

From root systems to Lie algebras.

$(E, R)$  root system

$R = R_+ \cup R_-$  order,  $\Pi \subset R_+$  simple pos roots

Def. The Cartan matrix of  $(E, R)$  is  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j}$

$$a_{ij} = n_{\alpha_i, \alpha_j} = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad \text{for } \Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$$

Ex.  $A_2 \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $B_2 \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $G_2 \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Goal: construct cplx semisimple Lie alg  $\mathfrak{g}_A$

Cartan subalg  $\mathfrak{h}_A \subset \mathfrak{g}_A$  s.t.

1. the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}_A, \mathfrak{h}_A)$  is isom. to  $(E, R)$

2. if cplx semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}$ , Cartan  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  gives root sys  $(E, R)$  then

$$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cong (\mathfrak{g}_A, \mathfrak{h}_A) \text{ for } A \text{ of } (E, R)$$

How: mimick the relations between  $E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha$  in (semi) simple Lie algs.

Obvious ones

R1)  $[E_\alpha, F_\alpha] = H_\alpha$  defining rel of  $H_\alpha$

R2)  $[E_\alpha, F_\beta] = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi$  see below

R3)  $[H_\alpha, H_\beta] = 0$  commutativity of  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

R4)  $[H_{\alpha_i}, E_{\alpha_j}] = a_{ij} E_{\alpha_j}$ ,  $[H_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_j}] = -a_{ij} F_{\alpha_j}$

$$\alpha_j(H_{\alpha_i}) = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} \quad (\text{Oct 26 Prop. 1})$$

R2!  $\alpha \neq \beta \in \Pi \Rightarrow (\alpha, \beta) < 0 \Rightarrow \alpha + \beta \in R$  (Oct 27)

then  $\alpha - \beta$  cannot be a root (otherwise

$$(\alpha - \beta \text{ or } \beta - \alpha \text{ pos root, } \alpha = \beta + (\alpha - \beta), \dots)$$

cont.

$$[E_\alpha, F_\beta] \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha-\beta} = 0 \quad \text{by } F_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}.$$

Less-obvious rel. (Serre relations)

$$R5) \quad \text{Ad}_{E_{\alpha_i}}^{(1-a_{ij})} (E_{\alpha_j}) = 0 = \text{Ad}_{F_{\alpha_i}}^{(1-a_{ij})} (F_{\alpha_j}) \quad (i \neq j)$$

$$E_{\alpha_i} \quad \mathfrak{g}_j = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C}) \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_{12}, \quad \alpha_2 = \alpha_{23} \quad a_{12} = -1$$

$$R5 \quad \text{says} \quad [E_{12}, [E_{12}, E_{23}]] = [E_{12}, E_{13}] = 0$$

Prop. 1 Serre relations hold in simple Lie algs.

Proof. Consider the " $\alpha_i$ -string through  $\alpha_j$ "

$$\alpha_j + p\alpha_i, \alpha_j + (p-1)\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_j - q\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{R}$$

( $p, q$ : max int. s.t. this holds).

$$\text{Step 1} \quad q = 0$$

$$\alpha_j - \alpha_i \notin \mathfrak{R} \quad (\text{see } R4)$$

$$\text{Step 2} \quad p = -a_{ij}$$

$$q - p = \frac{2(\alpha_j, \alpha_i)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)} = a_{i,j} \quad (\text{see Oct. 26})$$

+ step 1.

$$\text{Step 3} \quad \text{Ad}_{E_{\alpha_i}}^{(1-a_{ij})} (E_{\alpha_j}) = 0$$

$$R \ni \alpha_j + (p+1)\alpha_i \stackrel{\text{Step 2}}{=} \alpha_j + (1-a_{ij})\alpha_i$$

$$\text{Ad}_{E_{\alpha_i}}^{(1-a_{ij})} (E_{\alpha_j}) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_j + (1-a_{ij})\alpha_i} = 0 \quad \square$$

So, we should take ( $n = |\mathfrak{H}|$ )

$\mathfrak{g}_A$  = Lie algebra with

- generators:  $E_{\alpha_i}, F_{\alpha_i}, H_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$

- relations: R1 - R5 for  $E_{\alpha_i} = E_i$

$\mathfrak{h}_A$  = Lie subalg generated by  $H_1, \dots, H_n$

Prop 2  $\mathfrak{h}_A \subset \mathfrak{o}_A$  is a Cartan subalg.

