

5.5 (a) Let  $f: A'_h \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{A'_h}$  is coherent, but its pushforward is not coherent b/c  $k[X]$  is not a finitely generated  $k$ -module.

(b) Let  $i: Z \hookrightarrow X$  be a closed immersion, and  $\{U_i\}$  an affine cover of  $X$ . The restricted maps  $i^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i$  are closed embeddings  $\Rightarrow$  of the form (Cor. 5.10)  $\text{Spec}(A_i/I_i) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_i)$  for some ideal  $I_i \subset A_i$ . Now  $A_i/I_i$  is a fin. gen.  $A_i$ -module (gen. by  $1+I_i$ )  $\Rightarrow i$  is a finite map.

(c)  $\{\text{Spec}(B_i)\}$  open affine cover of  $Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\text{Spec}(B_i)) = \text{Spec}(A_i)$  where  $A_i$  is a fin. gen.  $B_i$ -module (b/c  $f$  is finite). Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent and  $X$  is noetherian,  $\mathcal{F}|_{\text{Spec}(A_i)} \approx \tilde{M}_i$  for  $M_i$  some fin. gen.  $A_i$ -module. Next we compute that

$$f_* \mathcal{F}|_{\text{Spec}(B_i)} = (f|_{\text{Spec}(A_i)})_* \mathcal{F}|_{\text{Spec}(A_i)} = (f|_{\text{Spec}(A_i)})_* (\tilde{M}_i) \stackrel{5.2(d)}{\approx} B_i M_i$$

(where  $B_i M_i$  denotes  $M_i$  considered as a  $B_i$ -module). By the fin. gen. above,  $B_i M_i$  is a fin. gen.  $B_i$ -module  $\Rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F}$  is coherent.

6.4 We show the more general result: Let  $A$  be UFD in which  $2$  is a unit,  $a \in A$  some square free element ( $p$  a prime element in  $A$ , then  $a \notin p^2A$ ) which is not a unit. Then  $A[T]/(T^2-a)$  is normal.

proof: If  $K$  is the fraction field of  $A$ , the fraction field of  $A[T]/(T^2-a)$  is  $L = K[t]$  (where  $t$  is the residue class of  $T$  in  $A[T]/(T^2-a)$ ).

Suppose  $r+st \in L$  is integral over  $A$  so that its minimal polynomial  $X^2 - 2rX + (r^2 - as^2)$  has coefficients in  $A$ , provided  $s \neq 0$ . Hence  $r+st$  is integral over  $A \Leftrightarrow -2r \in A$  and  $r^2 - as^2 \in A$ . By the

assumption  $2 \in A^*$ , it follows that  $r \in A$ . In order to show that  $s \in A$ , we observe that  $s = \frac{s_1}{s_2}$  with  $s_1, s_2$  relatively prime elt's of  $A$  implies  $s_2$  is a unit. Since  $-as^2 \in A$ , write  $as^2 = a' \Rightarrow$

$as_1^2 = a's_2^2$ . If the prime element  $p$  divides  $s_2$ , then  $as_1^2 \in p^2A$ .

And since  $s_1$  &  $s_2$  are relatively prime,  $a \in p^2A \nRightarrow$  Hence  $s_2$  cannot have any prime factors  $\Rightarrow$  it is a unit  $\Rightarrow s \in A$ .

Thus the integral closure of  $A$  in  $L$  coincides with  $A[t]$ .

## 6.5 Quadratic hypersurfaces

$X \cong \text{Spec} \left( A = \frac{k[x_0, \dots, x_n]}{(x_0^2 + \dots + x_r^2)} \right)$ ;  $k$  field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ .

(a) By 6.4 it suffices to show that  $f = x_0^2 + \dots + x_r^2$  is  $\square$ -free. Now  $\deg(f) = 2 \Rightarrow f$  is a product of at most 2 nonconstant linear polynomials. If  $f = (\sum a_i x_i)^2$ , clearly  $a_i^2 = 1, 0 \leq i \leq r$ , and  $2a_i a_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . But then  $2 = 2a_i^2 a_j^2 = 0$  in  $k \Rightarrow \text{char}(k) = 2$ , violating the assumption.

