

TRIAL EXAM SPRING 2018

MAT4770 & MAT9770

EXERCISE 1

a. Define a CAR(p)-process X , for $p \geq 1$. Consider a p -dimensional stochastic process $\vec{Z}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ s.t. has the following dynamics

$$(0.1) \quad d\vec{Z}(t) = A\vec{Z}(t) dt + \vec{e}_p \sigma dB(t),$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\alpha_p & -\alpha_{p-1} & -\alpha_{p-2} & \cdots & -\alpha_1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{p \times p}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p \text{ are all positive numbers,}$$

$B(t)$ is a 1-dimensional Brownian motion in \mathbb{R} ,

$$\vec{e}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{p \times 1}(\mathbb{R}).$$

We define the CAR(p)-process as the stochastic process $\vec{X}(t)$ given by

$$(0.2) \quad \vec{X}(t) = \vec{e}_1^T \vec{Z}(t) = Z_1(t).$$

b. Let a commodity price follow a CAR(p) –process, that is $S(t) = X(t)$. Introduce a pricing measure $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ by using a constant market price of risk θ in Girsanov's Theorem. Derive the forward price $t \mapsto f(t, \tau)$, $t \leq \tau$ for a contract delivering at time $\tau > 0$. Analyse what happens with the forward price when $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ in view of properties of the CAR(p) –process. By the arbitrage free-argument, we know the forward price $f(t, \tau)$ is given by the following expression known as the arbitrage free forward pricing formula

$$(0.3) \quad f(t, \tau) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[S(\tau) | \mathcal{F}_t].$$

In order to compute this we first need to derive the risk-free dynamics for S , through Girsanov's Theorem. Notice $S(\tau) = X(\tau)$.

Theorem 1. (*Girsanov's Theorem*) Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and define for all $t \leq \tau$, $dW(t) = dB(t) - \theta dt$ and $\mathcal{E}(t) = \exp\{\theta B(t) - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2 t\}$.

If $t \mapsto \mathcal{E}(t)$; $t \leq \tau$ is a martingale, then $\exists! \mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ such that $W(t)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion for $t \leq \tau$. Moreover

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \mathcal{E}(t), \quad \forall t \leq \tau.$$

Now we know from Equation (0.1), that is the \mathbb{P} -dynamics of $\vec{Z}(t)$ and Girsanov's Theorem (1) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d\vec{Z}(t) &= A\vec{Z}(t) dt + \vec{e}_p \sigma (dW(t) + \theta dt), \\ &= A\vec{Z}(t) dt + \sigma \theta \vec{e}_p dt + \sigma \vec{e}_p dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

In order to find the solution to this equation we consider the process $e^{-At} \vec{Z}(t)$, then we know

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(e^{-At} \vec{Z}(t)\right) &= -Ae^{-At} \vec{Z}(t) dt + e^{-At} d\vec{Z}(t), \\ &= -Ae^{-At} \vec{Z}(t) dt + e^{-At} \left[A\vec{Z}(t) dt + \sigma \theta \vec{e}_p dt + \sigma \vec{e}_p dW(t) \right], \\ &= e^{-At} \vec{e}_p \sigma \theta dt + e^{-At} \vec{e}_p \sigma dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

Now integrating in $[t, \tau]$ for all $t \leq \tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^\tau d\left(e^{-Au} \vec{Z}(u)\right) &= \int_t^\tau e^{-Au} \vec{e}_p \sigma \theta du + \int_t^\tau e^{-Au} \vec{e}_p \sigma dW(u), \\ e^{-A\tau} \vec{Z}(\tau) &= e^{-At} \vec{Z}(t) + \int_t^\tau e^{-Au} \vec{e}_p \sigma \theta du + \int_t^\tau e^{-Au} \vec{e}_p \sigma dW(u), \\ \vec{Z}(\tau) &= e^{A(\tau-t)} \vec{Z}(t) + \int_t^\tau e^{A(\tau-u)} \vec{e}_p \sigma \theta du + \int_t^\tau e^{A(\tau-u)} \vec{e}_p \sigma dW(u). \end{aligned}$$

Trivially by the definition of $\text{CAR}(p)$ -process we know that the risk-free dynamics for $\vec{X}(\tau) = e_1^T \vec{Z}(\tau)$, therefore we can write the forward using Equation (0.3) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \tau) &= \vec{e}_1^T \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\vec{Z}(\tau) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= \vec{e}_1^T e^{A(\tau-t)} \vec{Z}(t) + \sigma \theta \int_t^\tau \vec{e}_1^T e^{A(\tau-s)} \vec{e}_p ds. \end{aligned}$$

Now we do a change of variables as follows to reexpress the previous equation

$$f(t, \tau) = \vec{e}_1^T e^{A(\tau-t)} \vec{Z}(t) + \sigma \theta \int_0^{\tau-t} \vec{e}_1^T e^{As} \vec{e}_p ds.$$

