# UNIVERSITY OF OSLO

Faculty of mathematics and natural sciences



Please make sure that your copy of the problem set is complete before you attempt to answer anything.

# Problem 1 Fitting a Regression Function

Consider a regression setting and assume an additive error model:

$$
Y = f_{\theta}(X) + \epsilon,
$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the model parameters defining the regression function  $f_{\theta}$ and  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  is the error term.

- (a) For the purpose of estimating  $\theta$  from data, describe (i) the least squares method and (ii) the maximum likelihood method.
- (b) Show that the least squares method and the maximum likelihood method are equivalent under the considered assumptions described above. Hint: recall that the probability density function of a random variable  $Z \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is given by:

$$
f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}, \ z \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0.
$$

## Problem 2 Bias-Variance Tradeoff

Consider a regression setting with an additive error model  $Y = f(X) + \epsilon$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[\epsilon] = 0$  and  $\text{Var}[\epsilon] = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ .

(a) Using the squared-error loss, show that the expected prediction error of a regression fit  $\hat{f}(X)$  at input point  $X = x_0$  can be decomposed as:

$$
\mathbb{E}[(Y-\hat{f}(x_0))^2 | x_0] = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + (\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(x_0)] - f(x_0))^2 + \mathbb{E}[(\hat{f}(x_0) - \mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(x_0)])^2],
$$

and describe what the three terms on the right-hand side of the above equation represent. Hint: recall that the variance of a random variable Z with  $\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mu$  is defined as  $\text{Var}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[(Z - \mu)^2]$ .

(Continued on page 2.)



Figure 1: From Hastie et al. (2009). The Elements of Statistical Learning. and regression regression  $(2000)$ . Shown are contours of the contours  $25$  the contours of t

 $\hat{e}$  and constraint functions. The solid blue areas area ming that  $f(X)$  is a k-nearest-neighbor regression<br>ted prediction error expression takes the specific (b) Assuming that  $\hat{f}(X)$  is a k-nearest-neighbor regression fit, the above expected prediction error expression takes the specific form of expected prediction error expression takes the specific form of

$$
\mathbb{E}[(Y - \hat{f}(x_0))^2 | x_0] = \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 + \left(f(x_0) - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=1}^k f(x_{(\ell)})\right)^2 + \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^2}{k},
$$

where  $x_{(\ell)}$  denotes the  $\ell$ :th nearest neighbor of  $x_0$  in the training data. Describe the role of  $k$  in controlling the complexity of the model and the associated bias-variance tradeoff.

#### Problem 3 Linear Regression with Shrinkage

Assume a linear regression model  $Y = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j X_j + \epsilon$  with p input variables. Given some training data, we can define a shrinkage estimator of the model parameters as the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$
\underset{\beta}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij} \beta_j)^2 \right\},\,
$$

subject to  $R(\beta) \leq t$ ,

where  $R(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_j^2$  for ridge regression and  $R(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} |\beta_j|$  for lasso.

- (a) Describe briefly the general idea behind shrinkage methods, such as ridge regression and lasso, and also how  $t$  is connected to the biasvariance tradeoff for the fitted models.
- (b) Consider Figure 1 which shows the contours (red ellipses) of the objective function around the least-squares solution  $\hat{\beta}$  and the constraint regions of lasso and ridge regression (solid blue areas) for the above optimization problem in the case of two input variables. Based on Figure 1:

(Continued on page 3.)

- (i) Explain the key difference between ridge regression and lasso in terms of the model they produce.
- (ii) Explain how changing  $t$  would affect the constraint regions and the resulting parameter estimates.

### Problem 4 Model Selection and Evaluation

- (a) Consider the following strategy for performing a regression analysis in a case where there is a very large number of input variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_p$ :
	- 1. Initial screening: Find a good subset of predictors by including the  $q \ll p$  input variables that are most strongly correlated with the response variable.
	- 2. K-fold cross-validation: For  $k = 1, \ldots, K$ , train a model for predicting the response given the  $q$  input variables (from Step 1) using all data except fold  $k$  and compute a fold-specific estimate of the test error based on the observations in fold  $k$ .
	- 3. Average the fold-specific test errors obtained in Step 2 to obtain a cross-validation estimate of the test error.

Is the averaged test error obtained from the above procedure a good estimate of the expected prediction error of a new test observation from the same distribution? If not, what would you do differently to improve it?

