

MAT4450 - Spring 2024 - Solutions of exercises - Set 13

Exercise 51

Let H be a complex Hilbert space.

a) Assume that $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is normal, $g \in B_b(\text{sp}(T))$ and $f \in C(\Omega)$, where Ω is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} containing $g(\text{sp}(T))$.

Then we have $(f \circ g)(T) = f(g(T))$:

Set $\mathcal{P} := \{p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : p \text{ is a polynomial in } z \text{ and } \bar{z}\}$. Then it is straightforward to check that $(p \circ g)(T) = p(g(T))$ for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Now it follows readily from the complex Stone-Weierstrass theorem that \mathcal{P} is dense in $C(\Omega)$ (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$). We can therefore pick a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in \mathcal{P} such that $p_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$). Then $p_n(g(T)) \rightarrow f(g(T))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (w.r.t. operator norm, hence also w.r.t. the SOT).

On the other hand, $\sup \|p_n\|_\infty < \infty$ (since $\{p_n\}$ is convergent w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$), so $\{p_n \circ g\}$ is a bounded sequence of bounded Borel functions on $\text{sp}(T)$ converging pointwise to $f \circ g$. Hence by the continuity property of the Borel functional calculus, we also get that $(p_n \circ g)(T) \rightarrow (f \circ g)(T)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (w.r.t. the SOT).

As $p_n(g(T)) = (p_n \circ g)(T)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can conclude that the two limits are the same, i.e., $f(g(T)) = (f \circ g)(T)$, as desired.

b) Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be unitary. *It follows, using a), that there exists a self-adjoint operator $\Theta \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $U = \exp(i\Theta)$:*

Define $f : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ by $f(t) = \exp(it)$. Then f is bijective and continuous, and its inverse function $h = f^{-1} : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$ is Borel measurable and bounded. Since $\text{sp}(U)$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{T} , the function $g := h|_{\text{sp}(U)}$ belongs to $B_b(\text{sp}(U))$, so we can define $\Theta \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ by $\Theta = g(U)$. Since g is real-valued, Θ is self-adjoint. Using a), we get

$$U = \text{id}(U) = (f \circ g)(U) = f(g(U)) = f(\Theta) = \exp(i\Theta).$$

Exercise 52

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ be normal. Let $A \mapsto P(A)$ denote the projection-valued measure associated with T (so $P(A) := 1_A^{\text{sp}(T)}(T)$ for every Borel subset A of $\text{sp}(T)$). Moreover, let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and set $B(\lambda, \varepsilon) := \{\lambda' \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda' - \lambda| < \varepsilon\}$.

a) *The following holds:*

$$\lambda \in \text{sp}(T) \Leftrightarrow P(B(\lambda, \varepsilon) \cap \text{sp}(T)) \neq 0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

A more general result is true: see Proposition 4.5.10 in Pedersen's book. Choosing the function f to be equal to id on $\text{sp}(T)$ in the proof of this result gives a proof of the statement above.

b) *Let $\lambda \in \text{sp}(T)$. Then we have:*

$$\lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T \Leftrightarrow P(\{\lambda\}) \neq 0,$$

in which case $P(\{\lambda\})$ is the orthogonal projection from H onto the eigenspace $E_\lambda^T = \ker(\lambda I - T)$.

For a more general result, see the second part of Proposition 4.5.10 in Pedersen's book. You can find a direct proof of the statement above in Enstad's notes (see Proposition 4.7.3 (b) and its proof).

Exercise 53

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and (X, \mathcal{M}) be a measure space. Assume that $A \mapsto P(A)$ is a map from \mathcal{M} into $\mathcal{B}(H)$ such that $P(A)$ is an orthogonal projection for every $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $P(\emptyset) = 0$, and $P(X) = I$. Consider the following conditions:

i) For every $\xi \in H$ and every sequence $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{M} we have that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) \xi = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(A_j) \xi.$$

ii) For every $\xi, \eta \in H$ the map $\mu_{\xi, \eta} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\mu_{\xi, \eta}(A) = \langle P(A)\xi, \eta \rangle \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{M}$$

is a complex measure on (X, \mathcal{M}) .

a) Assume that i) holds. Then ii) holds:

Let $\xi, \eta \in H$. First, we have $\mu_{\xi, \eta}(\emptyset) = \langle P(\emptyset)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle 0\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$. Next, let $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{M} . Then

$$\mu_{\xi, \eta}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right) = \left\langle P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j\right)\xi, \eta \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(A_j)\xi, \eta \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle P(A_j)\xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_{\xi, \eta}(A_j).$$

Hence ii) holds.

