

Exercise set 2

Prob. 1

$$SU(n) = \{ U \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{U}^t = U^{-1}, \det U = 1 \}$$

\rightarrow its Lie alg is

$$su_n = \{ X \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : \bar{X}^t = -X, \operatorname{Tr} X = 0 \}$$

Treat $SU(n)$ as a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

\rightarrow so $T_e SU(n)$ is a subsp. of $T_e GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$

$X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ belongs to this subsp

$$\Leftrightarrow \varphi(s) = e^{sX} \quad (s \in \mathbb{R}) \text{ is in } SU(n)$$

To get $\bar{X}^t = -X$: look at $\overline{(e^{sX})^t} = (e^{sX})^{-1} = e^{-sX}$

$$\bullet \overline{(e^{sX})^t} = e^{s\bar{X}^t} \quad \text{from} \quad e^{sX} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^k}{k!} X^k,$$

$$\bar{X}^k = (\bar{X}^t)^k$$

$$\bullet \text{differentiate } \overline{e^{sX}} e^{sX} = I_n \quad \text{at } s=0$$

left hand side gives $\bar{X}^t + X$.

right hand side gives 0

$$\text{conversely } \bar{X}^t = -X \Rightarrow \overline{e^{sX}} = e^{-sX}$$

To get $\operatorname{Tr} X = 0$: look at $\det e^{sX} = e^{s \operatorname{Tr} X}$.

Prob 2. concrete isom $su_2 \cong so_3$.

$$su_2 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{c} \\ \bar{b} & \bar{d} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, a+d=0 \right\}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{basis } A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} i & \\ & -i \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{bmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} & i \\ i & \end{bmatrix}$$

$$so_3 = \{ B \in M_3(\mathbb{R}) : B^t = -B \}$$

$$\rightarrow \text{basis } B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(generates rotation in xy -plane

yz -plane

zx -plane.

computing bracket

$$[A_1, A_2] = -2A_3, \quad [A_2, A_3] = -2A_1, \quad [A_3, A_1] = -2A_2$$

$$[B_1, B_2] = B_3, \quad [B_2, B_3] = B_1, \quad [B_3, B_1] = B_2$$

then $\tilde{A}_i = -\frac{1}{2}A_i$ satisfy the same rel. as B_i

$$\text{e.g. } [\tilde{A}_1, \tilde{A}_2] = \frac{1}{4}[A_1, A_2] = -\frac{1}{2}A_3 = \tilde{A}_3$$

So $f: \mathfrak{su}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{so}_3, A_i \mapsto -2B_i$ is a Lie alg iso.

Prob 3.

1. $\exp: \mathfrak{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is surjective.

Step 1. $A_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is in the img of \exp .

$I - A_t$ is nilpot, hence $\log A_t = \log(I_n - (I_n - A_t))$

makes sense by $\log(1-b) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b^k}{k}, b \mapsto I_n - A_t$

Step 2 $J_{\lambda}^{(n)} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ is in the img of \exp .
($\lambda \neq 0$)

$J_{\lambda} = \lambda I_n \cdot A_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ if $\mu \in \mathbb{C}, X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ are s.t.

$$e^{\mu} = \lambda, \quad \exp(X) = A_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \quad \exp(\mu I_n + X) = J_{\lambda}.$$

\uparrow
 λI_n and X comm.

Step 3 any $A \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is in the img of \exp .

$$A = Y \begin{bmatrix} J_{\lambda_1}^{(m_1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_{\lambda_k}^{(m_k)} \end{bmatrix} Y^{-1} \quad \text{for } Y \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$m_1 + \dots + m_k = n, \lambda_i \neq 0$

If $X_i \in M_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies $\exp(X_i) = J_{\lambda_i}^{(m_i)}$

$$\exp \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & X_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{\lambda_1}^{(m_1)} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & J_{\lambda_k}^{(m_k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\exp \left(Y \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & X_k \end{bmatrix} Y^{-1} \right) = A.$$

2. $\exp : \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow GL_n^+(\mathbb{R})$ is not surjective
($n \geq 2$)

obstruction = $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ (cplx) eigenvals of X
 $\Rightarrow e^{\lambda_1}, \dots, e^{\lambda_n}$ (cplx) eigenvals of e^X .

$X \in M_n(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow$ nonreal eigenvals must come in conjg pairs.

$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ won't be in the img of \exp .

3. $\exp : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ not surj

$X \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ has Jordan normal form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

first case : e^X has eigenval 1.

second : e^X is diagonalizable, w/ eigenvals e^λ & $e^{-\lambda}$.

so $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ eigenval -1 , not diagonalizable
is not in the img.

Prob 4. $\text{ad}_X(Y) = \frac{d}{dt} \text{Ad}_{\exp(tX)}(Y) \Big|_{t=0}$

agrees with $[X, Y]$ $X, Y \in \mathfrak{T}_e G$.

recall $[X, Y] = [\tilde{X}^{(t)}, \tilde{Y}^{(t)}]_e$ for left inv.

vec. fields $\tilde{X}^{(t)}, \tilde{Y}^{(t)}$

$$\tilde{Y}^{(t)}(f) = \frac{d}{ds} f(s e^{sY}) \Big|_{s=0}$$

$$\tilde{X}^{(t)}(\tilde{Y}^{(t)}(f)) = \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{Y}^{(t)}(f) \Big|_{t=0}$$

$$= \frac{d^2}{ds dt} f(s e^{tX} e^{sY}) \Big|_{s=0, t=0}$$

$$\Rightarrow [X, Y](f) = \frac{d^2}{ds dt} f(e^{tX} e^{sY}) - f(e^{sY} e^{tX}) \Big|_{s=0, t=0}$$

on the other hand

$$(ad_X(Y))(f) = \frac{d^2}{ds dt} f(e^{tX} e^{sY} e^{-tX}) \Big|_{t=0, s=0}$$

Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula

$$e^{X'} e^{Y'} \sim \exp(X' + Y' + \frac{1}{2}[X', Y'] + \text{higher order terms})$$

for $X' = tX$, $Y' = sY$ etc. gives
equality $(ad_X(Y))(f) = [X, Y](f)$

Prob 5. G conn. & nilpot $\Rightarrow \exp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is surj.

Want: img of \exp is an open subgroup.

enough to show $\exp(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subgroup

(it always contains a neigh. of e_G .)

call it $U \Rightarrow g \in \exp(\mathfrak{g}) \quad U \cdot g \text{ would } (\subset \exp(\mathfrak{g}))$

By nilpotency $\exists N$ s.t. $[X_1, [X_2, \dots [X_{N-1}, X_N] \dots]] = 0$
for all $X_1, \dots, X_N \in \mathfrak{g}$

\Rightarrow the BCH formula becomes finite sum.

$$e^X e^Y = \exp(\underbrace{X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \dots}_{\text{write } P_N(X, Y)} \text{ up to order } N)$$

define a new group structure on \mathfrak{g} by

$$X * Y = P_N(X, Y) \quad (\text{inverse: } -X)$$

so \exp is a hom $(\mathfrak{g}, *) \rightarrow G$ of top. grps.

\Rightarrow img of \exp is a subgroup.

Prob 6 classification of 2-dim Lie algs.

$$\mathfrak{g} = \langle X, Y \rangle \mathbb{R}\text{-span.} \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathbb{R}[X, Y]$$

if $[X, Y] = 0$ \mathfrak{g} is commutative.

if $[X, Y] \neq 0$ we may assume $[X, Y] = Y$

$$\text{then } \mathfrak{g} \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad X \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$