

# STK2130 – Chapter 6.4 (part 2)

A. B. Huseby

Department of Mathematics  
University of Oslo, Norway

# A continuous-time Markov chain

We recall the following from Chapter 6.2:

A continuous-time Markov chain with stationary transition probabilities and state space  $\mathcal{X}$  is a stochastic process such that:

- The times spent in the different states are **independent** random variables (because of the **Markov property**).
- The amount of time spent in state  $i \in \mathcal{X}$  is **exponentially** distributed with rate  $\nu_i$  (because of the **Markov property** and **stationarity**).
- When the process leaves state  $i$ , it enters state  $j$  with some **transition probability**  $Q_{ij}$  where:

$$Q_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{X}$$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} Q_{ij} = 1, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{X}$$

- The transitions follow a **discrete-time** Markov chain.

## A continuous-time Markov chain (cont.)

We now introduce the following notation:

$$q_{ij} = v_i Q_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \mathcal{X}.$$

## A continuous-time Markov chain (cont.)

We now introduce the following notation:

$$q_{ij} = v_i Q_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \mathcal{X}.$$

INTERPRETATION: Since  $v_i$  is the rate at which the process makes a transition when in state  $i$  and  $Q_{ij}$  is the probability that this transition is into state  $j$ , it follows that  $q_{ij}$  is the rate, when in state  $i$ , at which the process makes a transition into state  $j$ .

The quantities  $q_{ij}$  are called the **instantaneous transition rates**.

## A continuous-time Markov chain (cont.)

We now introduce the following notation:

$$q_{ij} = v_i Q_{ij}, \quad i, j \in \mathcal{X}.$$

INTERPRETATION: Since  $v_i$  is the rate at which the process makes a transition when in state  $i$  and  $Q_{ij}$  is the probability that this transition is into state  $j$ , it follows that  $q_{ij}$  is the rate, when in state  $i$ , at which the process makes a transition into state  $j$ .

The quantities  $q_{ij}$  are called the instantaneous transition rates.

Since we have:

$$v_i = v_i \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} Q_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} v_i Q_{ij} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} q_{ij},$$

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{q_{ij}}{v_i} = \frac{q_{ij}}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} q_{ij}},$$

the probabilistic properties of  $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is determined by the  $q_{ij}$ 's.

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations

### Lemma (6.2)

Let  $P_{ij}(t) = P(X(t) = j | X(0) = i)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$ . We then have:

$$(a) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h} = v_i$$

$$(b) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(h)}{h} = q_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations

### Lemma (6.2)

Let  $P_{ij}(t) = P(X(t) = j | X(0) = i)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$ . We then have:

$$(a) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h} = v_i$$

$$(b) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(h)}{h} = q_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j$$

PROOF: We start by noting that the amount of time until a transition occurs is exponentially distributed.

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations

### Lemma (6.2)

Let  $P_{ij}(t) = P(X(t) = j | X(0) = i)$ ,  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$ . We then have:

$$(a) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h} = v_i$$

$$(b) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(h)}{h} = q_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i \neq j$$

PROOF: We start by noting that the amount of time until a transition occurs is exponentially distributed.

Hence, the probability of two or more transitions in a time  $h$  is  $o(h)$ .



## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Hence, it follows that:

$$P(X(h) \neq i | X(0) = i) = 1 - P(X(h) = i | X(0) = i) = 1 - P_{ii}(h) = v_i h + o(h).$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Hence, it follows that:

$$P(X(h) \neq i | X(0) = i) = 1 - P(X(h) = i | X(0) = i) = 1 - P_{ii}(h) = v_i h + o(h).$$

By dividing both sides by  $h$  and letting  $h$  go to 0, we get:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_i h + o(h)}{h} = v_i + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(h)}{h} = v_i$$

which proves (a).

