

Solution of problem 0.24

Let us recall some definitions

Join: The join  $X * Y$  of two spaces is the quotient space

$$X * Y = X * I / \sim \text{ where } (x, y_1, 0) \sim (x, y_2, 0) \text{ for } x \in X, y_1, y_2 \in Y \text{ and } (x_1, y, 1) \sim (x_2, y, 1) \text{ for } x_1, x_2 \in X \text{ and } y \in Y.$$

Smash product  $X \wedge Y$  of two spaces: Consider  $X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y \subset X * Y$

where  $x_0 \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ . Forming the wedge  $X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y$  identifying  $(x_0, y_0)$  (which is a point in both spaces), we can think

of  $X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y = X \vee Y$  as a subspace of  $X * Y$  and we define  $X \wedge Y$  as the quotient space  $X * Y / X \vee Y$ .

Suspension:  $SX = X * I / \sim$  where  $(x_1, 0) \sim (x_2, 0)$  for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  and  $(x, 1) \sim (x, 1)$  for  $x \in X$ .

We want to show that  $X * Y / X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y$

and  $S(X \wedge Y) / S(\{x_0\} \vee \{y_0\})$  are homeomorphic.

Here  $X, Y$  is CW-complexes and  $x_0, y_0$  0-cells in  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively.

Consider first  $X * Y / X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y$ .

This is the quotient space of  $X * Y * I$  with respect to the equivalence relation which is generated by the following relations:

$$I_a \quad (x, y_1, 0) \sim (x, y_2, 0) \quad \forall x, y_1, y_2$$

$$I_b \quad (x_1, y, 1) \sim (x_2, y, 1) \quad \forall x_1, x_2, y$$

$$I_c \quad (x_1, y_0, t_1) \sim (x_2, y_0, t_2) \sim (x_0, y_1, s_1) \sim (x_0, y_2, s_2) \quad \forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, s_i, t_i \quad i=1,2$$

Here  $I_a, I_b$  are the relations that ~~define~~ <sup>define</sup>  $X \times Y$  and  $I_c$  are relations that identify all points in  $X \times \{y_0\} \times I \cup \{x_0\} \times Y \times I$  and consequently also identify all points in  $X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y$  inside  $X \times Y$ .

Now consider  $S(X \times Y) / S(\{x_0\} \times \{y_0\})$

Again this space can be obtained as a quotient space of  $X \times Y \times I$  with respect to the equivalence relation generated by the following relations.

$$II_a \quad (x_0, y_1, t) \sim (x_0, y_2, t) \quad \forall y_1, y_2, t$$

$$II_b \quad (x_1, y_0, t) \sim (x_2, y_0, t) \quad \forall x_1, x_2, t$$

(Note that in  $X \times Y$ , <sup>which is a quotient space of  $X \times Y$</sup>  the points in  $X \times Y = X \times \{y_0\} \cup \{x_0\} \times Y$  are identified so in  $S(X \times Y)$  which is a quotient space of  $X \times Y \times I$  ~~we~~ we must have the identifications given by  $II_a$  and  $II_b$ .)

$$II_c \quad (x_1, y_1, 0) \sim (x_2, y_2, 0) \quad \forall x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2$$

$$II_d \quad (x_1, y_1, 1) \sim (x_2, y_2, 1)$$

(This is identifications we get forming  $S(X \times Y)$  as a quotient space of  $X \times Y \times I$ )

$$\bar{\Pi}_e \quad (x_0, y_0, s) \sim (x_0, y_0, t) \quad \forall s, t$$

(Since  $S(\{x_0, y_0, \cdot\}) = (x_0, y_0) \times I$  we get  $\bar{\Pi}_e$  by identifying all points in  $S(\{x_0, y_0, \cdot\})$  inside  $S(X \times Y)$ ).

To prove that the two quotient spaces are homeomorphic it is enough to prove that  $I_a, I_b, I_c$

and  $\bar{\Pi}_a, \bar{\Pi}_b, \bar{\Pi}_c, \bar{\Pi}_d, \bar{\Pi}_e$  generates the same equivalence relation on  $X \times Y \times I$ .

We see that  $I_a$  and  $I_b$  are implied by  $\bar{\Pi}_c$  and  $\bar{\Pi}_d$ .

Now consider  $I_c$ .

We have

$$(x_1, y_0, t_1) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_b}{\sim} (x_0, y_0, t_1) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_e}{\sim} (x_0, y_0, s_1) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_a}{\sim} (x_0, y_1, s_1)$$

Moreover

$$(x_0, y_0, t_1) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_e}{\sim} (x_0, y_0, t_2) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_b}{\sim} (x_2, y_0, t_2)$$

and

$$(x_0, y_0, t_1) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_e}{\sim} (x_0, y_0, s_2) \stackrel{\bar{\Pi}_a}{\sim} (x_0, y_2, s_2)$$

From this we see that the relations  $\bar{\Pi}_a \dots \bar{\Pi}_e$  implies  $I_c$ .

This implies that the equivalence relation generated by  $\bar{\Pi}_a \dots \bar{\Pi}_e$  implies the  $\sim$  by  $I_a \dots I_c$ .

Next we will prove the opposite.

We see that the relations  $\bar{\Pi}_a$  and  $\bar{\Pi}_b$  are implied by  $I_c$ .

Now

$$(x_1, y_1, 0) \stackrel{I_a}{\sim} (x_1, y_0, 0) \stackrel{I_c}{\sim} (x_2, y_0, 0) \stackrel{I_a}{\sim} (x_2, y_2, 0)$$

so  $\Pi_c$  is satisfied

Moreover

$$(x_1, y_1, 1) \stackrel{I_b}{\sim} (x_0, y_1, 1) \stackrel{I_c}{\sim} (x_0, y_2, 1) \stackrel{I_b}{\sim} (x_2, y_2, 1)$$

so  $\Pi_a$  holds.

Finally  $\Pi_e$  follows from  $I_c$ .

So the relation given by  $\Pi_a \dots \Pi_e$  is implied by the relations given by  $I_a, I_b, I_c$ .

So both spaces can be obtained as the same quotient space of  $X \times Y \times I$ .

From this we will now deduce that  $X * Y \cong S(X \times Y)$

Consider

$$X * Y = \frac{X \times Y \times [0, 1]}{X \times Y \times \{0, 1\}} \cup \frac{X \times Y \times Y \times I}{X \times Y \times Y \times \{0, 1\}}$$

Since  $X \times Y \times [0, 1]$  and  $X \times Y \times Y \times I$  are homeomorphic to the cones  $CX$  and  $CY$  respectively and also the intersection is homeomorphic to  $X \times Y \times [0, 1]$ , both spaces and their intersections are contractible.

Also since  $X, Y$  are CW-complexes with  $x_0, y_0$  0-cells, it follows (from Hatcher) that all spaces constructed above also are CW-complexes (and subcomplexes)

By the conclusion of ex. 23 it follows that  $X * Y$  is contractible.

So by prop. 0.17 the quotient map

$$X * Y \rightarrow X * Y / (X * y_0 \cup \{x_0\} * Y) \text{ is a homotopy-equivalence.}$$

Now  $S(\{x_0\} * Y)$  is homeomorphic to  $I$ , so it is contractible

and therefore

$$S(X * Y) \rightarrow S(X * Y) / S(\{x_0\} * Y)$$

is also a homotopy equivalence. Since the two quotient spaces we considered above were homeomorphic and therefore also homotopy-equivalent it follows (since homotopy-equivalence is an equivalence relation) that  $X * Y$  and  $S(X * Y)$  are homotopy-equivalent spaces.