(b) Let  $\mathcal T$  denote a set of training data containing N joint observations of the input-output pair  $(X, Y)$  and let  $(X^0, Y^0)$  denote a new test data pair (all generated from the same  $p(X, Y)$ ). Further let  $\hat{f}(X)$  be a model fitted on the training data. Given some loss function  $L(y, f(x))$ , consider the following definitions of errors for the fitted model:

(i) 
$$
\operatorname{Err}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}_{X^0, Y^0}[L(Y^0, \hat{f}(X^0)) | \mathcal{T}]
$$

(ii) 
$$
\operatorname{Err} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{T}} \big[ \mathbb{E}_{X^0, Y^0} [L(Y^0, \hat{f}(X^0)) \mid \mathcal{T}] \big]
$$

(iii) 
$$
\text{Err}_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{E}_{Y^0}[L(Y_i^0, \hat{f}(x_i)) | \mathcal{T}]
$$

Explain what errors  $(i)$ – $(iii)$  measure and what they are used for in the context of model selection and evaluation.

# Problem 5 Bagged Trees and Random Forest

A regression and classification tree model can formally be expressed as

$$
T(x; \Theta) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \gamma_j I(x \in R_j),
$$

(Continued on page 4.)

where  $\Theta = {\gamma_j, R_j}_{j=1}^J$  contains all the model parameters describing the tree, or its regions  $R_i$ , as well as the associated region-specific constants  $\gamma_i$ .

(a) By using bootstrap aggregation, or bagging, we can construct a socalled bagged tree estimate:

$$
\hat{f}_{\text{bag}}(x) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{b=1}^{B} T(x; \Theta_b).
$$

How is the above estimate constructed and why does it in general improve the accuracy of a single-tree model?

(b) Let  $Z_1, \ldots, Z_B$  be B identically distributed random variables with variance  $\sigma^2$ . If the variables are dependent, with a positive correlation  $\rho$ , one can show that:

$$
\text{Var}\big[\frac{1}{B}\sum_{b=1}^{B}Z_b\big]=\rho\sigma^2+\frac{1-\rho}{B}\sigma^2.
$$

Explain why the bagged tree model is limited by the above result and how random forest can be considered an improved version of the bagged tree model.

(c) By letting  $B \to \infty$ , we obtain the limiting form of the random forest regression estimator:

$$
\hat{f}_{\rm rf}(x) = \mathbb{E}_{\Theta | \mathbf{Z}}[T(x; \Theta)],
$$

where  $Z$  denotes the training data. Further, it can be shown that the total variance of a tree can be decomposed into a sum of two terms:

$$
\text{Var}_{\Theta,\mathbf{Z}}\Big[T(x;\Theta)\Big] = \text{Var}_{\mathbf{Z}}\Big[\mathbb{E}_{\Theta|\mathbf{Z}}\big[T(x;\Theta)\big]\Big] + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Z}}\Big[\text{Var}_{\Theta|\mathbf{Z}}\big[T(x;\Theta)\big]\Big].
$$

What does the total variance and the two terms on the right-hand side of the above equation represent?

# Problem 6 Boosting

Consider a two-class classification problem where the binary output variable is coded as  $Y \in \{-1, 1\}.$ 

(a) For the considered problem, it can been shown that the AdaBoost algorithm in Figure 2 is equivalent to forward stagewise additive modelling under the exponential loss function:

$$
L(y, f(x)) = e^{-yf(x)},
$$

and, as a consequence of this, one can show that AdaBoost is seeking to estimate the population minimizer:

$$
f^*(x) = \underset{f(x)}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{Y \, | \, x} \left[ e^{-Y f(x)} \right] \right\}.
$$

Derive an expression for  $f^*(x)$  and explain, based on the expression, why the classification rule of AdaBoost is reasonable.

(Continued on page 5.)

- 1. Initialize the observation weights  $w_i = 1/N$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ .
- 2. For  $m = 1$  to  $M$ :
	- (a) Fit a classifier  $G_m(x)$  to the training data using weights  $w_i$ .
	- (b) Compute

$$
\text{err}_m = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}.
$$

- (c) Compute  $\alpha_m = \log((1 \text{err}_m)/\text{err}_m)$ .
- (d) Set  $w_i \leftarrow w_i \cdot \exp[\alpha_m \cdot I(y_i \neq G_m(x_i))]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

3. Output  $G(x) = \text{sign}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \alpha_m G_m(x)\right]$ .

Figure 2: From Hastie et al. (2009). The Elements of Statistical Learning.



Figure 3: Different loss functions plotted against the margin.

(b) When classifying a  $-1/1$  response using the classification rule  $\text{sign}[f(x)]$ , the margin  $yf(x)$  plays a role analogous to the residuals in regression. Consider the exponential loss, squared error loss and binomial deviance, which are plotted in Figure  $\overline{3}$  against the margin. Discuss the strengths and weaknesses of the considered loss functions in comparison to each other.

#### THE END - GOOD LUCK!