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Similarly, if  $i \neq j$ , we get:

$$P(X(h) = j | X(0) = i) = P_{ij}(h) = v_i Q_{ij} h + o(h).$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Similarly, if  $i \neq j$ , we get:

$$P(X(h) = j | X(0) = i) = P_{ij}(h) = v_i Q_{ij} h + o(h).$$

By dividing both sides by  $h$  and letting  $h$  go to 0, we get:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{v_i Q_{ij} h + o(h)}{h} = v_i Q_{ij} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{o(h)}{h} = v_i Q_{ij} = q_{ij}$$

which proves (b).

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Lemma (6.3 – Chapman-Kolmogorov equations)

For all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P_{ij}(t + s) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(s)$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Lemma (6.3 – Chapman-Kolmogorov equations)

For all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P_{ij}(t + s) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(s)$$

PROOF: We have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t + s) &= P(X(t + s) = j | X(0) = i) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t + s) = j, X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Lemma (6.3 – Chapman-Kolmogorov equations)

For all  $s, t \geq 0$  and  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P_{ij}(t + s) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(s)$$

PROOF: We have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t + s) &= P(X(t + s) = j | X(0) = i) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t + s) = j, X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t + s) = j | X(t) = k, X(0) = i) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

$$P_{ij}(t+s) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k, X(0) = i) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i)$$



## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}P_{ij}(t+s) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k, X(0) = i) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i)\end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}P_{ij}(t+s) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k, X(0) = i) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(s) = j | X(0) = k) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i)\end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}P_{ij}(t+s) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k, X(0) = i) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(t+s) = j | X(t) = k) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P(X(s) = j | X(0) = k) \cdot P(X(t) = k | X(0) = i) \\&= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{kj}(s) \cdot P_{ik}(t)\end{aligned}$$



## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Theorem (6.1 – Kolmogorov's backward equations)

*For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:*

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t).$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Theorem (6.1 – Kolmogorov's backward equations)

For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t).$$

PROOF: By Lemma 6.3 we have:

$$P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - P_{ij}(t)$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Theorem (6.1 – Kolmogorov's backward equations)

For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t).$$

PROOF: By Lemma 6.3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) + P_{ii}(h) P_{ij}(t) - P_{ij}(t) \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Theorem (6.1 – Kolmogorov's backward equations)

For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t).$$

PROOF: By Lemma 6.3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) + P_{ii}(h) P_{ij}(t) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} P_{ik}(h) P_{kj}(t) - [1 - P_{ii}(h)] P_{ij}(t) \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

By dividing both sides by  $h$  and letting  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we can use Lemma 6.2 and get:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t)}{h} &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ik}(h)}{h} P_{kj}(t) - \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ii}(h)}{h} P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t)\end{aligned}$$





## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

Kolmogorov's backward equations:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t), \quad i, j \in \mathcal{X}.$$

We recall that  $v_i = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} q_{i,k} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} v_i Q_{i,k}$ . Since  $Q_{i,i} = 0$ , this implies that:

$$v_i = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{i,k}$$

Hence, we have:

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{i,k} - v_i = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{i,k} - \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{i,k} = 0$$

Thus, in each of the backward equations the sum of coefficients is equal to zero.

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

We now assume that  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and introduce the following matrices:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} -v_1 & q_{1,2} & q_{1,3} & \cdots & q_{1,n} \\ q_{2,1} & -v_2 & q_{2,3} & \cdots & q_{2,n} \\ q_{3,1} & q_{3,2} & -v_3 & \cdots & q_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{n,1} & q_{n,2} & q_{n,3} & \cdots & -v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1,1}(t) & P_{1,2}(t) & P_{1,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{1,n}(t) \\ P_{2,1}(t) & P_{2,2}(t) & P_{2,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{2,n}(t) \\ P_{3,1}(t) & P_{3,2}(t) & P_{3,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{3,n}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{n,1}(t) & P_{n,2}(t) & P_{n,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{n,n}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Backward Equations (cont.)

