

## The Hahn-Banach separation theorem

Let  $X$  be a TVS over  $\mathbb{F}$  ( $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and suppose  $A, B$  are disjoint, nonempty, convex subsets of  $X$ . If  $A$  is open, there exists  $\phi$  in  $X^* = \{\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \mid \phi \text{ linear, continuous}\}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{Re} \phi(x) < t \leq \operatorname{Re} \phi(y) \quad \forall x \in A \text{ and } \forall y \in B.$$

Proof: Case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  first.

Take any  $x_0 \in A, y_0 \in B$  and let  $z = y_0 - x_0$ . Define  $C = A - B + z$ .

Then  $C = \bigcup_{y \in B} (A - y + z)$ , where each  $A - y + z$  open, so  $C$  is open.

Claim:  $C$  is convex. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in A, y_1, y_2 \in B, 0 < \lambda < 1$ .

$$\lambda(x_1 - y_1 + z) + (1-\lambda)(x_2 - y_2 + z) = \underbrace{(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2)}_{\in A} - \underbrace{(\lambda y_1 + (1-\lambda)y_2)}_{\in B} + z \in C.$$

by convexity

Claim:  $C$  is a neighbhd of 0

Clearly  $0 = x_0 - y_0 + z \in C$  and  $C$  open.

By previous lemma, there is a Minkowski functional on  $X$

$$m(w) = \inf\{s > 0 \mid s^{-1}w \in C\} \text{ s.t. } C = \{w \in X \mid m(w) < 1\}.$$

Note:  $z \notin C$  (else  $0 = x - y$  for some  $x \in A, y \in B$ , contradicting  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ )

Thus,  $m(z) \geq 1$ . Define

$$\phi_0: \mathbb{R}z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \phi_0(sz) = s.$$

For  $s \geq 0, \phi_0(sz) = s \leq s m(z) = m(sz)$  by properties of  $m$ ,  
and for  $s < 0, \phi_0(sz) = s < 0 \leq m(sz)$ .

Thus,  $\phi_0 \in m|_{\mathbb{R}z}$ . By the  $\mathbb{R}$ -version of the Hahn-Banach

extension thm,  $\exists$  extension  $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , linear, s.t.  $\phi \in m$ .

We'll prove  $\varphi \in X^*$ , i.e.  $\varphi$  continuous. By linearity, enough at  $x=0$ .

Note that  $\varphi(w) \leq m(w) < 1$  for all  $w \in C$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ ;  $\varepsilon C = \{\varepsilon x - \varepsilon y + \varepsilon z \mid x \in A, y \in B\}$  is an (open) neighborhood of 0.

$\varphi(\varepsilon w) = \varepsilon \varphi(w) < \varepsilon$  for all  $\varepsilon w \in \varepsilon C$  and similarly

$\varphi(-\varepsilon w) = -\varepsilon \varphi(w) > -\varepsilon$  for  $w \in C$  so  $|\varphi(w')| < \varepsilon \forall w' \in \varepsilon C \cap (-\varepsilon C)$   
(open) neighborhood of 0.

Continuity of  $\varphi$  follows.

Separation of A and B

$\forall x, y, \varphi(x-y+z) \leq m(x-y+z) < 1 = \varphi_0(z) = \varphi(z)$  so  $\varphi(x) < \varphi(y)$

for all  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . Thus  $\varphi(A)$  and  $\varphi(B)$  are disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ . By convexity of A, B and lin. of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi(A)$  and  $\varphi(B)$  are convex subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , hence they must be intervals.

Claim:  $\varphi(A)$  is open.

Let  $\varphi(x) \in \varphi(A)$  for  $x \in A$ . Will find  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $|\varphi(x') - \varphi(x)| < \delta \forall x' \in A$ .

Continuity of  $f_{x,z}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X, f_{x,z}(s) = x + sz$  at 0 implies,

since  $f_{x,z}(0) = x \in A$  open,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $|s| < \delta \Rightarrow x + sz \in A$ .

$\Rightarrow |\varphi(x + sz) - \varphi(x)| = |\varphi(sz)| = |s| < \delta, x + sz \in A$ , as wanted.

Now let  $t = \sup \{\varphi(x) \mid x \in A\}$  i.e. the right end-point of A. We get  $\varphi(x) < t \leq \varphi(y) \forall x \in A, y \in B$ , as claimed.

Case  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ ; find  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\varphi(x) < t \leq \varphi(y) \forall x \in A, y \in B$ .

Put  $\psi(w) = \varphi(w) - i\varphi(iw)$  for  $w \in X$ . Get  $\psi$  a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map. with  $\text{Re } \psi = \varphi$  satisfying the claim of this

enough  $\varphi(iw) = i\varphi(w) \forall w \in X$ .