## EXCISION

## JOHN ROGNES

## 1. Excision

Let $A$ and $B$ be subspaces of $X$, and suppose that their interiors $U=\operatorname{int}(A)$ and $V=\operatorname{int}(B)$ cover $X$, so that $X=U \cup V=A \cup B$. Say that a singular $n$-chain $\sum_{i} n_{i} \sigma_{i}$ in $X$ is fine (with respect to $\{A, B\}$ ) if each $\sigma_{i}$ has image contained in $A$ or $B$. Let $C_{n}(A+B) \subset C_{n}(X)$ be the subgroup of fine singular $n$-chains. The boundary of a fine $n$-chain is a fine $(n-1)$-chain, so $\left(C_{*}(A+B), \partial\right)$ is a subcomplex of $\left(C_{*}(X), \partial\right)$. Let

$$
\iota: C_{*}(A+B) \longrightarrow C_{*}(X)
$$

be the inclusion of that subcomplex. Let $H_{n}(A+B)=H_{n}\left(C_{*}(A+B), \partial\right)$ be the homology groups of the subcomplex of fine chains.

Proposition 1.1. The inclusion $\iota$ induces an isomorphism

$$
\iota_{*}: H_{n}(A+B) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n}(X)
$$

for each integer $n$.
Proof. We construct a subdivision operator $S: C_{n}(X) \rightarrow C_{n}(X)$ for each $n$, and show that this is a chain map that is chain homotopic to the identity, by a chain homotopy $T: C_{n}(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$ with $\partial T+T \partial=1-S$. We arrange that $S$ and $T$ restrict to fine operators $S: C_{n}(A+B) \rightarrow C_{n}(A+B)$ and $T: C_{n}(A+B) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(A+B)$, respectively. Then we show that for each simplex $\sigma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow X$ there exists an $m \geq 0$ such that $S^{m} \sigma$ is fine. It follows that for each chain $\alpha \in C_{n}(X)$ there exists an $m \geq 0$ such that $S^{m} \alpha \in C_{n}(A+B)$. Notice that $D=T+T S+\ldots T S^{m-1}$ is a chain homotopy from $S^{m}$ to the identity, and that it restricts to a fine operator $D: C_{n}(A+B) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(A+B)$.

Consider any $n$-cycle $\alpha \in Z_{n}(X) \subset C_{n}(X)$, and choose $m$ so that $S^{m} \alpha$ is fine. Then $S^{m} \alpha=\alpha+\partial D \alpha$ represents the same homology class as $\alpha$. Since $S^{m} \alpha$ is fine, it follows that $\iota_{*}$ maps the homology class of $S^{m} \alpha \in Z_{n}(A+B)$ to the homology class of $\alpha$, so $\iota_{*}$ is surjective.

Consider any fine $n$-cycle $\alpha \in Z_{n}(A+B) \subset C_{n}(A+B)$, and suppose that $\iota_{*}$ maps the homology class of $\alpha$ to zero, i.e., that $\alpha=\partial \beta$ for a $\beta \in C_{n+1}(X)$. Choose $m$ so that $S^{m} \beta$ is fine. Then $\partial S^{m} \beta=S^{m} \partial \beta=S^{m} \alpha=\alpha+\partial D \alpha$, where $D \alpha$ is fine. Hence $\alpha=\partial\left(S^{m} \beta-D \alpha\right)$ lies in $B_{n}(A+B)$ and represents zero in $H_{n}(A+B)$. Thus $\iota_{*}$ is injective.

We shall initially define $S$ and $T$ on the standard simplices $\Delta^{n}=\left[e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]$ for $n \geq 0$, and thereafter extend to general singular simplices $\sigma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow X$ in a "natural" manner. The definitions will be inductively given in the wider generality of linear simplices in $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, i.e., singular simplices $\sigma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ given by the order-preserving affine linear maps taking $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}$ to given points $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}$. We shall write $\left[v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$ for this linear simplex, also in the cases where $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}$ are not in general position. A finite sum of linear simplices, with integer coefficients, will be called a linear chain.

