

SUGGESTED SOLUTIONS, PART II

5

Based on earlier exercises, let us guess a solution of the form

$$(*) S(t) = S(0) \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma B(t)\right)$$

Ito's Formula using $f(t, x) = S(0) \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)t + \sigma x\right)$ yields

$$dS(t) = df(t, B(t)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t, B(t)) dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(t, B(t)) dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(t, B(t)) (dB(t))^2$$

$$= \left(\mu - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right) f(t, B(t)) dt + \sigma f(t, B(t)) dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 f(t, B(t)) dt$$

$$= \mu S(t) dt + \sigma S(t) dB(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = \mu dt + \sigma dB(t)$$

Hence, (*) is a solution.

Introduce a stochastic process

$$dW(t) = \theta dt + dB(t)$$

where θ is some constant.

Substituting in the dynamics of S :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} &= \mu dt + \sigma(dW(t) - \theta dt) \\ &= (\mu - \sigma\theta) dt + \sigma dW(t)\end{aligned}$$

Choose θ so that $\mu - \sigma\theta = r$, i.e.

$$\theta = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma}$$

Girsanov's theorem ensures that there exists a $\mathbb{Q} \sim \mathbb{P}$ such that W is a \mathbb{Q} -Brownian motion.

From Girsanov, we also know that

$$\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_A Z], \text{ where } Z \text{ is}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Z &= \exp\left(\int_0^T \frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} dB(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma}\right)^2 dt\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma} \cdot B(T) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\mu-r}{\sigma}\right)^2 T\right)\end{aligned}$$

By the definition in the exercise:

$$F(t, T) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}[S(T) | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

$$= E_Q [S(T) | S(t)]$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} S(T) &= S(t) \exp\left(\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t) + \sigma(W(T) - W(t))\right) \\ &= S(t) \exp\left(\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t) + \sigma(W(T) - W(t))\right) \end{aligned}$$

$W(T) - W(t)$ is independent of $S(t)$, since $S(t)$ depends on $W(t)$, and W has independent increments.

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{F(t, T)} &= E_Q \left[S(t) e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t) + \sigma(W(T) - W(t))} \mid S(t) \right] \\ &= S(t) e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t)} E_Q \left[e^{\sigma(W(T) - W(t))} \right] \\ &= S(t) e^{\left(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t)} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)} \\ &= \underline{e^{r(T-t)} S(t)} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $F(t, T) = e^{rT} \cdot (e^{-rt} S(t))$

As a process in t , e^{rT} is a constant.

$$\text{So } dF(t, T) = e^{rT} d(e^{-rt} S(t)).$$

We use Itô's Formula for function

$$f(t, x) = e^{-rt} \cdot x, \text{ and process } x = S(t)$$

$$\text{with } \frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = r dt + \sigma dW(t).$$

\mathbb{Q} -dynamics of F then becomes

$$dF(t, T) = e^{rT} d(e^{-rt} S(t))$$

$$= e^{rT} \cdot d f(t, S(t))$$

$$= e^{rT} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dS(t) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (dS(t))^2 \right)$$

$$= e^{rT} \left((-r) e^{-rt} S(t) dt + e^{-rt} dS(t) \right)$$

$$= -r F(t, T) dt + r F(t, T) dt$$

$$+ \sigma F(t, T) dW(t)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{dF(t, T) = \sigma F(t, T) dW(t)}}$$

⑥

From the exercise we have that
 $r = 0.0433$, $S = 86$ and $F = 81$.

The buy-and-hold strategy would
give that

$$F = S e^r \leftarrow r \cdot 1 \text{ year} = r !$$

$$\text{But } S e^r = 86 \cdot e^{0.0433} > 81, \text{ so}$$

there is apparently a clear
arbitrage opportunity here.

According to the buy-and-sell
strategy, you should sell spot
(which is expensive), and buy the
cheap forward. This is a turbulent
time, where access to oil is an
advantage. To have it physically
is better than to have it as a

promise in the future (= forward contract)
 This leads to something called the convenience yield, which is a yield implicitly given to those possessing a commodity physically. Think of Norway and our gas reserves.

7

$$A_0 \begin{cases} \nearrow A_0(1+u) \\ \searrow A_0(1+d) \end{cases} \quad d < r < u$$

Is there a way to invest in assets and bank to generate arbitrage? This means, if I buy/sell a assets and borrow/deposit b in bank, in total making a zero investment, can I generate money for sure?

$$V_0 = aA_0 + b = 0 \Rightarrow b = -aA_0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 &= aA_1 + b(1+r) \\
&= aA_1 - aA_0(1+r) \\
&= \begin{cases} aA_0(1+u-1-r) \\ aA_0(1+d-1-r) \end{cases} = aA_0 \begin{cases} u-r \\ d-r \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

If $a > 0$, then $aA_0(d-r) < 0$. If $a < 0$, then $aA_0(u-r) < 0$. So, any choice of $a \neq 0$ means that we have a risk of losing money, $P(V_1 < 0) > 0$!

\Rightarrow The model is arbitrage-free!

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0 &\stackrel{!}{=} (1+r)^{-1} E_q[A_1] = (1+r)^{-1} (q \cdot A_0(1+u) \\
&\quad + (1-q)A_0(1+d)) \\
&= A_0(1+r)^{-1} ((1+u)q + (1+d) - q(1+d))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 1+r = (u-d)q_f + (1+d)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{\underline{q_f = \frac{r-d}{u-d}}}$$

$q_f > 0$ since $r > d$ and $u > d$.

$q_f < 1$ since $r < u \Rightarrow r-d < u-d$

q_f is therefore a probability.

