

(a) Q: List all injective maps $f: \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$
Show that no is bijective.

There are $4! = 24$ different injective maps, that is too many for me. If you really want to see the maps, write up the $4!$ permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and read off the first three terms of each permutation.

To show, no bijection, ~~check~~ convince yourself that there is always one element missing in the image.

(b) Q: # of injective maps $f: \{1, \dots, 8\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 10\}$?

A = $\frac{10!}{2!} \sim 10^6$, one way to see this: 1 can map to 1...10, 2 can map to 9 different numbers, 3 can map to 8 different numbers, and so on...

6.3 } We did these exercises last time.
6.6 }

7.1 Q: Prove \mathbb{Q} is countably infinite.

Proof: $\mathbb{Z}_+ \subset \mathbb{Q}$, so \mathbb{Q} is infinite.

Take an element $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$, assume a & b are relatively prime. Consider $\frac{a}{b}$ as a number written in base 12 as follows: Write $\frac{a}{b}$ in base 10; 1, 2, ..., 9 are the same digits, 0 is the 10th digit & 1 is the 11th digit. This defines an injection $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$, so \mathbb{Q} is countably infinite.

(Of course many alternative, perhaps easier, proofs can be given.)

7.3 Q: Find an ~~bijection~~ $f: P(\mathbb{Z}^+) \rightarrow \{0,1\}^{\omega}$.

A: This is the same as 6.6(a) which we did last time, just add some extra dots.

7.4 (a) Q: Show that the set of algebraic numbers is countable.

A: Using the same approach as in 7.1 this exercise is very easy. I'll do it in a different way: We produce an injection from the set

X of algebraic numbers to $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{n+1}$.

The latter set is a countable union of countable sets, i.e. countable.

For any $x \in X$ pick ~~some~~ ^{the} minimal monic ^{minimal of degree n} polynomial f s.t. $f(x) = 0$, ~~number~~ ^{Order} the roots of f , $x_1 < \dots < x_n$, assume $x = x_l$, $1 \leq l \leq n$.

Then define $F(x) = (q(a_0), \dots, q(a_n), l)$,

where $f(y) = y^n + a_{n-1}y^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$, and

$q: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ is some bijection.

Clearly $F(x)$ determines x uniquely.

(Note: We choose f to be minimal to avoid using any countable axiom of choice.)

(b) Q: Show ^{the} transcendental ^A numbers ^(= \mathbb{R} algebraic) are uncountable, assuming the reals are.

A: $\mathbb{R} = \text{Algebraic} \cup \text{Transcendental}$. If both algebraic & transcendental numbers were countable, \mathbb{R} would be cbl, a contradiction.

3
9.5 (a) Q: $f: A \rightarrow B$ surjective $\Rightarrow \exists h: B \rightarrow A$ s.t.
 ~~$gh = id_B$ $fg = id_A$~~ $fh = id_B$

A: We use Lemma 9.2. Define a collection
 ~~$(B \setminus f(A))$~~ of non-empty sets $B := \{f^{-1}(b) \mid b \in B\}$.
Here we use surjectivity to ensure $f^{-1}(b) \neq \emptyset$.
Lemma 9.2 $\Rightarrow \exists c: B \rightarrow \bigcup_{f^{-1}(b) \in B} f^{-1}(b) = A$

s.t. $c(f^{-1}(b)) \in f^{-1}(b)$.

Precompose c with the function $\ell: B \rightarrow B$

s.t. $\ell(b) = f^{-1}(b)$, to obtain h , i.e. $h = c\ell$

Then ~~$gh = id_B$~~ $fh = f(c\ell) = id_B$

Indeed, $f(c\ell(b)) = f(c(f^{-1}(b))) = f(a) = b$, where
 $a = c(f^{-1}(b)) \in f^{-1}(b)$.

(b) Q: $f: A \rightarrow B$ injective $\Rightarrow \exists g: B \rightarrow A$ s.t.
 $gf = id_A$.

A: Write $B = f(A) \sqcup (B - f(A))$

For $b \in f(A)$, we have $b = f(a)$ for some unique $a \in A$, so define $g(b) = a$.

~~Pick~~ Pick some $a_0 \in A$ (possible since $A \neq \emptyset$)

For $b \in B - f(A)$ i.e. $b \in B - f(A)$ define $g(b) = a_0$.

Then g is a left inverse. Indeed,

$gf(a) = g(f(a)) = a$ by definition of g .

We did not need the axiom of choice. Note, there are
no maps $A \rightarrow \emptyset$, except if $A = \emptyset$, in which case there is id_\emptyset .

(a) Q: A independent $v \notin \text{Span } A$
 $\Rightarrow A \cup \{v\}$ is independent

A: Assume $A \cup \{v\}$ is not independent

$\Rightarrow \exists v_1, \dots, v_n \in A \cup \{v\}$ & x_1, \dots, x_n nonzero scalars s.t. $\sum x_i v_i = 0$

If $\forall v_i \in A$ then A is not independent, a contradiction.

If $v = v_i$, say for $i=1$, then $v = \frac{1}{x_1} \sum_{i \neq 1} x_i v_i$,

but then v is in $\text{Span } A$, a contradiction.

$\Rightarrow A \cup \{v\}$ is independent.

(b) We apply Zorn's lemma: Let \mathcal{A} be the collection of independent sets in V . Give \mathcal{A} a partial order by inclusion. Assume $\{T_\alpha, T_\beta, \dots, T_\gamma, \dots\}$ is a simply ordered subset of \mathcal{A} , i.e. we have an increasing sequence of independent sets

$$T_\alpha \subseteq T_\beta \subseteq \dots \subseteq T_\gamma \subseteq \dots$$

Then $\bigcup_{\alpha} T_\alpha$ is an independent set & $T_\beta \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha} T_\alpha \forall \beta$.

Indeed any collection $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \bigcup_{\alpha} T_\alpha$ is in some T_j

(e.g. $j = \max \{ \min \{ i \mid v_i \in T_i \} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \}$), hence

they are independent. ~~Then~~ That is $\bigcup_{\alpha} T_\alpha$ is an upper bound for $\{T_\alpha\}$ & it is in \mathcal{A} , so Zorn's lemma imply \mathcal{A} has at least one maximal element.

(c) Let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be a maximal element. If $\text{span } A \neq V$
 $\Rightarrow \exists v \notin \text{Span } A$, but then $A \cup \{v\}$ is independent by (a) & strictly greater than A , contradicting maximality of A . Hence $\text{span } A = V$ & A is a basis.