Where $\int_0^{\tau-t} \vec{e}_1^T e^{As} \vec{e}_p ds = \vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} (e^{A(\tau-t)} - Id) \vec{e}_p$, as the expression is integrable given that A is always invertible. Note that matrix A has eigenvalues with negative real part $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$ and eigenvectors $(\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p)$ which form a basis.

$$\vec{Z}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^p z_i(t) \vec{v}_i.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{A(\tau-t)} \vec{Z}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^p z_i(t) e^{A(\tau-t)} \vec{v}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p z_i(t) e^{\lambda_i(\tau-t)} \vec{v}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p z_i(t) e^{Re(\lambda_i)(\tau-t)} e^{iIm(\lambda_i)(\tau-t)} \vec{v}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Since the real part is negative we know that $e^{Re(\lambda_i)(\tau-t)} \rightarrow 0$, when $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \vec{e}_1^T e^{A(\tau-t)} \vec{Z}(t) = 0.$$

Similarly

$$\vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} (e^{A(\tau-t)} - Id) \vec{e}_p \xrightarrow{\tau \rightarrow \infty} -\vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} \vec{e}_p.$$

Therefore we have that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} f(t, \tau) = -\sigma \theta \vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} \vec{e}_p,$$

this is in the long end we get a constant as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$.

c. Suppose the interest rate is zero, $r = 0$. Consider a forward contract delivering the accumulated value of S over the period $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$, with $\tau_2 > \tau_1 > 0$. Derive an expression for the price $F(t, \tau_1, \tau_2)$, $t \leq \tau_1$.

$$F(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} S(u) du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right],$$

by section (b) in this exercise we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, \tau_1, \tau_2) &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} f(t, u) du \\ &= \vec{e}_1^T \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} e^{A(u-t)} du \vec{Z}(t) + \sigma \theta \vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} (e^{A(u-t)} - Id) du \vec{e}_p \\ &= \vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} (e^{A(\tau_2-t)} - e^{A(\tau_1-t)}) \vec{Z}(t) \\ &\quad + \sigma \theta \vec{e}_1^T A^{-1} (A^{-1} (e^{A(\tau_2-t)} - e^{A(\tau_1-t)}) - Id(\tau_2 - \tau_1)) \vec{e}_p. \end{aligned}$$

d. Imagine that the temperature market trades a forward with “delivery” over the month of July (with forward price F_J), as well as four

weekly contracts “delivering” the first, second third and fourth week of July (with forward prices F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4). We assume that these four weeks cover exactly the month of July. By “delivery”, we mean a stream of money matching the measured accumulated temperature over the period. Suppose that $F_J > F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4$. Show how you can create an arbitrage position. Does your model in 1c allow for such an arbitrage? If $F_J > F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4$ holds, then one can build the following arbitrage strategy.

- Today:
 - Sell July forward contract F_J at 0\$
 - Buy F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4 contracts at 0\$
 - Total cost of investment: 0\$.
- July:
 - Deliver July forward contract (income of F_J \$)
 - Receive the weekly forward contracts and use them to deliver the July contract (outcome of $-(F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4)$ \$)
 - Total cost of investment: $F_J - (F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4) > 0$. We have built an arbitrage strategy.

Our model in 1c does not allow arbitrage as we can split the integral $\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} f(t, u) du$ into 4 weeks.

EXERCISE 2

Let $I(t)$ be a compound Poisson process with jump intensity $\lambda = 1$ and jump size distribution being exponential, with mean jumps equal to 1.

a. On $0 \leq t \leq T < \infty$, define a probability $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ by the Esscher transform of I . What is the logarithm of the moment generating function of I with respect to \mathbb{Q} . Knowing that the jumps are still exponentially distributed under \mathbb{Q} , find the jump intensity and the mean jump size under \mathbb{Q} . Discuss, in terms of the market price of risk (i.e., the parameter in the Esscher transform), what happens with the mean jump size. Consider a CPP given by $I(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} J_k$, $\lambda = 1$, such that $J_k \sim \exp\{\lambda\}$. By the Esscher transform (always need to state conditions so that the Esscher transform is well defined) we have

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left\{ \tilde{\theta} I(t) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) t \right\}; \quad \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) = \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} I(1)} \right],$$

only defined for $\tilde{\theta}$'s where $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} I(1)} \right] < +\infty$.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} I(1)} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} \sum_{k=1}^{N(1)} J_k} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} \sum_{k=1}^n J_k} \mid N(1) = n \right] \right] \\
&= e^{-\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n!} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right]^n \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\lambda + \lambda \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right] \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now $\varphi(\tilde{\theta}) = \lambda \left(\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right] - 1 \right)$ as long as $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right] < +\infty$, but $\mathbb{E} \left[e^{\tilde{\theta} J} \right] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{\tilde{\theta} z} e^{-z} dz = \frac{1}{1-\tilde{\theta}}$; $\tilde{\theta} < 1$. Thus when $\tilde{\theta} < 1$ we have the Esscher transform is well defined.