It is then easy to see that Kolmogorov's backward equations:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t).$$

can be written in the following form:

$$\mathbf{P}'(t) = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{P}(t).$$

## Example 6.10 – Pure birth process

For a pure birth process with birth rates  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$  we have:

$$q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$q_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j \neq (i + 1)$$

Hence, we also have:

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{ij} = q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

By inserting this into the backward equations we get:

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \lambda_i P_{i+1,j}(t) - \lambda_i P_{ij}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq i \leq j \end{aligned}$$

## Example 6.10 – Birth and death process

For a birth and death process with birth rates  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$  and death rates  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$ , we have:

$$q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$q_{i,i-1} = \mu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$q_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Hence, we also have:

$$v_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{0j} = \lambda_0$$

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{ij} = \lambda_i + \mu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

## Example 6.10 – Birth and death process (cont.)

By inserting this into the backward equations we get:

$$P'_{0j}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus 0} q_{0k} P_{kj}(t) - v_0 P_{0j}(t)$$

$$= \lambda_0 P_{1,j}(t) - \lambda_0 P_{0j}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad j \geq 0$$

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t)$$

$$= \lambda_i P_{i+1,j}(t) + \mu_i P_{i-1,j}(t) - (\lambda_i + \mu_i) P_{ij}(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad i > 0, j \geq 0$$

## Example 6.11

The lifetimes and repair times of a system are independent and exponentially distributed with rates respectively  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

We model this system as a continuous-time Markov chain  $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  with state space  $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ , where<sup>1</sup>:


$$X(t) = I(\text{The system is functioning at time } t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

The only non-zero instantaneous transition rates are  $q_{01} = \mu$  and  $q_{10} = \lambda$ . Hence;

$$v_0 = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} q_{0j} = q_{01} = \mu$$

$$v_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{X}} q_{1j} = q_{10} = \lambda$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In the Ross(2019) state 0 is the functioning state and state 1 is the failed state. 

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

By inserting this into the backward equations we get:

$$P'_{00}(t) = \mu P_{10}(t) - \mu P_{00}(t) = \mu[P_{10}(t) - P_{00}(t)], \quad (1)$$

$$P'_{01}(t) = \mu P_{11}(t) - \mu P_{01}(t) = \mu[P_{11}(t) - P_{01}(t)], \quad (2)$$

$$P'_{10}(t) = \lambda P_{00}(t) - \lambda P_{10}(t) = \lambda[P_{00}(t) - P_{10}(t)], \quad (3)$$

$$P'_{11}(t) = \lambda P_{01}(t) - \lambda P_{11}(t) = \lambda[P_{01}(t) - P_{11}(t)] \quad (4)$$

We start by computing  $P_{11}(t)$ . In order to solve this, we multiply (2) by  $\lambda$  and multiply (4) by  $\mu$  and add the resulting equations:

$$\mu P'_{11}(t) + \lambda P'_{01}(t) = 0$$

By integrating both sides we get:

$$\mu P_{11}(t) + \lambda P_{01}(t) = c$$



## Example 6.11 (cont.)

In order to determine the constant  $c$ , we note that  $P_{11}(0) = 1$ , while  $P_{01}(0) = 0$ . Hence,  $c = \mu$ , and we get:

$$\mu P_{11}(t) + \lambda P_{01}(t) = \mu$$

or equivalently:

$$\lambda P_{01}(t) = \mu[1 - P_{11}(t)]$$

We insert this into the right-hand side of (4)  $P'_{11}(t) = \lambda P_{01}(t) - \lambda P_{11}(t)$  and get:

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{11}(t) &= \mu[1 - P_{11}(t)] - \lambda P_{11}(t) \\ &= \mu - (\mu + \lambda)P_{11}(t) \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently:

$$P'_{11}(t) + (\mu + \lambda)P_{11}(t) = \mu$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

In order to solve the differential equation  $P'_{11}(t) + (\mu + \lambda)P_{11}(t) = \mu$ , we multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$  and get:

$$P'_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} + (\mu + \lambda)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}P_{11}(t) = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

