

Introduction

Topics

- Locally convex topological vector space
 - convex sets
 - pointwise convergence
- Spectral theory of operators on Hilbert space
 - normal bounded operators
 - self adjoint unbounded operators

Locally convex top. vec. sp.

X, Y, Z, \dots vec. sp. over \mathbb{C} (or \mathbb{R})

with topology compatible with $u+v, \alpha u$
(and convex combinations)

Example: Banach space $\|u\| \in [0, \infty)$ makes sense

1) X compact Hausdorff top. sp.

$$\rightarrow C(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ cont.}\}, \|f\| = \max_{x \in X} |f(x)|$$

2) (X, μ) measure sp. $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$L^p(X, \mu) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ measurable}$$

$$\underbrace{\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu(x)} < \infty \}$$

\Rightarrow space of maps $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ $(\|f\|_p)^p$

$$\|T\| = \sup \|Tu\|; \|u\| \leq 1.$$

in particular $Y = \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X^* = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{C})$

$$L^p(X, \mu)^* = L^q(X, \mu) \quad \text{for } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \quad \text{dual space}$$

Why go beyond Banach spaces?

Pointwise conv. top. on $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$

cont.) $(T_n)_n \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$:

$$\forall u \in X \quad T_n u \rightarrow T u \text{ in } Y.$$

Ex. $X = Y = \ell_2 \mathbb{N} = \{ (a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} : \sum |a_n|^2 < \infty \}$

$$T_1 (a_n)_n = (b_n)_n, \quad b_n = a_{n+1}$$

$$T_n = T_1^n \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad T_n u \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } u \in \ell_2 \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{But } \|T_n\| = 1.$$

Convex set: $K \subset X$ s.t. $u, v \in K, 0 \leq t \leq 1$

$$\Rightarrow tu + (1-t)v \in K$$

Motivating problem: invariant measures

X : cpt top. sp. $T: X \rightarrow X$ homeo.

Why $\exists T$ -invariant regular Borel probability measure? i.e. $\int f \circ T \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu$

$$\exists \mu \quad \int f(Tx) \, d\mu(x) = \int f(x) \, d\mu(x)$$

Rough idea: $\int f \, d_{T\# \mu}$.

Pick any prob. measure μ_1

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T^k \# \mu_1$$

$\rightsquigarrow (\mu_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is asymptotically invariant

$$\mu_n - T\# \mu_n = \frac{1}{n} (\mu_1 - T^n \# \mu_1) \rightarrow 0$$

$\Rightarrow \mu_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n$ would be T -invar.

How do we make sense of the limit?

Spectral theory of operators on Hilbert spaces

$X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is normal if $X^* = \overline{X}^t$ satisfies

$$X X^* = X^* X$$

Normal $\rightsquigarrow \exists$ unitary U s.t. $U X U^*$ diag.

H : Hilbert space Hermitian inn. prod (u, v)

$\rightarrow T^*$ is characterized by $(Tu, v) = (u, T^*v)$

"Normal operators have good theory of eigenvalues". or unbounded selfadjoint ops

But: we cannot always have eigenvectors

$$H = L^2([0, 1], \mu_{\text{Leb}}), \quad (Tu)(t) = tu(t)$$

$\leadsto T = T^*$ but $Tu = \alpha u$ would imply " $u = \delta_\alpha$ "

still: $f \frac{1}{\alpha}$ is almost an eigenvector.

Motivating problem: Dirichlet's prob. (a variant)

$$-\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2}(t) + V(t)u(t) = \alpha u(t)$$

$u(0) = u(1) = 0$ (boundary condition)

for $V(t) = \text{cont. func. for } 0 \leq t \leq 1$

$\alpha = (\text{complex}) \text{ scalar}$

which α admit nontrivial solution for $u(t)$?

\leadsto When α belongs to a discrete subset of \mathbb{R} .

Ex. $V(t) = 0 \leadsto \sin 2\pi k t$ are solutions for $\alpha = (2\pi k)^2$

This is an eigenvalue problem of

$$T: u \mapsto -\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + V \cdot u$$

on $\{u \in C^2([0, 1]), u(0) = u(1) = 0\} \subset L^2([0, 1], \mu_{\text{Leb}})$

