▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Weather Derivatives: Modeling and Pricing

#### Fred Espen Benth

University of Oslo

MAT4770 Energy stochastics, January 2018

Weather markets

Models

Empirical analysis

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

#### The temperature market

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# The temperature market

- Chicago Mercantile Exchange (CME) organizes trade in temperature derivatives:
  - Futures contracts on weekly, monthly and seasonal temperatures
  - European call and put options on these futures
- Contracts on several US, Canadian, Japanese and European cities

Empirical analysis

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## HDD, CDD and CAT

• HDD (heating-degree days) over a period  $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ 

```
\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \max(18 - T(u), 0) \, du
```

- HDD is the accumulated degrees when temperature T(u) is below 18°C
- CDD (cooling-degree days) is correspondingly the accumulated degrees when temperature T(u) is above 18°C
- CAT = cumulative average temperature
  - Average temperature here meaning the *daily* average

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} T(u) \, du$$

Empirical analysis

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### At the CME...

- Futures written on HDD, CDD, and CAT as index
  - HDD and CDD is the index for US temperature futures
  - CAT index for European temperature futures, along with HDD and CDD
- Discrete (daily) measurement of HDD, CDD, and CAT
- All futures are cash settled
  - 1 trade unit=20 Currency (trade unit being HDD, CDD or CAT)
  - Currency equal to USD for US futures and GBP for European
- Call and put options written on the different futures

#### Weather markets 00000

Models 0000 Empirical analysis

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

#### The wind market

- The US Futures Exchange launched wind futures and options summer 2007
- Futures on a wind speed index (Nordix) in two wind farm areas
  - Texas and New York
  - Texas divided into 2 subareas, New York into 3
- The Nordix index aggregates the daily *deviation* from a 20 year mean over a specified period
  - Benchmarked at 100
- Futures are settled against this index
  - European calls and puts written on these futures

Weather	markets
00000	

Empirical analysis

• Formal definition of the index:

$$N(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 100 + \sum_{s=\tau_1}^{\tau_2} W(s) - w_{20}(s)$$

- W(s) is the wind speed on day s
  - Daily average wind speed
  - Typically measured at specific hours during a day
- w<sub>20</sub>(s) is the 20-year average wind speed for day s
- $[ au_1, au_2]$  measurement period, typically a month or a season

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Stochastic models for temperature and wind

# A continuous-time AR(p)-process

- Dynamics of daily average wind and temperatures are well-described by autoregressive time series models (AR-models)
- Purpose of pricing derivatives: continuous-time model
  - Futures prices vary over the day.....
- Define the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process  $\mathbf{X}(t) \in R^p$

 $d\mathbf{X}(t) = A\mathbf{X}(t) dt + \mathbf{e}_{p}\sigma(t) dB(t),$ 

- $\mathbf{e}_k$ : k'th unit vector in  $R^p$ ,  $\sigma(t)$  "volatility"
- A:  $p \times p$ -matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\alpha_p & \cdots & -\alpha_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Weather	markets
00000	

Empirical analysis

• Explicit solution of **X**(s), given **X**(t):

$$\mathbf{X}(s) = \exp\left(A(s-t)\right)\mathbf{X}(t) + \int_{t}^{s} \exp\left(A(s-u)\right)\mathbf{e}_{p}\sigma(u) \, dB(u) \, ,$$

• Define a continuous-time AR(p)-process as

$$X_1(t) = \mathbf{e}_1' \mathbf{X}(t)$$

- Basic builling blocks for describing the temperature and wind dynamics
  - Named a CAR(*p*)-process
  - Subclass of the CARMA(p, q)-processes

# Why is $X_1$ a CAR(p) process?

- Consider p = 3
- Do an Euler approximation of the X(t)-dynamics with time step 1
  - Substitute iteratively in  $X_1(t)$ -dynamics
  - Use  $B(t+1) B(t) = \epsilon(t)$
- Resulting discrete-time dynamics

 $\begin{aligned} X_1(t+3) &\approx (3-\alpha_1) X_1(t+2) + (2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1) X_1(t+1) \\ &+ (\alpha_2 - 1 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)) X_1(t) + \sigma(t) \epsilon(t) \,. \end{aligned}$ 

Weather	markets
00000	

- Empirical analysis suggests the following models for temperature and wind:
- Temperature dynamics T(t) defined as

