

Summary

- symmetric operators, self adjoint operators
- ambiguity of self adjoint extensions
- deficiency index
- ~~Friedrichs extension theorem~~

Symmetric ops

Densely defined op $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is symmetric
if $(Tu, v) = (u, Tv) \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$

Self adjoint op. is $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ s.t.

$$T = T^* \quad (\text{as unbounded ops.}) \quad \text{so:}$$

$$\bullet \mathcal{D}(T^*) = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{H} : \mathcal{D}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, v \mapsto (Tv, u) \right. \\ \left. \text{is bdd} \right\}$$

is exactly eq. to $\mathcal{D}(T)$.

$$\bullet T \text{ is symmetric.}$$

Rem T symmetric \Rightarrow closable.

\therefore we have $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, and

T^* $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{extends } T \text{ (from def.)} \\ \text{is closed (04.10)} \end{array} \right.$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_T \subset \mathcal{G}_{T^*} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{G}_{\overline{T}} = \overline{\mathcal{G}_T} = \mathcal{G}_{T^*}$$

closed

But $\overline{T} (= T^{**}) \not\subseteq T^*$, can happen.

Essentially self adj. op: symm. op T s.t.

$$\overline{T} = T^* \quad (\text{will be unique s.a. ext})$$

Ex. $\overline{T}_\alpha, u = u'(t)$ for

$$\mathcal{D}(\overline{T}_\alpha) = \left\{ u \in L^2([0, 1]), u(t) = (u', \chi_{[0, t]}) + u(0) \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } u' \in L^2, u(1) = \lambda u(0) \right\}$$

$\sqrt{-1} \overline{T}_\alpha$ is self adj. if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

\therefore Generally $\overline{T(\lambda)}^* = -\overline{T(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})}$ for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$(\Leftrightarrow (\sqrt{-1} \overline{T(\lambda)})^* = \sqrt{-1} \overline{T(\bar{\lambda}^{-1})})$$

from $(\overline{T(\lambda)}^* u, v) = (u, \overline{T(\lambda)} v) = \int_0^1 u(x) \overline{v'(x)} dx$

$$= - \int_0^1 u'(x) v(x) dx + \underbrace{u(1) \overline{v(1)} - u(0) \overline{v(0)}}_{\frac{1}{\lambda} \overline{v(0)}}$$

would vanish if $u(x) = \bar{\lambda}^{-1} u(0)$

$$S u = u', \quad \mathcal{D}(S) = \{ u : \exists u' \in L^2, u(0) = 0 = u(1) \}$$

$\exists S \subset \overline{T(\lambda)}$ for all λ , so no unique
 symm. self adj. ext.

Deficiency index. (to capture ambiguity of
 self adj. ext.)

Let T closed symm. $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

then $\text{Ker}(\lambda - T^*) = \text{Ran}(\bar{\lambda} - T)^\perp$

Proof $\text{Ker}(\lambda - T^*) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}(T^*) : \lambda u = T^* u \}$

For such u $(u, (\bar{\lambda} - T)v) = (Au - T^* u, v) = 0$

for any $v \in \mathcal{D}(T) \Rightarrow u \in \text{Ran}(\bar{\lambda} - T)^\perp$

If $u \in \text{Ran}(\bar{\lambda} - T)^\perp$, for any $v \in \mathcal{D}(T)$

$$(\lambda u, v) - (u, T v) = (u, (\bar{\lambda} - T)v) = 0$$

so $v \mapsto (u, T v) = (\lambda u, v)$ is bdd

$\Rightarrow u \in \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, and we have $\lambda u = T^* u$

(by density of $\mathcal{D}(T)$)

Thm. T closed symm. op. on \mathcal{H}

\bullet $\dim(\lambda - T^*)$ is const ($= n_+(T)$) for $\text{Im} \lambda > 0$

\bullet \sim ($= n_-(T)$) for $\text{Im} \lambda < 0$

Proof Will do the case $\text{Im } \lambda > 0$

Step 1. $\text{Ran}(\bar{\lambda} - T)$ is closed

$\because \bar{\lambda} - T = -\text{Im } \lambda A - (\underbrace{T - \text{Re } \lambda}_{\text{symm}})$, same dom
& rescale by $(\text{Im } \lambda)^{-1} \rightarrow \text{WMA } \lambda = \sqrt{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\sqrt{-1} - T)u\|^2 &= (A+T|u, (A+T)u) \\ &= (u, u) + \sqrt{-1}((u, Tu) - (Tu, u)) + (Tu, Tu) \\ &\geq \|u\|^2 \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{D}(T) \end{aligned}$$

If $v \in \overline{\text{Ran}(-\sqrt{-1} - T)}$, any seq $(u_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$
with $(-\sqrt{-1} - T)u_n \rightarrow v$ is a Cauchy seq.

$$\Rightarrow (\lim u_n, v) \in \overline{\mathcal{G}_{-\sqrt{-1}-T}} = \mathcal{G}_{-\sqrt{-1}-T}.$$

T clos. $\Rightarrow -\sqrt{-1} - T$ also

$$\Rightarrow v \in \text{Ran}(-\sqrt{-1} - T)$$

Step 2 if $|\mu|$ is small enough

$$\dim \text{Ker}(\lambda + \mu - T^*) \leq \dim \text{Ker}(\lambda - T^*)$$

Step 2-1. $\text{Ker}(\lambda + \mu - T^*) \cap \text{Ker}(\lambda - T^*)^\perp = 0$.

\because Suppose u is in this, and $\|u\| = 1$
for small μ .

$$\text{Ker}(\lambda - T^*)^\perp \stackrel{\text{Lem}}{=} \text{Ran}(\bar{\lambda} - T) \stackrel{\text{Step 1}}{\perp\perp} = \text{Ran}(\bar{\lambda} - T)$$

$$\text{So } \exists v \in \mathcal{D}(T) : u = (\bar{\lambda} - T)v$$

We also have $\mu u = (\lambda - T^*)u$, so

$$\underbrace{((\lambda - T^*)u, v)}_{\|u\|^2} = (\mu u, v)$$

$$|(u, v)| \stackrel{\text{CSB}}{\leq} \|u\| \cdot \|v\| \stackrel{\text{Step 1}}{\leq} \|u\| \cdot |\text{Im } \lambda|^{-1} \cdot \|u\|$$

so $|\mu| < |\text{Im } \lambda|$ forbids such u .

