

## Problem Set 4

Prob. 7 (1) For any  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu_n(A)$  is absolutely convergent;  $|\nu_n(A)| \leq |\nu_n|(A) \leq |\nu_n|(X)$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\nu_n|(X) < \infty$  implies  $\sum_n |\nu_n(A)| < \infty$

- $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  from  $\nu_n(\emptyset) = 0$
- $\nu(A) \in \mathbb{C}$  for  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  from the above claim
- $\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i)$  for  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}$ , mutually disjoint; we have  $\nu_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_n(A_i)$

by assumption, so we want to compare

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\nu_n(A_i)| \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\nu_n(A_i)|.$$

These are equal if absolute summability holds. We have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\nu_n(A_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\nu_n|(A_i) = |\nu_n|\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq |\nu_n|(X)$$

$\uparrow$  above estimate.       $\uparrow$   $|\nu_n|$  is a measure

$$\text{So } \sum_n \sum_i |\nu_n(A_i)| \leq \sum_n |\nu_n|(X) < \infty.$$

(2) For any  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $|\nu_n(A)| \leq \mu(A)$  implies  $|\nu(A)| \leq \mu(A)$  Given  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}$ , mutually

disjoint, we have

$$\nu_n\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu_n(A_k)$$

The right hand side is absolutely summable and the  $k$ -th term is dominated by  $\mu(A_k)$

Taking limit for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_k).$$

with the same bound on  $k$ -th term.

$\nu(\emptyset) = \lim \nu_n(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\nu(A) \in \mathbb{C}$  are obvious from assumptions

Problem 8 Given  $E \in \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{M}_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2)(E) &= \int \nu_1(x_1) \int \nu_2(x_2) \mathbb{1}_E(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int \nu_2(E_{x_1}) d\nu_1(x_1)\end{aligned}$$

for  $E_{x_1} = \{x_2 \in X_2 : (x_1, x_2) \in E\}$

We have an analogous presentation for  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2(E)$

(1) Suppose  $(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(E) = 0$ . Then  $\mu_2(E_{x_1}) = 0$  for  $\mu_1$ -a.e.  $x_1$ . That is,  $A = \{x_1 : \mu_2(E_{x_1}) \neq 0\}$

satisfies  $\mu_1(A) = 0$ .

Put  $B = \{x_1 : \nu_2(E_{x_1}) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $\nu_2 \ll \mu_2$

implies  $B \subset A$ . So  $\mu_1(B) = 0 \Rightarrow \nu_1(B) = 0$

and we get  $\nu_2(E_{x_1}) = 0$  for  $\nu_1$ -a.e.  $x_1$ .

This implies  $\int \nu_2(E_{x_1}) d\nu_1(x_1) = 0$ .

(2) We want to show  $(\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2)(E) = \int \frac{d\nu_1}{d\mu_1}(x_1) \frac{d\nu_2}{d\mu_2}(x_2) d(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(x_1, x_2)$

We have  $\nu_2(E_{x_1}) = \int_{E_{x_1}} \frac{d\nu_2}{d\mu_2}(x_2) d\mu_2(x_2)$

and  $\int f(x_1) d\nu_1(x_1) = \int f(x_1) \frac{d\nu_1}{d\mu_1}(x_1) d\mu_1(x_1)$

for nonnegative measurable  $f$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}\int \nu_2(E_{x_1}) d\nu_1(x_1) &= \int d\mu_1(x_1) \int d\mu_2(x_2) \frac{d\nu_1}{d\mu_1}(x_1) \frac{d\nu_2}{d\mu_2}(x_2) \mathbb{1}_E(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int \frac{d\nu_1}{d\mu_1}(x_1) \frac{d\nu_2}{d\mu_2}(x_2) d(\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(x_1, x_2)\end{aligned}$$

## Problem 9

(1)  $m \ll \mu$  :  $\mu(A) = 0$  only happens for  $A = \emptyset$

$$\text{so } \mu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow m(A) = 0.$$

There is no  $p$  s.t.  $\int f d\mu = \int f p d\mu$ .

If there was such  $p$ , then  $p(x) = 0$  for

$$\begin{aligned} \text{any } x \in [0, 1] : 0 = m(\{x\}) &= \int 1_{\{x\}}(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \int 1_{\{x\}} \cdot p d\mu = p(x). \end{aligned}$$

But this implies  $\int f p d\mu = 0$  for all  $f$ .

We cannot apply the Radon-Nikodym theorem

because  $\mu$  is not  $\sigma$ -finite

(2) the Lebesgue decomposition would be

$$\mu = \mu_a + \mu_s, \quad \mu_a \ll m, \quad \mu_s \perp m.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{with } S \subset [0, 1] \text{ s.t. } \mu_s(A) &= \mu(A \cap S), \\ m(S) &= 0, \quad \mu_a(A) = \mu(A \setminus S), \end{aligned}$$

Claim  $S = [0, 1]$ ; otherwise take  $x \notin S$

$$\mu_a(\{x\}) = \mu(\{x\}) = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad m(\{x\}) = 0$$

this contradicts  $\mu_a \ll m$ .

But  $m(S) = 1$ , this contradicts  $m \perp \mu_s$ .