Moreover, we have $\|\mu_{\xi, \eta}\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|$ for all $\xi, \eta \in H$:

Let $\xi, \eta \in H$. By definition, we have

$$\|\mu_{\xi, \eta}\| = |\mu_{\xi, \eta}|(\Omega) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N |\mu_{\xi, \eta}(E_n)| \right\} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle P(E_n)\xi, \eta \rangle| \right\}$$

where the sup's are taken over all finite measurable partitions E_1, \dots, E_N of Ω .

Consider such a partition E_1, \dots, E_N . For each n , let $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{T}$ be such that

$$|\langle P(E_n)\xi, \eta \rangle| = \lambda_n \langle P(E_n)\xi, \eta \rangle.$$

Then we have

$$0 \leq \sum_{n=1}^N |\langle P(E_n)\xi, \eta \rangle| = \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n \langle P(E_n)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle T\xi, \eta \rangle,$$

where $T := \sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n P(E_n) \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. Note that

$$P(E_m)P(E_n) = P(E_m \cap E_n) = P(\emptyset) = 0$$

for all $m \neq n$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|^2 &= \|T^*T\| = \left\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^N \lambda_m P(E_m) \right)^* \left(\sum_{n=1}^N \lambda_n P(E_n) \right) \right\| = \left\| \sum_{m,n=1}^N \overline{\lambda_m} \lambda_n P(E_m)P(E_n) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N P(E_n) \right\| = \|P(\Omega)\| = \|I_H\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|T\| = 1$. Thus, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |\langle P(E_n)\xi, \eta \rangle| = |\langle T\xi, \eta \rangle| \leq \|T\| \|\xi\| \|\eta\| = \|\xi\| \|\eta\|.$$

Taking the sup over all finite measurable partitions E_1, \dots, E_N of Ω , we get

$$\|\mu_{\xi, \eta}\| \leq \|\xi\| \|\eta\|,$$

as claimed.

b) *Assume that i) holds. Then we have:*

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let us first note that it follows readily from i) that P is finitely additive, that is,

$$P(E \cup F) = P(E) + P(F) \quad \text{whenever } E, F \in \mathcal{M} \text{ are disjoint.}$$

This implies that P is monotone, that is,

$$P(A) \leq P(B) \quad \text{whenever } A, B \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } A \subseteq B,$$

since we then have

$$P(B) = P(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = P(A) + P(B \setminus A) \geq P(A).$$

We will also use that if Q, R are orthogonal projections in $\mathcal{B}(H)$, then $Q \leq R \Rightarrow RQ = Q = QR$ (the first equality holds because the range of Q is then contained in the range of R ; the second follows by taking the adjoint).

Now let $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$. Then

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A \cup (B \setminus A)) = P(A) + P(B \setminus A)$$

$$\text{and} \quad P(B) = P((B \setminus A) \cup (A \cap B)) = P(B \setminus A) + P(A \cap B).$$

$$\text{Thus} \quad P(A \cup B) + P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(B \setminus A) + P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(B).$$

Multiplying with $P(A)$ from the left, we get

$$P(A)P(A \cup B) + P(A)P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(A)P(B).$$

Since $A \subseteq (A \cup B)$, we have $P(A) \subseteq P(A \cup B)$, so $P(A)P(A \cup B) = P(A)$.

Similarly, since $(A \cap B) \subseteq A$, we have $P(A)P(A \cap B) = P(A \cap B)$.

Hence we get that $P(A) + P(A \cap B) = P(A) + P(A)P(B)$, i.e., $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$, as desired.