Proof. 1)  $\text{ad}_H$  ( $H \in \mathfrak{h}_A$ ) are diagonalizable on  $\mathfrak{o}_A$

$\Rightarrow$  assume  $H = H_i$

each  $E_j, F_j, H_j$  is an eigenvector for  $\text{ad}_H$ .

$\Rightarrow$  so are their brackets by Jacobi id.

$$[H, [X, Y]] = [[H, X], Y] + [X, [H, Y]]$$

2)  $\mathfrak{h}_A$  is maximally commutative.

we can compute the weight of brackets of  $E_j, F_j$  (elem of  $E$ ).

e.g.  $[E_i, [E_j, F_j]]$  has weight  $\alpha_i + \alpha_j - \alpha_j = \alpha_i$

such bracket commutes with all  $H_j$

$\Leftrightarrow$  the weight  $\lambda$  satisfies  $\lambda(H_j) = 0 \quad \forall j$

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda \perp \alpha_j = 0 \quad \left( \lambda(H_j) = \frac{2(\lambda, \alpha_j)}{(\alpha_j, \alpha_j)} \right)$

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda = 0 \quad \left( \pi \text{ is a basis of } E \right)$

bracket of weight 0 repr an elem of  $\mathfrak{h}_A$ .

(only nontriv. cont.  $[E_i, F_i] = H_i$ )  $\square$

How to make sense of Lie alg defined by generators and relations:

$\Rightarrow$  consider the "free Lie algebra".

$$U = \langle (x_1, x_2, \dots) \rangle$$

$$\Delta: U \rightarrow U \otimes U \quad \Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i$$

$$\text{Lie}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \{ a \in U : \Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a \}$$

$$\text{bracket } [a, b] = ab - ba$$

Prop 3.  $\text{Lie}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  is closed under this bracket.

Proof Suppose  $a, b$  satisfy  $\Delta(a) = a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a$ , etc.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta([a, b]) &= \Delta(ab - ba) = \Delta(a)\Delta(b) - \Delta(b)\Delta(a) \\ &= [(a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a), (b \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b)] \quad (\text{comm. br. in } U \otimes U) \\ &= [a, b] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes [a, b] \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Imposing relations

$R \subset \text{Lie}(x_1, x_2, \dots)$  will specify relations among generators.

Ex.  $x_1 = [x_2, x_3] \Leftrightarrow x_1 - [x_2, x_3] \in R$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R \rangle &= \text{ideal generated by } R \\ &= \text{span of } [\sigma], [\sigma, \dots, [\sigma, R], \dots] \\ &\quad \text{for } \sigma = \text{Lie}(x_1, x_2, \dots)\end{aligned}$$

Lie alg with generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , and relations

$$R \subset \text{Lie}(x_1, \dots) : \mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(x_1, x_2, \dots) / \langle R \rangle$$

Ex.  $(E, R)$  root sys.  $\leadsto$  Cartan mat  $A$

$$\leadsto \mathfrak{g}_A = \text{Lie}(E_i, F_i, H_i \ (i=1, \dots, n)) / \langle R \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}R = \{ & H_i - [E_i, F_i] \quad (\text{from } R1) \\ & [E_i, F_j] \quad (i \neq j) \quad (\text{from } R2) \\ & [H_i, H_j] \quad (\text{from } R3) \\ & [H_i, E_j] - a_{ij} E_j, [H_i, F_j] + a_{ij} F_j \quad (\text{from } R4) \\ & \text{ad}_{E_i}^{(1-a_{ij})}(E_j), \text{ad}_{F_i}^{(1-a_{ij})}(F_j) \quad (\text{from } R5) \}\end{aligned}$$