \cap N \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{l(M)} \cap N = 0$$

contains a composition series of  $N$ , in the sense that we can find

$$0 = j_0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq l(M)$$

such that

$$N = M_{j_0} \cap N \supsetneq M_{j_1} \cap N \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq M_{j_k} \cap N = 0$$

is a composition series. For every  $i$ , we have a homomorphism

$$\phi: M_i \cap N \hookrightarrow M_i \rightarrow M_i/M_{i+1},$$

with

$$\ker \phi = M_{i+1} \cap N.$$

and with

$$\text{im } \phi = M_i/M_{i+1} \text{ or } \text{im } \phi = 0,$$

since  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is simple. Hence

$$(M_i \cap N)/(M_{i+1} \cap N) = (M_i \cap N)/\ker \phi \cong \text{im } \phi \begin{cases} M_i/M_{i+1} & \text{(Case 1)} \\ 0 & \text{Case 2.} \end{cases}$$

In Case 1, we have

$$N \cap M_i = N \cap M_{i+1}$$

and in Case 2,

$$(N \cap M_i)/(N \cap M_{i+1})$$

is simple. Taking the sequence

$$N \cap M_0 \supseteq N \cap M_{j_1} \supseteq N \cap M_{j_2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq N \cap M_{j_k} = 0,$$

where  $0 = j_0 < j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq l(m)$  are the indices such that  $N \cap M_{j_k} \neq N \cap M_{j_{k-1}}$ , we have produced a composition series of  $N$  of length  $k \leq l(M)$ , proving  $l(N) \leq l(M)$ .

Now as  $N \subsetneq M$ , we have

$$N = N \cap M_0 \neq M_0 = M,$$

while

$$0 = N \cap M_{l(M)} = M_{l(M)} = 0.$$

Let  $i > 0$  be the smallest number such that  $N \cap M_i = M_i$ . Then we have

$$M_{i-1} \supseteq N \cap M_{i-1} \supseteq N \cap M_i = M_i,$$

which shows that  $N \cap M_{i-1} = N \cap M_i$ , so  $i$  is not in the set  $\{j_l\}_{l=1}^k$ . Hence  $k = l(N) < l(M)$ .  $\square$

Now if  $M$  has a chain of length  $n$ , we have

$$l(M) = l(M_0) > l(M_1) > \cdots > l(M_n) = 0,$$

so  $l(M) \geq n$ . But by definition  $l(M) \leq n$ , so  $l(M) = n$ .

If

$$M = M_0 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_n = 0$$

is a chain of length  $n < l(M)$ , then by definition of  $l(M)$  it cannot be a composition series, so we can extend it.  $\square$