

4.12 We first prove the following: If  $J$  is countable and  $(x_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq X$  a normed space with  $\sum_{j \in J} x_j = x$  in the sense of Theorem 4.2.8., i.e. for any enumeration  $\{j_k: k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $J$ , we have  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{j_k} = x$ , then the generalized sum  $\sum_{j \in J} x_j$  converges to  $x$ .

So suppose for every enumeration  $\{j_k: k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $J$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{j_k} = x$ . Suppose for a contradiction that the generalized sum  $\sum_{j \in J} x_j$  does not converge to  $x$ . Then  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \quad \forall F_0 \subseteq J \quad \exists F \supseteq F_0 : \left\| \sum_{j \in F} x_j - x \right\| \geq \varepsilon. (*)$

Let  $\{j_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be some enumeration of  $J$ . Then by assumption  $\exists M_1 > 0$  with  $\forall N \geq M_1: \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N x_{j_n} - x \right\| < \varepsilon/2$ . Define  $F_1 = \{j_1, \dots, j_{M_1}\}$ . Then by

(\*),  $\exists G_1 \supseteq F_1$  with  $\left\| \sum_{j \in G_1} x_j - x \right\| \geq \varepsilon$ . Let

$M_2 = \max\{n: j_n \in G_1\}$ , and let  $F_2 = \{j_1, \dots, j_{M_2}\}$ .

Continuing, we obtain a sequence of  $\sqrt{\text{finite}}$   $F_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq G_3 \subseteq \dots$

with

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in F_N} x_j - x \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \sum_{j \in G_N} x_j - x \right\| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\left\| \sum_{j \in G_N \setminus F_N} x_j \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j \in G_N} x_j - \sum_{j \in F_N} x_j \right\| \geq$

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in G_N} x_j - x \right\| - \left\| x - \sum_{j \in F_N} x_j \right\| \geq \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

This shows that  $F_N$  is a proper subset of  $G_N$  for each  $N$ . Let  $\{r_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a new enumeration of the set  $J$  where  $F_1$  is enumerated first, then  $G_1 \setminus F_1$ , then  $F_2 \setminus G_1$ , etc. For each  $N$  we have

$$\| \sum_{n=|F_N|+1}^{|G_N|} x_{r_n} \| = \| \sum_{n \in G_N \setminus F_N} x_{r_n} \| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

Since  $|F_N|$  and  $|G_N|$  go to infinity as  $N$  increases, this shows that  $(\sum_{n=1}^N x_{r_n})_N$  is not Cauchy, and so we have a contradiction. Hence the generalized sum

$\sum_{j \in J} x_j$  converges to  $x$ .

Now let  $H$  be a Hilbert space,  $x \in H$ ,  $\beta$  an orthonormal basis. Then we

have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in \beta} \langle x, u \rangle u &= \sum_{u \in \beta_x} \langle x, u \rangle u + \sum_{u \in \beta \setminus \beta_x} \langle x, u \rangle u \\ &= \sum_{u \in \beta_x} \langle x, u \rangle u \end{aligned}$$

[Here we take for granted some basic properties of generalized sums]

By what we proved, since  $\sum_{u \in \beta_x} \langle x, u \rangle u$  converges in the sense of THM 4.2.8 to  $x$ , we get that the generalized sum  $\sum_{u \in \beta_x} \langle x, u \rangle u$  converges to  $x$ . By the above, we get that the generalized sum  $\sum_{u \in \beta} \langle x, u \rangle u$  converges to  $x$ . Exactly the same argument holds for the case

$$P_N(x) = \sum_{u \in \beta} \langle x, u \rangle u.$$

4.13 c) Let  $g: [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $g(t) = e^t$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^t e^{-int} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{(1-in)t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{1-in} e^{(1-in)t} \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi(1-in)} (e^{(1-in)\pi} - e^{(1-in)(-\pi)}) \\ &= \frac{1+in}{2\pi(1-in)(1+in)} (e^{\pi} e^{-in\pi} - e^{-\pi} e^{in\pi}) \\ &= \frac{1+in}{2\pi(1+n^2)} (e^{\pi} (-1)^n - e^{-\pi} (-1)^n) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\pi} \frac{1+in}{1+n^2} \quad (\pi) \end{aligned} \quad \text{Thus:}$$

$$|\hat{g}(n)|^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{1+n^2}{1+n^2} (\sinh(\pi))^2 = \frac{\sinh(\pi)^2}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{1+n^2}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{2t} dt = \frac{1}{4\pi} (e^{2\pi} - e^{-2\pi}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sinh(2\pi). \end{aligned} \quad \text{By Parseval:}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sinh(2\pi) = \|g\|_2^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{g}(n)|^2 = \frac{\sinh(\pi)^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1+n^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sinh(\pi)^2}{\pi^2} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+n^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+n^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sinh(2\pi) \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{\sinh(\pi)^2} - 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\pi \sinh(2\pi)}{2 \sinh(\pi)^2} - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

