

(Hahn decomposition, Jordan decomposition
from the note of last time)

Thm (Radon-Nikodym thm for signed measures, 24.27)

(X, \mathcal{M}, μ) σ -finite meas. sp.

ν : σ -finite signed measure

1. \exists Lebesgue decomp. $\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s$ rel. to μ

2. \exists p meas. func. on X s.t. $p = p^+ - p^-$

$p^\pm \geq 0$, $p^+ \in L^1(X, \mu)$ or $p^- \in L^1(X, \mu)$

$$\nu_a(A) = \int_A p d\mu = \int_A p^+ d\mu - \int_A p^- d\mu$$

at most one of these is ∞

3. $\nu_s = 0 \iff \nu \ll \mu$ (i.e. $\mu(A) = 0, B \subset A \Rightarrow \nu(B) = 0$)

Outline. Take Jordan decomp $\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$.

Usual Radon-Nikodym (24.13 on Sept. 21):

$\nu_\pm = \nu_{\pm,a} + \nu_{\pm,s}$ Lebesgue decomp.

$d\nu_\pm = p^\pm d\mu$ for some $p^\pm: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

Then $\nu_a = \nu_{+,a} - \nu_{-,a}$, $\nu_s = \nu_{+,s} - \nu_{-,s}$
 $p = p^+ - p^-$ will do.

Step 1. ν_a, ν_s as above are signed measures.

To check: The range of ν_a does not contain
both ∞ and $-\infty$.

Same for ν_s .

\Rightarrow Either: the range of ν_+ does not cont. ∞
or ν_- does not cont. ∞ .

Step 2 $\nu_a \ll \mu$, $\nu_s \perp \mu$. (\Rightarrow they give Leb. Dec. of ν)

From corresp. properties of $\nu_{\pm, a}$, $\nu_{\pm, s}$

Step 3. $p^+ \in L^1(X, \mu)$ or $p^- \in L^1(X, \mu)$

From $\nu_+(X) < \infty$ or $\nu_-(X) < \infty$ \Rightarrow

Density, again! (§ 22.1).

Recall: Stone-Weierstrass th'm and quotient of compact spaces.

X : compact Hausdorff space (lik $[0, 1]^k$)

$C_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ cont.}\}$, norm $\|f\| = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)|$

$A \subset C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is dense if:

1. A is a subalgebra ($1 \in A$, $f_1, f_2 \in A \Rightarrow f_1 + f_2, f_1 \cdot f_2, r \cdot f_1 \in A$)
with scalars

2. $\forall x \neq x' \in X \exists f \in A \quad f(x) \neq f(x')$.

(A separates points of X)

What if we do not assume 2.?

\Rightarrow equiv. rel. $x \sim_A x' \Leftrightarrow \forall f \in A \quad f(x) = f(x')$

\Rightarrow graph of \sim_A is closed.

$\Rightarrow Y = X / \sim_A$ is (compact) Hausdorff

$p: X \rightarrow Y$ def. $p^*: C_{\mathbb{R}}(Y) \hookrightarrow C_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.

A is a dense subset of $p^*(C_{\mathbb{R}}(Y))$

Ex. $X = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, $A = \{f(x, y) = p(x) \text{ for some polynomial } p\}$

$(x, y) \sim_A (x', y') \Leftrightarrow y = y'$. $Y \cong [0, 1]$

Analogue of this for measurable spaces

want to understand: sub σ -algs. one gets:

- by "dropping some information" from a probability space.
- by taking subalgs of measurable funcs.

Thm (2.4) (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) measure space

$1 \leq p < \infty$, $A \subset L^p(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ s.t.

1. $f_1, f_2 \in A, r \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow rf_1 + f_2, f_1 + f_2 \in A.$

(A is an algebra)

2. \exists sequence $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots \in A$ s.t. $\exists C > 0$

$|\varphi_k(x)| \leq C, \varphi_k(x) \rightarrow 1$ a.e.

"approximate unit"

3. the smallest σ -alg \mathcal{M}' on X s.t.

$\forall f \in A$ is \mathcal{M}' -measurable

is equal to \mathcal{M} (always $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}$).

" A separates points (or measurable sets)"

Then A is dense in $L^p(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$

Rem: without assumption $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M}$: A is dense

in $L^p(X, \mathcal{M}', \mu)$.