For any linear $n$-simplex $\sigma=\left[v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$ and any point $b$ let the join of $b$ and $\sigma$ be the linear $(n+1)$-simplex

$$
b \sigma=\left[b, v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right] .
$$

Extend the rule $\sigma \mapsto b \sigma$ to linear chains $\lambda=\sum_{i} n_{i} \sigma_{i}$ by additivity, so that $b \lambda=\sum_{i} n_{i}\left(b \sigma_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\partial(b \sigma)=\partial\left[b, v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]=\left[v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]-\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i}\left[b, v_{0}, \ldots, \widehat{v}_{i}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]=\sigma-b \partial \sigma,
$$

where $b \partial \sigma$ is interpreted as $[b]$ for $n=0$. Hence $\partial b+b \partial=1$.
Given any linear $n$-simplex $\sigma=\left[v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$, let

$$
b_{\sigma}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} v_{i}\right) /(n+1)
$$
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be its barycenter. It is the point with barycentric coordinates $\left(t_{0}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)=(1 /(n+1), \ldots, 1 /(n+1))$ all equal.

We now define the subdivision operator $S$ on linear chains. Each linear 0-chain is its own subdivision: we define $S(\sigma)=\sigma$ for $\sigma=\left[v_{0}\right]$, and extend additively to linear 0 -chains. For $n \geq 1$, assume that the subdivision $S(\lambda)$ has been defined for all linear $(n-1)$-chains, including $\lambda=\partial \sigma$. Then for each linear $n$-simplex $\sigma$ we let

$$
S(\sigma)=b_{\sigma} S(\partial \sigma)
$$

As usual, we extend $S$ additively to linear $n$-chains. For example,

$$
S\left(\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]\right)=b\left(\left[v_{1}\right]-\left[v_{0}\right]\right)=\left[b, v_{1}\right]-\left[b, v_{0}\right]
$$

where $b$ is the barycenter of $\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]$. Continuing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\left(\left[v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right]\right) & =b S\left(\left[v_{1}, v_{2}\right]\right)-b S\left(\left[v_{0}, v_{2}\right]\right)+b S\left(\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]\right) \\
& =\left[b, b_{12}, v_{2}\right]-\left[b, b_{12}, v_{1}\right]-\left[b, b_{02}, v_{2}\right]+\left[b, b_{02}, v_{0}\right]+\left[b, b_{01}, v_{1}\right]-\left[b, b_{12}, v_{0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b$ is the barycenter of $\left[v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right]$, and $b_{i j}$ is the barycenter of $\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]$.


The subdivision operator commutes with the boundary operators, i.e., $\partial S(\lambda)=S \partial(\lambda)$. This is clear on linear 0 -chains, and to prove it for a linear $n$-simplex $\sigma$ we may assume that it holds for all linear $(n-1)$-chains, including $\partial \sigma$. Then

$$
\partial S(\sigma)=\partial b_{\sigma} S(\partial \sigma)=S(\partial \sigma)-b_{\sigma} \partial S(\partial \sigma)=S(\partial \sigma)-b_{\sigma} S(\partial \partial \sigma)=S(\partial \sigma)
$$

Notice that for each linear $n$-simplex $\sigma=\left[v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right]$, the subdivision $S(\sigma)$ is a signed sum of linear $n$-simplices $\tau$, each with image contained in (the image of) $\sigma$. For later use, we note that the diameter of (the image of) each $\tau$, with respect to the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, is at most $n /(n+1)$ times that of $\sigma$ :

$$
\operatorname{diam}(\tau) \leq \frac{n}{n+1} \operatorname{diam}(\sigma)
$$

To see this, note first that the diameter of $\tau$ is the distance between two of its vertices. If both of these lie in a proper face of $\sigma$, we are done by induction, since $n /(n+1)$ increases with $n$. Otherwise, one of the two vertices is the barycenter $b$ of $\sigma$, and we may assume that the other vertex is one of the vertices $v_{i}$ of $\sigma$. Now $b$ lies $n /(n+1)$-th of the way from $v_{i}$ to the barycenter of the opposite face, so the distance from $v_{i}$ to $b$ is bounded by $n /(n+1)$ times the diameter of $\sigma$, as claimed.