 $T(t) = \Lambda(t) + X_1(t)$ 

• Wind dynamics W(t) defined as (Box-Cox transform)

$$W(t) = \left\{ egin{array}{c} (\lambda(\Lambda(t)+X_1(t))+1)^{1/\lambda}\,, & \lambda
eq 0 \ \exp\left(\Lambda(t)+X_1(t)
ight)\,, & \lambda=0 \end{array} 
ight.$$

•  $\Lambda(t)$  seasonality function

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

## Empirical analysis of temperature and wind data

## Empirical study of Stockholm temperature data

- Daily average temperatures from 1 Jan 1961 till 25 May 2006
  - 29 February removed in every leap year
  - 16,570 recordings
- Last 11 years snapshot with seasonal function



(日)、

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Fitting of model goes stepwise:
  - 1. Fit seasonal function  $\Lambda(t)$  with least squares
  - 2. Fit AR(p)-model on deseasonalized temperatures
  - 3. Fit seasonal volatility  $\sigma(t)$  to residuals

#### 1. Seasonal function

• Suppose seasonal function with trend

 $\Lambda(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 \cos(2\pi(t - a_3)/365)$ 

- Use least squares to fit parameters
  - May use higher order truncated Fourier series
- Estimates:  $a_0 = 6.4, a_1 = 0.0001, a_2 = 10.4, a_3 = -166$ 
  - Average temperature increases over sample period by 1.6°C

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### 2. Fitting an auto-regressive model

• Remove the effect of  $\Lambda(t)$  from the data

$$Y_i := T(i) - \Lambda(i), i = 0, 1, \ldots$$

• Claim that AR(3) is a good model for  $Y_i$ :

$$Y_{i+3} = \beta_1 Y_{i+2} + \beta_2 Y_{i+1} + \beta_3 Y_i + \sigma_i \epsilon_i ,$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

 The partial autocorrelation function for the data suggests AR(3)



- Estimates  $\beta_1 = 0.957, \beta_2 = -0.253, \beta_3 = 0.119$  (significant at 1% level)
- $R^2$  is 94.1% (higher-order AR-models did not increase  $R^2$  significantly)



э

#### 3. Seasonal volatility

- Consider the residuals from the AR(3) model
- Close to zero ACF for residuals
- Highly seasonal ACF for squared residuals





(日)、

Weather markets 00000 Models



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

• Suppose the volatility is a truncated Fourier series

$$\sigma^{2}(t) = c + \sum_{i=1}^{4} c_{i} \sin(2i\pi t/365) + \sum_{j=1}^{4} d_{j} \cos(2j\pi t/365)$$

- This is calibrated to the daily variances
  - 45 years of daily residuals
  - Line up each year next to each other
  - Calculate the variance for each day in the year

Weather	markets
00000	



- A plot of the daily empirical variance with the fitted squared volatility function
- · High variance in winter, and early summer
- Low variance in spring and late summer/autumn



(日) (同) (日) (日)

• Same observation for other cities (Berlin, US, Norway, Lithuania)

Weather	markets
00000	



- Dividing out the seasonal volatility from the regression residuals
- ACF for squared residuals non-seasonal
  - ACF for residuals unchanged
  - Residuals become (close to) normally distributed





・ロト・西ト・西ト・西・ うらぐ

Weather	markets
00000	



- Conclusion: fitted an AR(3)-model with seasonal variance to deseasonalized daily temperatures
- Apply the link between CAR(3) and AR(3) to derive the continuous-time parameters  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$

 $\alpha_1 = 2.043, \alpha_2 = 1.339, \alpha_3 = 0.177$ 

- Seasonality  $\Lambda$  and variance  $\sigma$  given
- The fitted CAR(3)-model is stationary (to a normal distribution)
  - Eigenvalues of A have negative real parts

(日)、

## Empirical study of New York wind speed data

- Daily average wind speed data from New York wind farm region 1 from Jan 1 1987 till Sept 7 2007.
- 7,550 daily recordings, after leap year data were removed
- Figure shows 5 years from 1987



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Fitting wind speed model to data follows (almost) the same scheme as temperature
  - 1. Transform data to symmetrize
  - 2. Fit seasonal function
  - 3. Find AR(p)-model to deseasonalized data
  - 4. Find volatility structure of residuals

