

**Problem 1a.** Solution: Show first that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{\bigcup_{E \in J} E \mid J \subset \mathcal{C}\}$ , by proving that the set on the right-hand side of the equality is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing every element of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $f$  be  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ -measurable and  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ . Show that  $f|_E$  is constant. If this were not the case, let  $x, y \in E$  such that  $f(x) = s \neq t = f(y)$ . Pick disjoint open subsets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $s \in U$  and  $t \in V$ . Now  $f^{-1}(U)$  is in  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$  and has non-empty intersection with  $E$ , hence it contains  $E$  by the form of elements in  $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ . Similarly,  $f^{-1}(V)$  contains  $E$ . Then  $f(E)$  is contained in  $U \cap V$ , a contradiction. Thus for each  $E \in \mathcal{C}$  there is  $a_E \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = a_E$  for all  $x \in E$  and the claim about  $f$  follows.

**Problem 1b.** Apply 1a to get  $\mathcal{E}(f \mid \mathcal{G})$  of the claimed form. For each  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\int_{F_j} f dP = \int_{F_j} \mathcal{E}(f \mid \mathcal{G}) dP = \int_{F_j} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{F_k} dP = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \int_{F_j} \chi_{F_k} dP = a_j P(F_j),$$

where we used that  $\int_{F_j} \chi_{F_k} dP = 0$  whenever  $k \neq j$ , thus showing that only one term in the sum is non-zero. Thus  $a_j = P(F_j)^{-1} \int_{F_j} f dP$  for each  $j$ .

**Problem 2a** Given  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space,  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}, \lambda)$  and  $f : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  an  $\mathcal{A}$  measurable function, define  $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g(x, t) = f(x) - t$ . To first claim is that  $g$  is measurable with respect to the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$  on  $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ . One solution is: use that  $g(x, t) = f(x) - h(t)$ ,  $h(t) = t$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $g$  is the difference of two  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ -measurable functions, and is therefore measurable. Another solution is to let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and verify directly that

$$g^{-1}((s, \infty)) = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} f^{-1}((s + r, \infty)) \times (-\infty, r),$$

which is a countable union of measurable rectangles, and therefore in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ . The equality of sets is verified by proving the two inclusions. For example, if  $(x, t) \in g^{-1}((s, \infty))$ , then  $f(x) > t + s$ , so there is  $r_x \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $f(x) - s > r_x > t$ , which shows  $(x, t) \in f^{-1}((s + r_x, \infty)) \times (-\infty, r_x)$ , a set in the countable union. The other inclusion is easy.

A third possibility is to use the bootstrapping technique: Suppose first

that  $f = \chi_E$  for  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$g(x, t) = \begin{cases} 1 - t, & \text{if } x \in E \\ -t, & \text{if } x \in E^c. \end{cases}$$

Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g^{-1}((r, \infty)) = \{(x, t) \mid g(x, t) > r\}$ , so  $g^{-1}((r, \infty))$  is the union of the sets  $E \times (-\infty, 1 - r)$  and  $E^c \times (-\infty, -r)$ , each of which is a measurable rectangle in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ , showing that  $g^{-1}((r, \infty)) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ .

Suppose next that  $f$  is a simple function in standard form, so  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \chi_{E_i}$  with  $E_1, \dots, E_n$  pairwise disjoint in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then once can check that  $g^{-1}((s, \infty))$  is a union of sets  $E_i \times (-\infty, -a_i - r)$ , each of which is in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ .

Finally, let  $f$  be arbitrary nonnegative  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable. There exists a nonincreasing sequence  $\{s_n\}$  of nonnegative, measurable functions such that  $s_n$  converges to  $f$  pointwise,  $\mu$ -a.e. We have

$$g^{-1}((r, \infty)) = \{(x, t) \mid \lim_n s_n(x) > r + t\},$$

so, by approximating each  $t$  with a rational number  $t_m$ , we get

$$g^{-1}((r, \infty)) = \bigcup_{n,m} \{(x, t) \mid s_n(x) \geq r + t_m\},$$

which by the previous steps of the problem is in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ .

For the second part of 2a, let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and define

$$B = \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq t \leq f(x), x \in A\},$$

$$C = \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \mid t = f(x), x \in A\}.$$

To show that  $B, C \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ , note that  $B = (A \times [0, \infty)) \cap g^{-1}([0, \infty))$ , which is in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$  by the measurability of  $g$ . Similarly,  $C = (A \times [0, \infty)) \cap g^{-1}(\{0\})$ , again in  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M}$ .