We continue by defining the chain homotopy $T$ on linear chains. For $n=0$ we let $T(\sigma)=\left[v_{0}, v_{0}\right]$ for $\sigma=\left[v_{0}\right]$, and extend additively to all linear 0 -chains. For $n \geq 1$ assume that $T(\lambda)$ has been defined for all linear $(n-1)$-chains, including $\lambda=\partial \sigma$. Then for each linear $n$-simplex $\sigma$ we let

$$
T(\sigma)=b_{\sigma}(\sigma-T(\partial \sigma))
$$

Again, we extend $T$ additively to linear $n$-chains. For example,

$$
T\left(\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]\right)=b\left(\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]-T\left(\left[v_{1}\right]-\left[v_{0}\right]\right)\right)=\left[b, v_{0}, v_{1}\right]-\left[b, v_{1}, v_{1}\right]+\left[b, v_{0}, v_{0}\right]
$$

where $b$ is the barycenter of $\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]$.


We prove that $\partial T+T \partial=1-S$ on linear $n$-chains by induction on $n$. For $n=0$, this is the true assertion $\partial\left[v_{0}, v_{0}\right]=\left[v_{0}\right]-\left[v_{0}\right]$. Let $n \geq 1$ and assume that $\partial T+T \partial=1-S$ on linear $(n-1)$ chains. In particular, for any linear $n$-simplex $\sigma$, we know that $\partial T(\partial \sigma)+T(\partial \partial \sigma)=\partial \sigma-S(\partial \sigma)$, so $\partial(\sigma-T(\partial \sigma))=S(\partial \sigma)$. Then
$\partial T(\sigma)=\partial b_{\sigma}(\sigma-T(\partial \sigma))=(\sigma-T(\partial \sigma))-b_{\sigma} \partial(\sigma-T(\partial \sigma))=\sigma-T(\partial \sigma)-b_{\sigma} S(\partial \sigma)=\sigma-T(\partial \sigma)-S(\sigma)$.
Hence $\partial T+T \partial=1-S$ on $\sigma$, and therefore also on general linear $n$-chains.
Now we extend the operators $S$ and $T$ to singular chains in $X$. For $\sigma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow X$ define $S(\sigma) \in C_{n}(X)$ by

$$
S(\sigma)=\sigma_{\#} S\left(\Delta^{n}\right)
$$

Here $S\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ is a signed sum of linear $n$-simplices $\Delta^{n} \rightarrow \Delta^{n}$ in $\Delta^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$; by $\sigma_{\#} S\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ we mean the corresponding signed sum of singular simplices in $X$ given by composing $\sigma$ with these linear simplices. For example, when $n=1$,

$$
S(\sigma)=\sigma\left|\left[b, v_{1}\right]-\sigma\right|\left[b, v_{0}\right]
$$

where $b$ is the barycenter of $\left[v_{0}, v_{1}\right]$, and each restriction is implicitly composed with the order-preserving affine linear homeomorphism $\left[e_{0}, e_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[b, v_{1}\right]$, or $\left[e_{0}, e_{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[b, v_{0}\right]$, according to the case. As usual, $S$ is defined on singular $n$-chains by additivity. It follows from the fact that $\sigma_{\#}$ is a chain map, $\partial S=S \partial$ on linear chains, and the definitions given, that

$$
\partial S(\sigma)=\sigma_{\#} S\left(\partial \Delta^{n}\right)=S(\partial \sigma)
$$

Finally, we define $T: C_{n}(X) \rightarrow C_{n+1}(X)$ by

$$
T(\sigma)=\sigma_{\#} T\left(\Delta^{n}\right)
$$

Here $T\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ is a signed sum of linear $n$-simplices in $\Delta^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, and $\sigma_{\#} T\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ denotes the corresponding signed sum of singular simplices in $X$ obtained by composition with $\sigma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow X$. As for $S$ we find that $\sigma_{\#} T\left(\partial \Delta^{n}\right)=T(\partial \sigma)$, so

$$
\partial T(\sigma)=\sigma-\sigma_{\#} T\left(\partial \Delta^{n}\right)-\sigma_{\#} S\left(\Delta^{n}\right)=\sigma-T(\partial \sigma)-S(\sigma),
$$

and $\partial T+T \partial=1-S$ on $\sigma$. Hence this identity also holds on general singular $n$-chains $\alpha$.
It is clear that if $\sigma$ has image in $A$ (resp. $B$ ), then $S(\sigma)$ and $T(\sigma)$ are signed sums of singular simplices with images in $A$ (resp. $B$ ), so if $\alpha$ is fine with respect to $\{A, B\}$, then so are $S(\alpha)$ and $T(\alpha)$.