Weather markets 00000 Models

Empirical analysis

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

1. Symmetrization of data



- Wind speed histogram (left), Box-Cox power transformed speeds (right) with  $\widehat{\lambda}=0.2$
- Box-Cox transform

$$y^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \frac{y^{\lambda} - 1}{\lambda}, & \lambda \neq 0\\ \ln y, & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

Weather markets 00000 Models

Empirical analysis

#### 2. Seasonal function

• Seasonality function with annual and biannual periodicity

$$\begin{split} \Lambda(t) &= a_0 + a_1 \cos(2\pi t/365) + a_2 \sin(2\pi t/365) + a_3 \cos(4\pi t/365) \\ &+ a_4 \sin(4\pi t/365) \end{split}$$

Nonlinear least squares (using matlab) on transformed data gives

$$a_0 = 1.91, a_1 = 0.26, a_2 = 0.08, a_3 = -0.04, a_4 = -0.07$$

Weather	markets
00000	

Empirical analysis

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三)

- Consider the ACF before and after estimated seasonality has been removed
- We see (right plot) that the ACF of deseasonalized data does not show any periodic pattern



Weather markets 00000 Models

Empirical analysis

3. Fitting an AR(p)-model



• Partial ACF for deseasonalized data suggests a higher-order AR(MA) structure

- AR(4) best according to Akaike's Information Criterion
- ... best among ARMA( $p \le 5, q \le 5$ )





• Estimated regression parameters in the AR(4) model

$$z_t = \beta_1 z_{t-1} + \beta_2 z_{t-2} + \beta_3 z_{t-3} + \beta_4 z_{t-4}$$

 $\beta_1 = 0.355, \beta_2 = -0.104, \beta_3 = 0.010, \beta_4 = 0.027$ 

• All except  $\beta_3$  are found to be significant

Empirical analysis

э

#### 4. Volatility structure



- Estimated daily empirical variance, and fitted a truncated Fourier series
  - ...as for temperature

$$\sigma^{2}(t) = c_{0} + \sum_{k=1}^{3} c_{k} \cos(2\pi kt/365)$$

(日) (同) (日) (日)

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

- Fitting using (nonlinear) least squares in Matlab
- Estimated parameters

 $c_0 = 0.208, c_1 = 0.033, c_2 = -0.019, c_3 = -0.010$ 

- Note:
  - Wind variance goes down in summer, temperature goes up
  - High in spring and autumn, where it is low for temperature
  - Temperature high variance in winter

Empirical analysis

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Relation to CAR(4)-model $X_1(t)$

• Using Euler approximation on dynamics of  $X_1(t)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(t) &\approx (4-\alpha_1)X_1(t-1) + (3\alpha_1-\alpha_2-6)X_1(t-2) \\ &+ (4+2\alpha_2-\alpha_3-3\alpha_1)X_1(t-3) \\ &+ (\alpha_3-\alpha_4-\alpha_2+\alpha_1-1)X_1(t-4) \end{aligned}$$

• Knowing the  $\beta$ 's yield

 $\alpha_1 = 3.645, \alpha_2 = 5.039, \alpha_3 = 3.133, \alpha_4 = 0.712$ 

• Eigenvalues of A have negative real part, thus stationary dynamics

#### References

Benth and Saltyte-Benth. Stochastic modelling of temperature variations with a view towards weather derivatives. Appl. Math. Finance, 12, 2005

Benth and Saltyte-Benth. The volatility of temperature and pricing of weather derivatives. *Quantit.* Finance, 7, 2007

Benth, Saltyte-Benth and Koekebakker. Putting a price on temperature. Scand. J. Statist., 34, 2007

Benth and Saltyte Benth. Dynamic pricing of wind futures. Energy Econ., 31, 2009

Benth and Saltyte Benth. Analysing and modeling of wind speed in New York. J. Appl. Stat., 37, 2010

Benth, Härdle and Lopez Cabrera. Pricing of Asian temperature risk. SFB 649 Discussion paper, Humboldt University, 2009.

Härdle and Lopez Cabrera. Infering the market price of weather risk. SFB 649 Discussion paper, Humboldt University, 2009.

Saltyte Benth, Benth and Jalinskas. A spatial-temporal model for temperature with seasonal variance. J. Appl. Statist., 34, 2007

Campbell and Diebold. Weather forecasting for weather derivatives. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc., 100, 2005

Dornier and Querel. Caution to the wind. Energy Power Risk Manag., August, 2000