In order to solve the differential equation  $P'_{11}(t) + (\mu + \lambda)P_{11}(t) = \mu$ , we multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$  and get:

$$P'_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} + (\mu + \lambda)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}P_{11}(t) = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

By using the product rule for derivatives, the left-hand side can be simplified to:

$$(P_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t})' = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

In order to solve the differential equation  $P'_{11}(t) + (\mu + \lambda)P_{11}(t) = \mu$ , we multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$  and get:

$$P'_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} + (\mu + \lambda)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}P_{11}(t) = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

By using the product rule for derivatives, the left-hand side can be simplified to:

$$(P_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t})' = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

By integrating both sides of this equation we get:

$$P_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda}e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} + C.$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

In order to solve the differential equation  $P'_{11}(t) + (\mu + \lambda)P_{11}(t) = \mu$ , we multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$  and get:

$$P'_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} + (\mu + \lambda)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}P_{11}(t) = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

By using the product rule for derivatives, the left-hand side can be simplified to:

$$(P_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t})' = \mu e^{(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

By integrating both sides of this equation we get:

$$P_{11}(t)e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} e^{(\mu+\lambda)t} + C.$$

or equivalently:

$$P_{11}(t) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} + Ce^{-(\mu+\lambda)t}$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

In order to determine the constant  $C$ , we again use that  $P_{11}(0) = 1$ . That is:

$$1 = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} + C$$

Hence,  $C$  is given by:

$$C = 1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda},$$

and thus:

$$P_{11}(t) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

This also implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{10}(t) &= 1 - P_{11}(t) \\&= 1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t} \\&= \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}\end{aligned}$$

We recall that we also have established that  $\mu P_{11}(t) + \lambda P_{01}(t) = \mu$ . Hence, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{01}(t) &= \frac{\mu}{\lambda} [1 - P_{11}(t)] = \frac{\mu}{\lambda} P_{10}(t) \\&= \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}\end{aligned}$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

Finally, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned}P_{00}(t) &= 1 - P_{01}(t) \\&= 1 - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t} \\&= \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}\end{aligned}$$



## Example 6.11 (cont.)

Summarizing all these results we get:

$$P_{11}(t) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

$$P_{10}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

$$P_{01}(t) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} - \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

$$P_{00}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} + \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} e^{-(\mu + \lambda)t}$$

## Example 6.11 (cont.)

We observe that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{11}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{01}(t) = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \lambda} = \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mu^{-1} + \lambda^{-1}}.$$

and that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{00}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{10}(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu + \lambda} = \frac{\mu^{-1}}{\mu^{-1} + \lambda^{-1}}.$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations

Theorem (6.2 – Kolmogorov's forward equations)

*For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:*

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t)v_j.$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations

Theorem (6.2 – Kolmogorov's forward equations)

For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t)v_j.$$

PROOF: By Lemma 6.3 we have:

$$P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(h) - P_{ij}(t)$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations

Theorem (6.2 – Kolmogorov's forward equations)

For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t)v_j.$$

PROOF: By Lemma 6.3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(h) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(h) + P_{ij}(t)P_{jj}(h) - P_{ij}(t) \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations

Theorem (6.2 – Kolmogorov's forward equations)

For all  $t \geq 0$  and states  $i, j \in \mathcal{X}$  we have:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t)v_j.$$

PROOF: By Lemma 6.3 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X}} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(h) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(h) + P_{ij}(t)P_{jj}(h) - P_{ij}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)P_{kj}(h) - P_{ij}(t)[1 - P_{jj}(h)] \end{aligned}$$

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations (cont.)

By dividing both sides by  $h$  and letting  $h \rightarrow 0$ , we can use Lemma 6.2<sup>2</sup> and get:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{ij}(t+h) - P_{ij}(t)}{h} &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus J} P_{ik}(t) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_{kj}(h)}{h} - P_{ij}(t) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - P_{ij}(h)}{h} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus J} P_{ik}(t) q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t) v_j\end{aligned}$$

■

---

<sup>2</sup>Unfortunately, the interchange of limit and summation is not always valid. This holds, however, for all birth and death processes and for all finite state models

## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations (cont.)