(b) Assuming  $k$  contains a primitive 4<sup>th</sup> root of unity, say  $i$ , the linear change of variables  $x_0 \mapsto \frac{x_0 - x_1}{2}, x_1 \mapsto \frac{x_0 + x_1}{2i}$  allows us to write  $-x_0 x_1$  for  $x_0^2 + x_1^2$ .

(1)  $A = \frac{k[x_0, \dots, x_n]}{x_0 x_1 - x_2^2}$ , with prime divisor  $Y = \text{Spec}(A/(x_1, x_2))$ . Note that, check the conditions

in  $A, x_1 = 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = 0$  so it follows that  $Y$  is set-theoretically cut out by  $x_1$ . Moreover,  $A/(x_1, x_2)$  is a domain so its generic point is  $(0)$ , which in this case is  $(x_1, x_2)$ . By localizing  $A$  at  $(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $x_0$  becomes a unit and we can write  $x_1 = x_0^{-1} x_2^2 \in (x_2)$  "not contained in the maximal ideal"  $\Rightarrow x_2$  generates the maximal ideal in the localized ring. In particular,  $v_Y(x_1) = 2$  (b/c of the equation  $x_1 = x_0^{-1} x_2^2$  & units have valuation zero). (And since  $Y$  is cut out by  $x_1$ , there cannot exist any other prime divisors  $Z$  with  $v_Z(x_1) \neq 0$ .) Next we claim the equality  $X \cdot Y = \text{Spec}(A_{x_1})$ .

LHS consists of prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  s.t.  $(x_0 x_1 - x_2^2) \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $(x_1, x_2) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , while RHS consists of  $\mathfrak{p}$  for which  $(x_0 x_1 - x_2^2) \in \mathfrak{p}$  and  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Clearly  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  implies  $(x_1, x_2) \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $\mathfrak{p} \in X \cdot Y$ . Then if  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  we are done, so assume  $x_2 \notin \mathfrak{p}$  and  $x_1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ . With this assumption,  $x_0 x_1 - x_2^2 \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow x_0 x_1 - (x_0 x_1 - x_2^2) = x_2^2 \in \mathfrak{p} \Rightarrow x_2 \in \mathfrak{p}$  and the equality holds.

As rings we have

$$A_{x_1} = \frac{k[x_0, \dots, x_n][\frac{1}{x_1}]}{(x_0 x_1 - x_2^2)} \approx \frac{\overbrace{k[x_1, \frac{1}{x_1}, x_2, \dots, x_n]}^{\text{UFD}}}{x_0 = \frac{x_2^2}{x_1}}$$

H: Proposition 6.5 shows there is an exact sequence

$$(*) \quad \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

In  $(*)$ , the map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$  sends 1 to the class of  $Y$  in the divisor class group of  $X$ . This map is surjective b/c  $X, Y$  is the Zariski prime spectrum of a UFD ( $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Y) = 0$ ). Moreover, by the above,  $(x_1) = 2 \cdot Y$  is a principal divisor. Hence  $Y$  has order at most 2 in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . It remains to show that  $Y$  is not principal.

Fact: A UFD  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) = 0$  (H: Proposition 6.2)

It is well-known that A UFD  $\Rightarrow$  every height 1 prime ideal is principal (cf. H: pg. 132, proof of Proposition 6.2). But it is clear that  $(x_1, x_2) \in \text{Spec}(A)$  - which defines  $Y$  - is not principal:

If  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \text{Max}(A)$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $n+1$  (basis  $\{\bar{x}_i\}_{i=0}^n$ ). We have  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and the image of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  contains  $\bar{x}_1$  and  $\bar{x}_2$ .

(The above is really Example 6.5.2 in H.)