It remains to show that for each $\sigma: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow X$ we can find an $m \geq 0$ such that $S^{m} \sigma$ is fine. For this, we use the Lebesgue number lemma for the compact space $\Delta^{n}$, with the Euclidean metric from $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and the open cover $\left\{\sigma^{-1}(U), \sigma^{-1}(V)\right\}$. The lemma asserts that there exists an $\epsilon>0$ such that every subset $Q \subset \Delta^{n}$ of diameter less than $\epsilon$ is contained in $\sigma^{-1}(U) \subset \sigma^{-1}(A)$ or in $\sigma^{-1}(V) \subset \sigma^{-1}(B)$. Equivalently, $\sigma(Q)$ is contained in $U \subset A$ or in $V \subset B$. Hence if $Q$ is a linear simplex within $\Delta^{n}$, then $\sigma$ restricted to $Q$ is fine with respect to $\{A, B\}$.

Recall that $S\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ is a signed sum of linear simplices with images of diameter at most $n /(n+1)$ times $\operatorname{diam}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)=\sqrt{2}$. More generally, $S^{m}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ is a signed sum of linear simplices $\tau$ with images of diameter at most $(n /(n+1))^{m} \cdot \sqrt{2}$. These bounds tend to 0 as $m$ increases to $\infty$, so there exists an $m \geq 0$ with $(n /(n+1))^{m} \cdot \sqrt{2}<\epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is a Lebesgue number of $\left\{\sigma^{-1}(U), \sigma^{-1}(V)\right\}$. Hence for this $m$, the subdivision $S^{m}(\sigma)$ is fine with respect to $\{A, B\}$, as claimed.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A, B \subset X$ be subspaces whose interiors cover $X$. Then the inclusion $(B, A \cap B) \rightarrow$ $(X, A)$ induces isomorphisms

$$
H_{n}(B, A \cap B) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n}(X, A)
$$

for all $n$. Equivalently, if $Z \subset A \subset X$ are such that $\operatorname{cl}(Z) \subset \operatorname{int}(A)$, then the inclusion $(X \backslash Z, A \backslash Z) \rightarrow$ $(X, A)$ induces isomorphisms

$$
H_{n}(X \backslash Z, A \backslash Z) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n}(X, A)
$$

for all $n$.

Proof. In each degree $n$, the subgroups $C_{n}(A)$ and $C_{n}(B)$ of $C_{n}(X)$ intersect in $C_{n}(A \cap B)$ and span $C_{n}(A+B)$. Hence the inclusion $C_{*}(B) \rightarrow C_{*}(A+B)$ induces an isomorphism of chain complexes

$$
C_{*}(B) / C_{*}(A \cap B) \xrightarrow{\cong} C_{*}(A+B) / C_{*}(A) .
$$

We write $C_{*}(B, A \cap B)$ for the left hand quotient, as usual, and write $C_{*}(A+B, A)$ for the right hand quotient. With this notation, we have the following vertical maps of horizontal short exact sequences of chain complexes:


The homomorphism of relative homology groups induced by the inclusion $(B, A \cap B) \rightarrow(X, A)$ is thus the composite of the isomorphism

$$
H_{*}(B, A \cap B) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{*}(A+B, A)
$$

induced by the chain level isomorphism above, and the homomorphism

$$
\bar{\iota}_{*}: H_{*}(A+B, A) \longrightarrow H_{*}(X, A)
$$

induced by the chain map $\bar{\iota}: C_{*}(A+B, A) \rightarrow C_{*}(X, A)$. The identity map, $\iota$ and $\bar{\iota}$ induce a vertical map of horizontal long exact sequences


The maps in the first, second, fourth and fifth columns are isomorphisms, by the proposition above in the case of $\iota_{*}$. Hence, by the five-lemma it follows that the map in the third column, $\bar{\iota}_{*}$, is also an isomorphism. Thus $H_{*}(B, A \cap B) \rightarrow H_{*}(X, A)$ is a composite of two isomorphisms, and is therefore an isomorphism.

The alternative formulation arises by setting $B=X \backslash Z$, since then $\operatorname{int}(B)=X \backslash \operatorname{cl}(Z)$, and $\operatorname{int}(A) \cup$ $\operatorname{int}(B)=X$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{cl}(Z) \subset \operatorname{int}(A)$.
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