We again assume that  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and recall the following matrices:

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{bmatrix} -v_1 & q_{1,2} & q_{1,3} & \cdots & q_{1,n} \\ q_{2,1} & -v_2 & q_{2,3} & \cdots & q_{2,n} \\ q_{3,1} & q_{3,2} & -v_3 & \cdots & q_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{n,1} & q_{n,2} & q_{n,3} & \cdots & -v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{1,1}(t) & P_{1,2}(t) & P_{1,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{1,n}(t) \\ P_{2,1}(t) & P_{2,2}(t) & P_{2,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{2,n}(t) \\ P_{3,1}(t) & P_{3,2}(t) & P_{3,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{3,n}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{n,1}(t) & P_{n,2}(t) & P_{n,3}(t) & \cdots & P_{n,n}(t) \end{bmatrix}$$



## 6.4 Kolmogorov's Forward Equations (cont.)

It is then easy to see that Kolmogorov's forward equations:

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t)v_j.$$

can be written in the following form:

$$\mathbf{P}'(t) = \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{R}.$$

## Pure birth processes

Assume that  $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a pure birth process with birth rates  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$ . From this it follows that we have:

$$q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$q_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{for all } j \neq (i + 1)$$

Hence, we also have:

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{ij} = q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

By inserting this into the forward equations we get:

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t) q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t) v_j \\ &= P_{i,j-1}(t) \lambda_{j-1} - P_{ij}(t) \lambda_j, \quad t \geq 0, \quad i, j = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

## Pure birth processes (cont.)

Note, however, that  $P_{ij}(t) = 0$  whenever  $j < i$  (since this is a pure birth process with no deaths).

Hence, we get the following simplified equations:

$$P'_{ii}(t) = \lambda_{i-1}P_{i,i-1}(t) - \lambda_i P_{ii}(t) = -\lambda_i P_{ii}(t), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$P'_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1}P_{i,j-1}(t) - \lambda_j P_{ij}(t), \quad j \geq i + 1$$

## Pure birth processes (cont.)

### Proposition (6.4 – Pure birth processes)

Assume that  $\{X(t) : t \geq 0\}$  is a pure birth process with birth rates  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$ . We then have:

$$P_{ii}(t) = e^{-\lambda_i t}, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} e^{-\lambda_j t} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_j s} P_{i,j-1}(s) ds, \quad j \geq i + 1.$$

## Pure birth processes (cont.)

We start out by noting that since  $P'_{ii}(t) = -\lambda_i P_{ii}(t)$ , it follows that

$$\frac{P'_{ii}(t)}{P_{ii}(t)} = [\ln(P_{ii}(t))]' = -\lambda_i$$

By integrating both sides, we get:

$$\ln(P_{ii}(t)) = -\lambda_i t + c$$

This implies that:

$$P_{ii}(t) = e^{-\lambda_i t + c}$$

Since  $P_{ii}(0) = 1$ , it follows that  $c = 0$ . Hence, we get:

$$P_{ii}(t) = e^{-\lambda_i t}$$

## Pure birth processes (cont.)

In order to prove the corresponding result for  $P_{ij}(t)$  where  $j \geq i + 1$ , we assume that we have determined  $P_{i,j-1}(t)$  already, and rewrite the differential equation as:

$$P'_{ij}(t) + \lambda_j P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} P_{i,j-1}(t)$$

We then multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{\lambda_j t}$  and get:

$$P'_{ij}(t)e^{\lambda_j t} + \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j t} P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} e^{\lambda_j t} P_{i,j-1}(t).$$

## Pure birth processes (cont.)

In order to prove the corresponding result for  $P_{ij}(t)$  where  $j \geq i + 1$ , we assume that we have determined  $P_{i,j-1}(t)$  already, and rewrite the differential equation as:

$$P'_{ij}(t) + \lambda_j P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} P_{i,j-1}(t)$$