4.14 a) Let  $T: H \rightarrow H$  be given by

$Tf(t) = f(-t)$  for  $f \in H$ ,  $t \in [-\infty, \infty]$ . Then  $T$  is easily shown to be well-defined and linear. Moreover,

$$\|Tf\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(-t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(t)|^2 dt = \|f\|_2^2$$

which shows that  $T$  is bounded. Hence  $I - T$  is bounded and linear, and so its kernel is closed. But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(I - T) &= \{f \in H : \forall t \in [-\pi, \pi] : (I - T)f(t) = 0\} \\ &= \{f \in H : \forall t \in [-\pi, \pi] : f(t) - f(-t) = 0\} \\ &= H_{\text{even}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $H_{\text{even}}$  is closed.

Now suppose  $f \in H_{\text{even}}$ . Then for  $g \in H_{\text{odd}}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_{-\pi}^0 f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt + \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \int_0^{\pi} f(-t) \overline{g(-t)} dt + \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( - \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt + \int_0^{\pi} f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f \in (H_{\text{odd}})^{\perp}$ . Conversely, let  $f \in (H_{\text{odd}})^{\perp}$ . Since

$$f(t) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(f(t) + f(-t))}_{g(t)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(f(t) - f(-t))}_{h(t)} \quad (*)$$

where  $g$  is even and  $h$  is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \quad (f, f) &= (f, g+h) = (f, g) + (f, h) = (f, g) \\ &= (g+h, g) = (g, g) + (h, g) = (g, g). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, f \rangle &= \langle g+h, g+h \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle + \langle g, h \rangle + \langle h, g \rangle + \langle h, h \rangle \\ &= \langle g, g \rangle + \langle h, h \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\langle g, g \rangle = \langle g, g \rangle + \langle h, h \rangle$$

and so  $\langle h, h \rangle = 0$ , i.e.  $h=0$ . Hence  $f=g \in H_{\text{even}}$ ,

and so  $H_{\text{even}} = (H_{\text{odd}})^{\perp}$ .

We now have  $H = H_{\text{even}} \oplus H_{\text{odd}}$ .

If  $f = g+h$  where  $g$  is even and  $h$  is odd, this means that  $g$  is the orthogonal projection of  $f$  onto  $H_{\text{even}}$ . But from (\*), we know that  $g(t) = \frac{1}{2}(f(t) + f(-t))$  is such a function. Hence the projection  $P$  is given by

$$Pf(t) = \frac{1}{2}(f(t) + f(-t)).$$

b)

Let  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  denote the standard orthonormal basis for  $H$ , i.e.  $e_n(t) = e^{int}$ . Note that

$$Pe_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}(e^{int} + e^{-int}) = \cos(nt).$$

If  $f \in H_{\text{even}}$ , then  $f = Pf = P\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, e_n \rangle e_n\right)$   
 $= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, e_n \rangle Pe_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle Pf, e_n \rangle Pe_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f, Pe_n \rangle Pe_n.$

This shows that  $\overline{\text{span}\{Pe_n\}} = H_{\text{even}}$ . However,  $\{Pe_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is not orthonormal. Indeed,  $Pe_n = Pe_{-n}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

But we claim that  $\{Pe_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is orthogonal:

$$\text{First of all, } \langle Pe_0, Pe_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\cos(0t)|^2 dt \\ = 1.$$

Secondly, if  $m, n \geq 0$  and not both equal to 0, then

$$\langle Pe_m, Pe_n \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}(e_m + e_{-m}), \frac{1}{2}(e_n + e_{-n}) \right\rangle \\ = \frac{1}{4} \left( \underbrace{\langle e_m, e_n \rangle}_0 + \underbrace{\langle e_m, e_{-n} \rangle}_0 + \underbrace{\langle e_{-m}, e_n \rangle}_0 + \langle e_{-m}, e_{-n} \rangle \right) \\ = \frac{1}{4} (\delta_{m,n} + \delta_{-m,-n}) = \frac{\delta_{m,n}}{2}.$$

Hence, with normalizations,  $\{Pe_0, 2Pe_1, 2Pe_2, \dots\}$   
 $= \{1, 2\cos(t), 2\cos(2t), \dots\}$  is an orthonormal  
basis for  $H_{\text{even}}$ .

A similar argument using sines gives  
an orthonormal basis for  $H_{\text{odd}}$ .