**Problem 2b.** To show that  $(\mu \times \lambda)(B) = \int_A f d\mu$  and  $(\mu \times \lambda)(C) = 0$ , note that the  $x$  section of  $B$  is  $B_x = \{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \leq t \leq f(x), x \in A\}$ . By Tonelli's theorem, which applies because both  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}, \lambda)$  are  $\sigma$ -finite, we obtain

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(B) = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} \chi_B d(\mu \times \lambda) = \int_{\Omega} \lambda(B_x) d\mu(x).$$

This gives

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(B) = \int_{\Omega} \lambda([0, f(x)]) \chi_A(x) d\mu(x) = \int_A f(x) d\mu(x).$$

Since  $C_x = \{t \mid g(x, t) = 0, x \in A\}$ , Tonelli's theorem gives

$$(\mu \times \lambda)(C) = \int_{\Omega} \lambda(C_x) d\mu(x) = \int_A 0 d\mu = 0.$$

**Problem 3** One solution is to apply the Hahn-Banach theorem to the linear functional  $\varphi$  to obtain a linear functional  $\Phi : L^p(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\Phi$  restricted to  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\varphi$  and  $\|\Phi\| = \|\varphi\| < \infty$ . Thus,  $\Phi$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^p(\mu)$  and since  $(L^p(\mu))^* \cong L^q(\mu)$  there is a function  $g \in L^q(\mu)$  such that

$$\Phi(f) = \int_{\Omega} fg d\mu \text{ for all } f \in L^p(\mu),$$

thus in particular for all  $f$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Another solution is to use that the space  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$ , a fact proved in class, and to use the existence of a unique extension of  $\phi$  from  $\mathcal{S}$  to  $L^p(\mu)$  with same norm. Then use the duality  $(L^p(\mu))^* \cong L^q(\mu)$  to find the required  $g$ .

**Problem 4a.** State PUB for a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of bounded linear operators between normed spaces.

**Problem 4b.** We are given a sequence  $A_n$  of operators in  $B(\Omega, \Lambda)$  for Banach spaces  $\Omega, \Lambda$  such that for each  $y \in \Omega$  the sequence  $\{A_n y\}_n$  converges in  $\Lambda$ . To prove that  $A : \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda$  given by  $Ay = \lim_n(A_n y)$  for each  $y \in \Omega$  is linear and bounded, note first that if  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are given in  $\Omega$ , then we have convergent sequences  $\{A_n(y_1)\}_n$ ,  $\{A_n(y_2)\}_n$  and  $\{A_n(y_1 + y_2)\}_n$  in  $\Lambda$ . Since  $A_n(y_1 + y_2) = A_n(y_1) + A_n(y_2)$  for every  $n \geq 1$  and since the limit of a convergent sequence in a normed space is unique, we must have  $A(y_1 + y_2) = A(y_1) + A(y_2)$ . A similar argument (write it down) shows that  $A(\alpha y) = \alpha Ay$  for  $y \in \Omega$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , showing that  $A$  is linear. To see that it is bounded, note that for each  $y \in \Omega$ , the sequence  $\{A_n y\}_n$  is bounded, being convergent, so the family  $\{A_n\}_n$  is pointwise bounded. By PUB,  $\{A_n\}_n$  is uniformly bounded, meaning that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|A_n\| < \infty$ . By definition of  $A$  we have  $\|A\| \leq \sup_{n \geq 1} \|A_n\|$ , which implies that  $A$  is bounded.

Let  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$  denote the Banach space of sequences converging to zero considered with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ . Suppose that  $x = \{x_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  is a sequence of complex numbers such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j$  is a convergent series for every  $\{y_j\}_{j \geq 1} \in c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . For every  $n \geq 1$ , define  $\phi_n : c_0(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi_n(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j$  for  $y = \{y_j\}_{j \geq 1}$  in  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Problem 4c.** Let  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $y_n \rightarrow 0$ , the sequence  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is

bounded. Then

$$|\phi_n(y)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j y_j| \leq \|y\|_\infty \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|.$$

Hence  $\|\phi_n\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$ , which is a constant (since the sum is finite) so  $\phi_n$  is bounded. Routine verifications show that  $\phi_n$  is linear (fill them in).

**Problem 4d.** To show that  $x \in l^1(\mathbb{N})$ , let  $y = \{y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  in  $c_0(\mathbb{N})$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n x_j y_j,$$

which is dominated by the convergent sum  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j$ . Thus by (4b), the map  $\phi(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(y)$  defines a bounded functional  $\phi : c_0(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with norm dominated by  $\sup\{\|\phi_n\| \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty$ . For every  $j \geq 1$  let  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $x_j \alpha_j = |x_j|$ . Since

$$\phi_n(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, 0 \dots) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|,$$

it follows that  $\|\phi_n\| = \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Hence  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| = \sup\{\|\phi_n\| \mid n \geq 1\} < \infty$ , so that  $x \in l^1(\mathbb{N})$ .