

Professor: *S. Ortiz-Latorre*

Basic Financial Derivatives

1. Yes there is an arbitrage opportunity. It is implemented as follows:

At time 0:

- Enter a long forward contract with forward price NOK180.
- Short-sell 1 stock and get $S(0) = 170$.
- Invest 70% of $S(0)$ risk free at 0.08 interest rate.
- Put 30% of $S(0)$ in the security deposit attracting interest at 0.04.

At time T use the forward contract to buy the asset for NOK180 and return it to the owner. Close the security deposit and the risk free investment. This strategy gives you a positive riskless profit.

The highest rate d for which there is not arbitrage opportunities is $d = 0.00174$.

2. The percentage drop is 11.34%.
3. This exercise has been solved in class.
- (a) The strategies used to prove that if the formula does not hold there is arbitrage are similar to the case without dividends. You only need to invest/borrow the dividend D from time t_D until T , depending if in the strategy that you are implementing you hold/have sold the stock.
- (b) The idea is the same as in the previous section. However this time you buy/sell-short $e^{-r_D(T-t)}$ fraction of the stock and invest/borrow the dividends to increase progressively your position in the stock to 1 share at time T .
4. If the inequality holds then the following strategy leads to an arbitrage:
- At time t :

- Enter a short forward position with forward price $F(0, T)$, by receiving $V(t)$.
- Invest $V(t)$ at the risk-free rate.
- Enter a long forward position with forward price $F(t, T)$ at zero cost.

At time T close the forward positions and the position in the money market. This strategy gives you a positive riskless profit.

5.

- (a) With $S(3/4) = 490$ we get $V(3/4) = 39.5867$.
- (b) With $S(3/4) = 450$ we get $V(3/4) = -0.4137$.

6. $S(1/365) = S(0) e^{r/365}$.

7. $S(T) = 283.63$.

8. The expected gain is -5.37 .

9. $P^E = 19.8$.

10. The arbitrage opportunity follows from a violation of the put-call parity. It is implemented as follows:

At time 0:

- Sell a call for $C^E = 50.9$.
- Buy a put for $P^E = 77.8$.
- Buy a share for $S(0) = 203.7$.
- Borrow $S(0) - C^E + P^E = 230.6$.

At time $T=1/2$ you can sell the share for 240 either using the put option or the call option. Repay the loan which amounts to $230.6 \times e^{0.0748 \times \frac{1}{2}} = 239.39$. This strategy gives you a positive riskless profit of 0.61.

11.

- (a) If $C^E < 0$ you “buy” the call receiving a positive amount that you invest risk-free. At time T you obtain a positive riskless profit from the money market investment and a non-negative amount from the option payoff. If $C^E > C^A$ you sell the European call and buy the American call at time zero. This gives you a positive initial amount that you invest risk-free, generating a positive riskless profit at time T . Note that you have bought the American option and you can decide to exercise the option at the same time as the European option. Hence, you get a zero final balance from the position in the options.
- (b) It is completely analogous to (a).

12. It is a matter of checking the formula for $X \leq K_1, K_1 < X \leq K, K < X \leq K_2$ and $X > K_2$. You can also prove it by using basic properties of convex functions and the fact that convexity is preserved by composing with a non-decreasing function.

13.

(a) The same inequalities hold for an European call. Then, the result follows from the fact that $C^E = C^A$, proved in class.

(b) The value of an American option must always be greater than its intrinsic value, which is $K - S(0)$ for a put. As $P^A \geq 0$, we just have proved $P^A \geq (K - S(0))^+$. To prove that $P^A < K$, note that the put-call parity estimates for American options yield that

$$P^A \leq C^A - S(0) + K < K,$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $C^A < S(0)$, which we have proved in (a).

14. The strangle is created by buying both calls and it has an initial cost of 5. Assuming the interest rate $r = 0$, the profit table is given by

$S(T)$	Profit
$S(T) < 45$	$40 - S(T)$
$45 \leq S(T) < 50$	-5
$S(T) \geq 50$	$S(T) - 55$

15. The resulting strategy is a butterfly spread. Let $K_1 < K_2$ be the two strikes prices of the strangle with initial cost of $C^E(K_2) + P^E(K_1)$ and $K = \frac{K_1 + K_2}{2}$ be the strike of the (short) straddle that has initial profit of $C^E(K) + P^E(K)$. Assuming the interest rate $r = 0$, the profit table for the butterfly spread is given by

$S(T)$	Profit
$S(T) < K_1$	$\frac{K_1 - K_2}{2} - (C^E(K_2) - C^E(K) + P^E(K_1) - P^E(K))$
$K_1 \leq S(T) < K$	$S(T) - K - (C^E(K_2) - C^E(K) + P^E(K_1) - P^E(K))$
$K \leq S(T) < K_2$	$K - S(T) - (C^E(K_2) - C^E(K) + P^E(K_1) - P^E(K))$
$S(T) \geq K_2$	$\frac{K_1 - K_2}{2} - (C^E(K_2) - C^E(K) + P^E(K_1) - P^E(K))$