

Recall def: - X TVS over \mathbb{C}

- X TVS loc. conv if $\forall U \subseteq X$ open with $0 \in U$,
there are K convex $\subseteq X$, $0 \in X$ open st. $0 \in O \subseteq K \subseteq U$

Fact (non-trivial): If X is loc conv TVS there are "enough" balanced
absorbing conv. neighbourhoods of 0 .

1) balanced: $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \alpha K \subseteq K$

2) absorbing: $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \alpha K = X$

Fact: If K convex neighbhd of 0 then $\widetilde{K} \stackrel{\text{not.}}{=} \overline{\bigcup_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \alpha K}$ is
still conv. neighbhd of 0 .

It is balanced by construction and absorbing since
for $x \in X$, $\alpha x \in K$ for small enough α , thus $x \in \alpha^{-1}K$.

Weak topology: Given X (loc. conv.) TVS its dual space
is $X^* = \{ \phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \phi \text{ lin, cont.} \}$
not needed for def.

[We'll show: when X is loc. conv, X^* is large (separates the
points of X) in particular it is non-trivial.]

The weak top on X has, by def, a basis of open sets

$$O_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k, A_1, \dots, A_k} = \{ u \in X \mid \phi_j(u) \in A_j \} \text{ for } \phi_j \in X^*, A_j \subseteq \mathbb{C} \text{ open}$$

Then a general open set for the w -top will be a union of these sets.

where $O_{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k, A_1, \dots, A_k} = \bigcap_{j=1}^k \phi_j^{-1} A_j \leftarrow$ subbasis.

Seq. conv in w -top: $\{u_n\} \xrightarrow{w} u \Leftrightarrow \forall \phi \in X^*, \phi(u_n) \rightarrow \phi(u)$.

21.01.2019

Lemma Let X be a TVS and C a convex open neighbourhood of 0 .
 Let $m: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be given by $m(x) = \inf \{s > 0 \mid s^{-1}x \in C\}$. Then

- (a) $m(x+y) \leq m(x) + m(y)$, $\forall x, y \in X$,
 (b) $m(\alpha x) = \alpha m(x)$, $\forall x \in X, \forall \alpha \geq 0$,
 (c) $C = \{x \in X \mid m(x) < 1\}$.

We call a functional on X with (a)-(b) a Minkowski functional.

Proof: Step 1: $m(x) < \infty$, $\forall x \in X$.

Given $x \in X$, form $x_n = \frac{1}{n}x$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then $x_n \rightarrow 0 \in C$ open, so
 $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st. $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n}x \in C$. Thus, $\{n \mid n \geq n_0\} \in \{s > 0 \mid s^{-1}x \in C\}$, so
 at least $m(x) < \infty$. for $x \in X$. Fix it.

Step 2: Let $t \geq 0$. Want $m(tx) = t m(x)$. Prove 2 ineq. for $t \neq 0$.

At $t=0$, need $m(0 \cdot x) = m(0) = 0$. But any $s > 0$ is in $\{s > 0 \mid s^{-1}0 \in C\}$
 so $m(0) \leq 0 = \inf \{s > 0 \mid s^{-1}0 \in C\}$ and since $m(x) \geq 0$ always, get claim.

For $t > 0$, let $s > 0$ with $s^{-1}x \in C$, then $(s^{-1}t^{-1})tx \in C$ so
 $m(tx) \leq st$. Since s arbitrary, $m(tx) \leq m(x) \cdot t$, $\forall t > 0, x$ arbitrary.

Thus also $m(\frac{1}{t} \cdot (tx)) \leq m(tx) \cdot \frac{1}{t}$ ie. $m(tx) \geq t m(x)$ giving =

Step 3 Let $x, y \in X$. We'll show (a). Let s, t s.t.

$s^{-1}x \in C, t^{-1}y \in C$. Then $(s+t)^{-1}(x+y) = \frac{s}{s+t} \cdot \frac{1}{s}x + \frac{t}{s+t} \cdot \frac{1}{t}y \in C$ by

convexity, so $m(x+y) \leq s+t$. Take inf over s , then over t to get
 the inequality (subadditivity).

Step 4 Prove (c). Let $x \in C$. Since C open and $(1 + \frac{1}{n})x \rightarrow x$, there is

$n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ st. $(1 + \frac{1}{n})x \in C$ for all $n \geq n_0$, thus $m(x) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{n_0}} < 1$.

Let $m(x) < 1$. Then there is $0 < s < 1$ st. $s^{-1}x \in C$. Thus,

$x = (1-s) \cdot 0 + s(s^{-1}x) \in C$ by convexity.