

①

1. See the proof of Theorem 8.6.

in Neukirch.

2. We have $43 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $3319 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Hence

$$\left(\frac{43}{3319}\right) = - \left(\frac{3319}{43}\right)$$

by quadratic reciprocity.

Moreover, $3319 \equiv 8 \pmod{43}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} - \left(\frac{3319}{43}\right) &= - \left(\frac{8}{43}\right) = - \left(\frac{2}{43}\right)^3 \\ &= - \left(\frac{2}{43}\right) \\ &= - (-1)^{\frac{43^2-1}{8}} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $\left(\frac{43}{3319}\right) = 1$, i.e., 43 is

a square mod. 3319.

3 a) By the proof of Theorem 8.6, we know that

$$P^* = (-1)^{\frac{P-1}{2}} P \stackrel{P \equiv 1 \pmod{4}}{=} P \text{ is a square in } \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p),$$

hence $K \subseteq L$.

b) We have that $\mathfrak{q} \mathcal{O}_K$ splits $\iff p$ is a square mod q .

Now,

Multiplicativity of the Legendre symbol

$$1 = (-1)^{\frac{P-1}{2}} = \left(\frac{-1}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{q^n}{P}\right) = \left(\frac{q}{P}\right)^n = \left(\frac{P}{q}\right)^n$$

By quadratic reciprocity,
Since $P \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Since n is odd, it follows

that $\left(\frac{P}{q}\right) = 1$, i.e., P is a square mod q .

Hence \mathfrak{q} is totally split in K .

By Prop. 10.5 in Neukirch, \mathfrak{q} splits into an even number of prime ideals in L .

4. See the example in the lecture notes on $G-R-R$.

5. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $L = \mathbb{Q}(i)$.

Then, in $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathbb{Z}}$ we have

$$0 = d(-1) = d(i^2) = 2i di,$$

so di is killed by $2i \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, i.e., $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathbb{Z}}$ is torsion. So $\Omega^1_{\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathbb{Z}}$ is not a flat $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ -module, hence not projective either.

6. a) Define the map $M^{\times k} \xrightarrow{\varphi} M^{\otimes k}$ by

$$(m_1, \dots, m_k) \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_k} \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\sigma(k)}$$

Then φ is alternating, hence induces a map

$$\varphi_k: \Lambda^k M \rightarrow M^{\otimes k}, \quad m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_k \mapsto \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes m_{\sigma(k)}.$$

We aim to show that φ_k is injective.

This will conclude the proof: Indeed, the elements

$$e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \quad \text{span } \Lambda^k M;$$

we need to show that they are linearly independent.

This follows from injectivity of φ_k , since $M^{\otimes k}$ is free on basis $e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}$ for $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n$.

We may assume $k \geq 2$.

(4) Suppose that $\omega \in \wedge^k M$ is such that

$$\varphi_k(\omega) = 0.$$

Write

$$\omega = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

Then

$$\varphi_k(\omega) = \sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \left(\sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) e_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{\sigma(k)}} \right) = 0. \quad (*)$$

Now the set $\{e_{i_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_{\sigma(k)}}\}$ for varying σ & i_j 's form a basis for $M^{\otimes k}$; in particular they are linearly independent. Hence the relation (*)

gives $a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = 0 \quad \forall i_j$.

b) Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a basis for M , so that $\wedge^n M$ has basis $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$. The induced map

$$\wedge^n \varphi: \wedge^n M \rightarrow \wedge^n M$$

is given by $(\wedge^n \varphi)(m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_n) = \varphi(m_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi(m_n)$;

we must show that $(\wedge^n \varphi)(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = (\det \varphi)(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n)$.

Let $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ be the matrix of φ , so that

$$(\wedge^n \varphi)(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{i1} e_i \right) \wedge \dots \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{in} e_i \right). \quad (**)$$

Multiplying out (**), we may ignore all terms with equal indices, as the wedge product vanishes in that case.

It follows that we are left with:

$$(\wedge^n \varphi)(e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n} (e_{\sigma(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\sigma(n)}).$$

By skew-symmetry

$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n} (\text{sgn } \sigma) a_{\sigma(1)1} \dots a_{\sigma(n)n} (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n)$$

$$= (\det \varphi) (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n).$$

7. We know that a projective A-module P of rank n is isomorphic to $A^{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}$, where \mathcal{O} is an ideal depending only on its class in Cl_A .

Hence the map

$$K_0(A) \ni [A^{n-1} \oplus \mathcal{O}] \longmapsto (n, [\mathcal{O}]) \in \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Cl}_A$$

defines an isomorphism $K_0(A) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Cl}_A$.

The statement about $\hat{K}_0(\mathcal{O}_K)$ was proved in class, using e.g. the arithmetic Chern character.