(2) By a suitable change of variables as in (1) we may rewrite  $x_0^2 + x_3^2$  as  $x_0 x_1 - x_2 x_3$ . If  $Q \stackrel{\approx \mathbb{P}_k^1 \times \mathbb{P}_k^1}{\text{is the projective variety in } \mathbb{P}_k^3}$  defined by this equation, then  $\mathcal{C}(Q) \approx \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  according to Example 6.6.1. Let  $X'$  be the affine cone of  $Q$  in  $A_k^4$ . Exercise 6.3 (b) implies  $\mathcal{C}(X') \approx \mathbb{Z}$ . Now  $X = X' \times A_k^{n-4}$ , so by Proposition 6.6 we get  $\mathcal{C}(X) \approx \mathcal{C}(X') \approx \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) Again, let  $Y$  be cut out by the equation  $x_1 = 0$ . There is an exact sequence  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{C}(X, Y) = 0$  since  $X, Y = \text{Spec}(k[x_1, \frac{1}{x_1}, x_2, \dots, x_n])$  (eliminate  $x_0$  as in part (1)).

In this case we claim that  $Y$  is principal: Consider the ideal  $(x_1) \subset A$  corresponding to the closed subset  $Y$ . If  $(x_1)$  is a prime ideal, then  $Y$  will be the principal divisor associated to the rational function  $x_1$ .

We'll prove this by showing that  $A/(x_1)$  is a domain  $\Leftrightarrow \frac{k[x_0, \dots, x_n]}{(x_1, x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2)}$  domain  $\Leftrightarrow (x_1, x_0, x_1 - x_2^2 - \dots - x_r^2) = (x_1, x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2) \Leftrightarrow \frac{k[x_0, x_2, \dots, x_n]}{(x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2)}$  domain  $(x, \text{missing}) \Leftrightarrow f = x_2^2 + \dots + x_r^2$  is irreducible for  $r \geq 4$

Exercise:  $f_n = a_0 x_0^2 + \dots + a_n x_n^2$  where  $a_0 \dots a_n \neq 0$  is irreducible in  $k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

Solution: Induction shows that it suffices to establish that  $f_2$  is irreducible (since otherwise, letting  $x_3 = \dots = x_r = 0$  would give a factorization of  $f_2$ ).

Suppose for contradiction that

$$f_2 = (\alpha_0 x_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2) (\beta_0 x_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^2 \alpha_i \beta_i x_i^2 + \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq 2} (\alpha_i \beta_j + \alpha_j \beta_i) x_i x_j$$

Now contemplate the linear equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_0 & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & 0 & \alpha_0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{(**)}{=} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(\*)  $\Rightarrow \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \neq 0$  and  $\beta_0 \beta_1 \beta_2 \neq 0$

(\*\*)  $\Rightarrow \alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = 0$  (for (\*\*\*) to have a nonzero solution, the determinant of the coefficient matrix must be zero).

(c) Exercise 6.3  $\Rightarrow$  exact sequence

IV

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) \rightarrow 0.$$

In  $(*)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q})$  maps  $1$  to  $\mathcal{Q} \cdot H$  ( $H$  any hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}_k^r$  not containing  $Y$ ).

(1) When  $r=2$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X) \approx \mathbb{Z}/2$ . As in Example 6.5.2,  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q})$  is cyclic with generator the ruling of the projective quadric cone  $\Rightarrow$  the class of a hyperplane section  $\mathcal{Q} \cdot H$  is twice the generator of  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q})$  (since it maps to zero in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  by exactness).

(2) When  $r=3$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X) \approx \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

(3) When  $r \geq 4$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  is trivial  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Z} \{ \mathcal{Q} \cdot H \}$ .

(d) The homogeneous coordinate ring  $S(\mathcal{Q}) = k[x_0, \dots, x_r] / (x_0^2 + \dots + x_r^2)$  is also the affine coordinate ring of  $X$ , and  $\mathcal{C}(X) = 0$  by part (3) of (b). Hence it is a UFD according to Proposition 6.2 (and (a)). It follows that every prime ideal of height 1 is principal (in the same ring)  $\Rightarrow$  the prime ideal corresponding to  $Y$  is principal. Its generator gives  $V$ .