If $r < d$, then by repeating all above we find that by choosing any $a > 0$, we get $V_1 > 0$ no matter the asset price A_1 . Hence, we can earn as much as we like by borrowing money to buy the asset.

We also see that $q < 0$ in this case. Hence, we have a model for the asset which is not arbitrage-free, and which does not have any associated probability $q \in (0, 1)$ such that its discounted expected price is the initial price.

Do the analysis of the case $r > \mu$ yourself.

8

$$\int_0^t \bar{X}(s) ds = \int_0^t \bar{X}(0) e^{-\alpha s} ds + \int_0^t \sigma e^{-\alpha s} \int_0^s \alpha u e^{u} dB(u) ds$$

$$= \bar{X}(0) \frac{1}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha t}) + \sigma \int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\alpha s} e^{u} dB(u) ds$$

Consider now the last term, where we calculate using the stochastic version of Fubini's theorem:

$$\int_0^t \int_0^s e^{-\alpha s} e^{-\alpha u} dB(u) ds$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{0, s\}}(u) e^{-\alpha s} e^{-\alpha u} dB(u) ds$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_0^t \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{0, s\}}(u) e^{-\alpha s} e^{-\alpha u} ds dB(u)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1, & s \geq u \\ 0, & s < u \end{cases}$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_u^t e^{-\alpha s} ds e^{-\alpha u} dB(u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^t \frac{1}{\alpha} (e^{-\alpha u} - e^{-\alpha t}) e^{\alpha u} dB(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^t dB(u) - \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha u} dB(u) \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha} B(t) - \frac{1}{\alpha} e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha u} dB(u)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha \int_0^t X(u) du &= \underbrace{X(t)}_{\text{red}} - \underbrace{X(0)}_{\text{red}} \underbrace{e^{-\alpha t}}_{\text{red}} + \underbrace{\sigma B(t)}_{\text{red}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\left(\sigma e^{-\alpha t} \int_0^t e^{\alpha u} dB(u) \right)}_{\text{red}} = \underbrace{X(t)}_{\text{red}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= X(0) + \sigma B(t) - X(t)$$

Re-organizing terms, yields

$$\bar{X}(t) = \bar{X}(0) - \alpha \int_0^t \bar{X}(s) ds + \sigma B(t)$$

which is what we wanted,

In differential form, we can write

$$d\bar{X}(t) = -\alpha \bar{X}(t) dt + \sigma dB(t)$$

(just "by-the-way")

In the expression of $\bar{X}(t)$, only

$$\int_0^t e^{-\alpha u} dB(u)$$

has that this is a Gaussian

random variable. We argue by

showing that it has moment-

generating function coinciding with

that of a Gaussian. i.e., if

$\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then

$$E[e^{\theta \bar{X}}] = \exp(\theta \mu + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta^2)$$

We do this by a trick appealing to Itô's Formula:

$$\text{Let } \bar{X}(t) = \int_0^t e^{\alpha u} dB(u)$$

$$\text{so, } d\bar{X}(t) = e^{\alpha t} dB(t)$$

Introduce the function $f(x) = e^{\theta x}$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d e^{\theta \bar{X}(t)} &= d f(\bar{X}(t)) = \theta e^{\theta \bar{X}(t)} d\bar{X}(t) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 e^{\theta \bar{X}(t)} (d\bar{X}(t))^2 \\ &= \theta e^{\theta \bar{X}(t)} e^{\alpha t} dB(t) + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 e^{\theta \bar{X}(t)} e^{2\alpha t} dt \end{aligned}$$

This is the differential version, which is notation and means

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\theta \bar{X}(t)} &= e^{\theta \bar{X}(0)} + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 e^{\theta \bar{X}(s)} e^{2\alpha s} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \theta e^{\theta \bar{X}(s)} e^{\alpha s} dB(s) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E}(W) = \int_0^t e^{\alpha s} d\beta(s) = 0$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sigma \mathbb{Z}(t)}\right] &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \int_0^t e^{2\alpha s} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sigma \mathbb{Z}(s)}\right] ds \\ &+ \underbrace{\sigma \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t e^{\alpha s} e^{\sigma \mathbb{Z}(s)} d\beta(s)\right]}_{=0} \end{aligned}$$

= 0. Expected value of integrals w.r.t. Brownian motion is zero!

Define the function (of time t),

$$g(t) := \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\sigma \mathbb{Z}(t)}\right].$$

We have

$$g(t) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \int_0^t e^{2\alpha s} g(s) ds$$

Differentiating w.r.t. t ;

$$g'(t) = \frac{1}{2} t^2 e^{2\alpha t} \cdot g(t)$$

and $g(0) = 1$.

This is an ordinary differential equation, with solution

$$g(t) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^2 \int_0^t e^{2\alpha s} ds\right)$$

(show yourself!)

$$\Rightarrow E[e^{\sigma \bar{X}(t)}] = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} t^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2\alpha} (e^{2\alpha t} - 1)\right)$$

we conclude that

$$\int_0^t e^{\alpha u} dB(u) \sim N\left(0, \frac{1}{2\alpha} (e^{2\alpha t} - 1)\right)$$

But this means that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}(t) &\sim N\left(\bar{X}(0)e^{-\alpha t}, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha} e^{-2\alpha t} (e^{2\alpha t} - 1)\right) \\ &\underline{\underline{= N\left(\bar{X}(0)e^{-\alpha t}, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha} (1 - e^{-2\alpha t})\right)}} \end{aligned}$$

When $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\bar{X}(t) \rightarrow N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{2\alpha}\right)$

So, in distribution, $\bar{X}(t)$ converges to a normal distribution with time-independent mean and variance.

We sometimes refer to this as the stationary distribution of \bar{X} (although this is unprecise)