4.15 By Theorem 3.3.2, if  $x \in H$  and  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$

is a sequence in  $H_0$  that converges to  $x$ , then

$Sx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_0 x_n$ . Now let  $x, y \in H$  and let  $(x_n)_n, (y_n)_n$  be sequences in  $H_0$  that converge to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Then by continuity,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(x), y \rangle &= \left\langle T \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n \right), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_0(x_n), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_0(x_n), y_n \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n, S_0(y_n) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_0(y_n) \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x, S(y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $T^* = S$ .

4.16 Let  $H_0 = \{[g] : g \in C([a, b])\} \subseteq H = L^2([a, b])$ ,

which is a dense subspace by Example 4.3.7.

Let  $f, g \in C([a, b])$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_K f, g \rangle &= \int_a^b T_K f(t) \overline{g(t)} dt = \int_a^b \int_a^b K(t, s) f(s) ds \overline{g(t)} dt \\ &= \int_a^b \int_a^b f(s) \overline{K(t, s) g(t)} dt ds = \int_a^b f(s) T_K^* g(s) ds \\ &= \langle f, T_K^* g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By exercise 4.15, we obtain the desired conclusion.

4.18 a) Let  $x \in H$ . Then

$$\|T_{v,w} x\| = \| \langle x, v \rangle w \| \leq | \langle x, v \rangle | \|w\| \leq \|x\| \|v\| \|w\|.$$

This shows that  $T_{v,w}$  is bounded, with  $\|T_{v,w}\| \leq \|v\| \|w\|$ .

$$\text{Furthermore, } \|T_{v,w} \left( \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right)\| = \left\| \left\langle \frac{v}{\|v\|}, v \right\rangle w \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \frac{1}{\|v\|} \|v\|^2 w \right\| = \|v\| \|w\|, \text{ which shows that}$$

$\|T_{v,w}\| = \|v\| \|w\|$ . Finally, for  $x, y \in H$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{v,w} x, y \rangle &= \langle \langle x, v \rangle w, y \rangle = \langle x, v \rangle \langle w, y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, \overline{\langle w, y \rangle} v \rangle = \langle x, \langle y, w \rangle v \rangle = \langle x, T_{w,v} y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $T_{v,w}^* = T_{w,v}$ .

b) Suppose  $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  has rank 1. Then  $T(H)$  is 1-dimensional, say  $T(H) = \text{span}\{w\}$  for some  $w \in H$ ,  $w \neq 0$ . Then for every  $x \in H$ , there exists a unique scalar  $\lambda_x$  such that  $T(x) = \lambda_x w$ . Now

$$\lambda_{x+y} w = T(x+y) = T(x) + T(y) = \lambda_x w + \lambda_y w = (\lambda_x + \lambda_y) w$$

shows that the map  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $x \mapsto \lambda_x$ , is linear.

Furthermore,

$$|\lambda_x| \|w\| = \|\lambda_x w\| = \|T(x)\| \leq \|T\| \|x\|$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda_x| \leq \frac{\|T\|}{\|w\|} \|x\|, \text{ and so } \lambda_x \text{ defines a}$$

bounded linear functional. By Riesz' representation

theorem (Theorem 4.3.1), there exists a unique  $v \in H$

such that  $\lambda_x = \langle x, v \rangle$ . Hence  $T(x) = \langle x, v \rangle w$ , and so

$$T = T_{v,w}.$$



c) Let  $T$  have finite rank, say  $n$ . Let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $T(H)$ .

Then for each  $x \in H$ , there exist unique scalars

$\lambda_x^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_x^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_x^{(k)} w_k.$$

As before, the mappings  $x \mapsto \lambda_x^{(k)}$  for each  $k$  are linear. Furthermore, for each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_x^{(k)}|^2 &= \|\lambda_x^{(k)} w_k\|^2 \leq \sum_{k'=1}^n \|\lambda_x^{(k')} w_{k'}\|^2 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k'=1}^n \lambda_x^{(k')} w_{k'} \right\|^2 = \|T(x)\|^2 \leq \|T\|^2 \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so  $|\lambda_x^{(k)}| \leq \|T\| \|x\|$ , which shows that

$x \mapsto \lambda_x^{(k)}$  are bounded linear functionals. By Riesz'

as before, we get that  $\lambda_x^{(k)} = \langle x, v_k \rangle$  for vectors  $v_k$ , and so  $T = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{v_k, w_k}$ .

d) If  $T$  is finite rank, then  $T = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{v_k, w_k}$  for some  $v_k, w_k \in H$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n$ , and so

$$T^* = \sum_{k=1}^n (T_{v_k, w_k})^* = \sum_{k=1}^n T_{w_k, v_k}.$$