We then multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{\lambda_j t}$  and get:

$$P'_{ij}(t)e^{\lambda_j t} + \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j t} P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} e^{\lambda_j t} P_{i,j-1}(t).$$

Using the product rule for derivatives, the left-hand side can be simplified to:

$$(P_{ij}(t)e^{\lambda_j t})' = \lambda_{j-1} e^{\lambda_j t} P_{i,j-1}(t)$$

## Pure birth processes (cont.)

In order to prove the corresponding result for  $P_{ij}(t)$  where  $j \geq i + 1$ , we assume that we have determined  $P_{i,j-1}(t)$  already, and rewrite the differential equation as:

$$P'_{ij}(t) + \lambda_j P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} P_{i,j-1}(t)$$

We then multiply both sides by the integrating factor  $e^{\lambda_j t}$  and get:

$$P'_{ij}(t) e^{\lambda_j t} + \lambda_j e^{\lambda_j t} P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} e^{\lambda_j t} P_{i,j-1}(t).$$

Using the product rule for derivatives, the left-hand side can be simplified to:

$$(P_{ij}(t) e^{\lambda_j t})' = \lambda_{j-1} e^{\lambda_j t} P_{i,j-1}(t)$$

Integrating both sides of this equation we get:

$$P_{ij}(t) e^{\lambda_j t} = \lambda_{j-1} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_j s} P_{i,j-1}(s) ds + C$$



## Pure birth processes (cont.)

We note that:

$$P_{ij}(t)e^{\lambda_j t} = \lambda_{j-1} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_j s} P_{i,j-1}(s) ds + C$$

is equivalent to:

$$P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} e^{-\lambda_j t} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_j s} P_{i,j-1}(s) ds + C e^{-\lambda_j t}$$

In order to determine the constant  $C$  we use that when  $j \geq i + 1$ , we have  $P_{ij}(0) = 0$ . Hence, we must have  $C = 0$ , and we conclude that:

$$P_{ij}(t) = \lambda_{j-1} e^{-\lambda_j t} \int_0^t e^{\lambda_j s} P_{i,j-1}(s) ds$$



## Example 6.12 – Birth and death processes

We recall that for a birth and death process with birth rates  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$  and death rates  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  we have:

$$q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$q_{i,i-1} = \mu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$q_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}$$

## Example 6.12 – Birth and death processes

We recall that for a birth and death process with birth rates  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots$  and death rates  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots$  we have:

$$q_{i,i+1} = \lambda_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$q_{i,i-1} = \mu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$q_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Hence, we also have:

$$v_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{0j} = \lambda_0$$

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q_{ij} = \lambda_i + \mu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

## Example 6.12 (cont.)

We insert this into the forward equations, handling the case where  $j = 0$  separately:

$$\begin{aligned} P'_{i0}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus 0} P_{ik}(t) q_{k0} - P_{i0}(t) v_0 \\ &= P_{i1}(t) q_{10} - P_{i0}(t) v_0 = \mu_1 P_{i1}(t) - \lambda_0 P_{i0}(t) \end{aligned}$$

## Example 6.12 (cont.)

We insert this into the forward equations, handling the case where  $j = 0$  separately:

$$\begin{aligned}P'_{i0}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus 0} P_{ik}(t)q_{k0} - P_{i0}(t)v_0 \\ &= P_{i1}(t)q_{10} - P_{i0}(t)v_0 = \mu_1 P_{i1}(t) - \lambda_0 P_{i0}(t)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P'_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathcal{X} \setminus j} P_{ik}(t)q_{kj} - P_{ij}(t)v_j \\ &= P_{i,j-1}(t)q_{j-1,j} + P_{i,j+1}(t)q_{j+1,j} - P_{ij}(t)v_j \\ &= \lambda_{j-1} P_{i,j-1}(t) + \mu_{j+1} P_{i,j+1}(t) - (\lambda_j + \mu_j) P_{ij}(t)\end{aligned}$$