We will now find the log-MGF of I w.r.t. \mathbb{Q} .

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) &= \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{xI(1)} \right] \\
&= \log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{xI(1)} e^{\tilde{\theta} I(1) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta})} \right] \\
&= \left(\log \mathbb{E} \left[e^{(x+\tilde{\theta})I(1)} \right] \right) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}) \\
&= \varphi(x+\tilde{\theta}) - \varphi(\tilde{\theta}), \quad \text{when } x+\tilde{\theta} < 1 \\
&= \lambda \left(\frac{x+\tilde{\theta}}{1-(x+\tilde{\theta})} - \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{1-\tilde{\theta}} \right) \\
&= \lambda \frac{x}{(1+\tilde{\theta})(1-\tilde{\theta}-x)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $J \sim \text{Exp}\{\xi\}$, under \mathbb{Q} , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}}(x) &= \lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{x}{\xi - x}; \quad x < \xi \\
&= \lambda \frac{x}{(1-\tilde{\theta})(1-\tilde{\theta}-x)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\xi = 1 - \tilde{\theta}$ and $\lambda_{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{\lambda}{1-\tilde{\theta}}$. If $\tilde{\theta} \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow$ increased mean jump size we get more frequent jumps. If $\tilde{\theta} < 0 \Rightarrow$ we get smaller jumps on average and less frequent.

b. Define a spot price S with dynamics $S(t) = \exp(X(t) + Y(t))$, where Y has dynamics under \mathbb{P} given by

$$dY(t) = -bY(t) dt - dI(t),$$

and

$$dX(t) = -\alpha X(t) dt + dB(t).$$

Find the characteristic function of $X(t) + Y(t)$, and find its limit when $t \rightarrow \infty$. Notice the negative jumps in Y .

$$\begin{cases} X(t) &= e^{-\alpha t} X(0) + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} dB(s), \\ Y(t) &= e^{-bt} Y(0) - \int_0^t e^{-b(t-s)} dI(s). \end{cases}$$

Characteristic function of

$$\begin{aligned} X(t) + Y(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{ix(X(t)+Y(t))} \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ ixe^{-\alpha t} X(0) + ixe^{-bt} Y(0) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ ix \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} dB(s) \right\} \right] (*) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left\{ ix \int_0^t e^{-b(t-s)} dI(s) \right\} \right] (**), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} dB(s) \sim N \left(0, \int_0^t e^{-2\alpha(t-s)} ds \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{4\alpha} (1 - e^{-2\alpha t}) \right\} \xrightarrow{t \nearrow \infty} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\alpha}}, \\ (**) \text{ by (a)} &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \varphi \left(-ixe^{-b(t-s)} \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \lambda \int_0^t \frac{-ixe^{-bs}}{1 + ixe^{-bs}} ds \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{b} \ln (1 + ixe^{-bs}) \Big|_{s=0}^t \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \frac{\lambda}{b} \ln \left(\frac{1 + ixe^{-bx}}{1 + ix} \right) \right\} \xrightarrow{t \nearrow \infty} e^{\frac{\lambda}{b} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1+ix} \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \left[e^{ix(X(t)+Y(t))} \right] \xrightarrow{t \nearrow \infty} \exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{4\alpha} \right\} \frac{1}{(1+ix)^{\lambda/b}},$$

where $\exp \left\{ -\frac{x^2}{4\alpha} \right\}$ is the normal distribution and $\frac{1}{(1+ix)^{\lambda/b}}$ is the Gamma distribution. So the product of the two distributions is somehow defining the characteristic function of the sum of the two random variables.

c. Derive the forward price $t \mapsto f(t, \tau)$ for $t \leq \tau$, for a forward contract delivering at time $\tau > 0$. The market price of risk (the parameter in the Esscher transform) is set equal to $\tilde{\theta} = 1$, while the market price of risk associated with X is $\hat{\theta} = 0$ (you do no change of probability for X).

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, \tau) &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{X(\tau)+Y(\tau)} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ e^{-\alpha(\tau-t)} X(t) + e^{-b(\tau-t)} Y(t) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{\int_t^\tau e^{-\alpha(\tau-s)} dB(s)} \right] (*) \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \left[e^{-\int_t^\tau e^{-b(\tau-s)} dI(s)} \right] (**), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^\tau e^{-2\alpha(\tau-s)} ds \right\}, \\ (**) &= \exp \left\{ \int_t^\tau \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}} \left(-e^{-b(\tau-s)} \right) ds \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^{\tau-t} (\varphi(1 - e^{-bs}) - \varphi(1)) ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$