

## MAT4450 - Spring 2024 - Solutions of exercises - Set 3

### Exercise 11

Let  $X$  be a normed space,  $X \neq \{0\}$ .

a) Assume that  $X^*$  is finite-dimensional. Then  $X$  is finite-dimensional too:

Indeed, we then have that  $X^{**} = (X^*)^*$  is finite-dimensional. Let  $j$  denote the canonical map from  $X$  into  $X^{**}$  (given by  $x \mapsto j_x$ , where  $j_x(\varphi) = \varphi(x)$ ). Since  $j$  is a linear isometry, and therefore injective, we get that  $\dim(X) = \dim(j(X)) \leq \dim(X^{**}) < \infty$ .

b)  $X$  is finite-dimensional if and only if the weak\*-topology on  $X^*$  agrees with the norm-topology on  $X^*$ :

Assume that  $X$  is finite-dimensional. Let  $j$  be as above. Then

$$\dim(j(X)) = \dim(X) = \dim(X^*) = \dim(X^{**}),$$

so  $j(X) = X^{**}$ . So the weak\*-topology on  $X^*$ , being the weak topology on  $X^*$  determined by  $j(X) = (X^*)^*$ , is the same as the weak topology on the normed space  $X^*$ . Since  $X^*$  is finite-dimensional, we get that this topology agrees with the norm-topology on  $X^*$ .

Conversely, assume that the weak\*-topology on  $X^*$  agrees with the norm-topology. Now, the weak topology on  $X^*$  is weaker than the norm topology, and stronger than the weak\*-topology. So all these three topologies on  $X^*$  coincide, in particular, the weak topology on  $X^*$  agrees with the norm topology. This forces  $X^*$  to be finite-dimensional, which in turn forces  $X$  to be finite-dimensional by a). (Note: one could also have used d) for this part).

c)  $X$  is finite-dimensional if and only if the closed unit ball  $B^*$  in  $X^*$  is compact (w.r.t. the norm-topology on  $X^*$ ):

Using a) and known results, we get that

$$X \text{ is finite-dimensional} \Leftrightarrow X^* \text{ is finite-dimensional} \Leftrightarrow B^* \text{ is norm-compact in } X^*.$$

d) Assume that  $X$  is infinite-dimensional. Let  $W$  be a weak\*-open neighborhood of 0 in  $X^*$ . Then  $W$  contains an infinite-dimensional subspace of  $X^*$ :

The proof is similar to the proof of Exercise 10 a), so we just sketch the proof. We may choose  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \{\varphi \in X^* : |\varphi(x_j)| < \varepsilon\} \subseteq W.$$

Consider now the linear map  $L' : X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$  given by  $L'(\varphi) = (\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n))$  for all  $\varphi \in X^*$ . Clearly, we get that  $\ker(L') \subseteq W$ . Arguing essentially as in the proof of Exercise 10 a), one gets that  $\ker(L')$  is infinite-dimensional, which shows the assertion.

### Exercise 12

Let  $X$  be a vector space (over  $\mathcal{F}$ ) and let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of  $X$ . We recall that  $a \in A$  is called an internal point of  $A$  if for all  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$  there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $a + \lambda x \in A$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfying  $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$ . We let  $A^{\text{int}}$  denote the set of all internal points of  $A$ .

a) Assume that  $X$  is a topological vector space. Then  $A^\circ \subseteq A^{\text{int}}$ :

Let  $a \in A^\circ$  and  $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ . We may then find  $U \in \mathcal{N}_a$  such that  $U \subseteq A$ . By continuity of the map  $\lambda \mapsto a + \lambda x$ , we may then find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $a + \lambda x \in U \subseteq A$  whenever  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $|\lambda| < \varepsilon$ . Hence,  $a \in A^{\text{int}}$ .

b) Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  with its usual topology, and  $A = \{(x, y) \in [-1, 1]^2 \mid x^2 \leq y \text{ or } y \leq 0\}$ . Then  $A^\circ \neq A^{\text{int}}$ :

Indeed, making a drawing of  $A$ , one easily sees that  $0 \in A^{\text{int}} \setminus A^\circ$ .

c) Assume that  $X$  is a finite-dimensional normed space and  $A$  is convex. Then  $A^\circ = A^{\text{int}}$ :

We sketch a proof. Let  $a \in A^{\text{int}}$ . By replacing  $A$  with  $A - a$  if necessary, we may assume that  $a = 0$ . We may then find a basis  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for  $X$  such that  $\lambda x_j \in A$  for every  $\lambda \in T := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{F} : |\lambda| = 1\}$  and every  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\|\cdot\|'$  be the norm on  $X$  given by

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \right\|' := \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|$$

whenever  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{F}$ . Now, if  $x = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \in X$  is such that  $\|x\|' < 1$ , and we choose  $\lambda_j \in T$  such that  $c_j = \lambda_j |c_j|$  for every  $j$ , then  $x$  can be written as a convex combination of the vectors  $0, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_n x_n$ . As these vectors all belong to  $A$ , and  $A$  is convex, this implies that  $\{x \in X : \|x\|' < 1\} \subseteq A$ . But all norms on  $X$  are equivalent, so this shows that  $a = 0$  is an interior point of  $A$ , as desired.

d) Consider  $X = C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  as a normed space (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) w.r.t. the norm  $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt$ , and  $A = \{f \in X \mid \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t)| < 1\}$ . Then  $A$  is convex and  $A^\circ \neq A^{\text{int}}$ :

Since  $A$  is the open unit ball in  $X$  w.r.t. to the sup-norm,  $A$  is convex. Moreover,  $0$  is an interior point of  $A$  w.r.t. to the sup-norm, so it is an internal point of  $A$  (by a)).

However,  $0$  is not an interior point of  $A$  w.r.t. to  $\|\cdot\|_1$ . Indeed, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider  $U_\varepsilon = \{f \in X : \|f\|_1 < \varepsilon\}$ .

Assume first that  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Let then  $g_\varepsilon \in X$  be the function given by  $g_\varepsilon(t) = 1 - \varepsilon^{-1} t$  when  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ , and by  $g_\varepsilon(t) = 0$  when  $t \in [\varepsilon, 1]$ . Then  $\|g_\varepsilon\|_1 = \varepsilon/2 < 1$ , so  $g_\varepsilon \in U_\varepsilon$ . But  $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |g_\varepsilon(t)| = 1$ , so  $g_\varepsilon \notin A$ . Hence,  $U_\varepsilon \not\subseteq A$ .

Next, if  $\varepsilon \geq 1$ , then  $g_{1/2} \in U_{1/2} \subseteq U_\varepsilon$ , while  $g_{1/2} \notin A$ , so  $U_\varepsilon \not\subseteq A$  in this case too.

This implies that no  $\|\cdot\|_1$ -neighborhood of  $0$  is contained in  $A$ , i.e.,  $0 \notin A^\circ$ . Hence,  $0 \in A^{\text{int}} \setminus A^\circ$ , so  $A^\circ \neq A^{\text{int}}$ .