

STK2130 – Week 9

A. B. Huseby

Department of Mathematics
University of Oslo, Norway

Chapter 4.8 Time Reversible Markov Chains

Consider an ergodic Markov chain with transition probabilities P_{ij} and stationary probabilities π_i , $i, j \in \mathcal{S}$.

Then let n be so large that we have reached a stationary state, i.e. $P_{ij}^n \approx \pi_j$.

We then consider the **backwards** chain $X_n, X_{n-1}, X_{n-2}, \dots$

The backwards chain is also a Markov chain with transitions probabilities Q_{ij} , $i, j \in \mathcal{S}$ given by:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{ij} &= P(X_m = j \mid X_{m+1} = i) = \frac{P(X_m = j \cap X_{m+1} = i)}{P(X_{m+1} = i)} \\ &= \frac{P(X_m = j)P(X_{m+1} = i \mid X_m = j)}{P(X_{m+1} = i)} = \frac{\pi_j P_{ji}}{\pi_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Time Reversible Markov Chains (cont.)

We say that $\{X_n\}$ is **time reversible** if $Q_{ij} = P_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{S}$.

Hence, $\{X_n\}$ is time reversible if and only if:

$$\frac{\pi_j P_{ji}}{\pi_i} = P_{ij}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

or equivalently if and only if:

$$\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j P_{ji}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Doubly stochastic transition matrices

Let \mathbf{P} be the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ with state space \mathcal{S} where $|\mathcal{S}| = n < \infty$. We then know that:

$$\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ij} \cdot 1 = 1, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathcal{S}.$$

If $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)$ is an n -dimensional vector, we can rewrite this as:

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{e}^T = \mathbf{e}^T.$$

We say that \mathbf{P} is **doubly stochastic** if we also have:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} P_{ij} \cdot 1 = 1, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

In matrix notation this condition can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{e}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{e}.$$

Doubly stochastic transition matrices (cont.)

Proposition

Let \mathbf{P} be the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ with state space \mathcal{S} where $|\mathcal{S}| = n < \infty$. Then \mathbf{P} is **doubly stochastic** if and only if the stationary distribution is **uniform**, i.e.: $\pi = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{e}$.

PROOF:

\mathbf{P} is doubly stochastic



$$\mathbf{eP} = \mathbf{e}$$



$$\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{eP} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{e}$$



$$\pi \mathbf{P} = \pi$$



The stationary distribution is **uniform**

Doubly stochastic transition matrices (cont.)

Proposition

Let \mathbf{P} be the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ with state space \mathcal{S} where $|\mathcal{S}| = n < \infty$. Assume that \mathbf{P} is doubly stochastic. Then the Markov chain is **time reversible** if and only if \mathbf{P} is **symmetric**.

PROOF: Since \mathbf{P} is doubly stochastic $\pi_i = \frac{1}{n}$ for all $i \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence, we get:

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{\pi_j P_{ji}}{\pi_i} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} P_{ji}}{\frac{1}{n}} = P_{ji} \quad i, j \in \mathcal{S}$$

Thus, $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}$ if and only if $P_{ji} = P_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{S}$.

That is, $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}$ if and only if \mathbf{P} is symmetric.

Example

Let \mathbf{P} be the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ with state space $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2\}$, where:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.2 & 0.4 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.4 \\ 0.4 & 0.4 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since \mathbf{P} is doubly stochastic and **symmetric**, the Markov chain is **time reversible**.

Example

Let \mathbf{P} be the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ with state space $\mathcal{S} = \{0, 1, 2\}$, where:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0 & 0.1 & 0.9 \\ 0.9 & 0.0 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.9 & 0.0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since \mathbf{P} is doubly stochastic and **not symmetric**, the Markov chain is **not time reversible**.

Time Reversible Markov Chains (cont.)

Theorem (4.2)

A stationary Markov chain for which $P_{ij} = 0$ whenever $P_{ji} = 0$ is time reversible if and only if starting in state i , any path back to i has the same probability as the reversed path. That is, if:

$$P_{i,i_1} P_{i_1,i_2} \cdots P_{i_k,i} = P_{i,i_k} P_{i_k,i_{k-1}} \cdots P_{i_1,i}$$

for all states $i, i_1, \dots, i_k, k = 1, 2, \dots$

Time Reversible Markov Chains (cont.)

Proposition (4.9)

Consider an irreducible Markov chain with transition probability matrix \mathbf{P} and state space \mathcal{S} . If we can find a stationary distribution π on \mathcal{S} , and a transition probability matrix \mathbf{Q} such that:

$$\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j Q_{ji}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S},$$

then \mathbf{Q} is the transition probability matrix of the reversed chain, and π is the stationary distribution for both the original and reversed chain.

PROOF: The result is an immediate consequence of the previously established formula:

$$Q_{ji} = \frac{\pi_i P_{ij}}{\pi_j}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Example 4.40

Let L denote the lifetime of a type of light bulb, and assume that the distribution of L in days is:

$$P(L = i) = p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have an infinite supply of light bulb of this kind, with lifetimes L_1, L_2, \dots being independent and with the same distribution as L . Each time a bulb fails, it is replaced by a new one the next day.

$X_n =$ The age of the light bulb at day n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Then $\{X_n\}$ is a Markov chain with state space $\mathcal{S} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and with transition probabilities:

$$P_{i,1} = \frac{P(L = i)}{P(L \geq i)} = \frac{p_i}{\sum_{j=i}^{\infty} p_j}, \quad P_{i,i+1} = 1 - P_{i,1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Example 4.40 (cont.)

We then claim that the reversed chain has transition probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned}Q_{i,j-1} &= 1, \quad i > 1 \\ Q_{1,i} &= P(L = i) = p_i, \quad i \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

To show this we need to find a stationary distribution π_1, π_2, \dots such that:

$$\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j Q_{ji}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots$$

We start out by letting $j = 1$ and determine π_1, π_2, \dots such that:

$$\pi_i P_{i,1} = \pi_1 \frac{P(L = i)}{P(L \geq i)} = \pi_1 Q_{1,i} = \pi_1 P(L = i).$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\pi_i = \pi_1 P(L \geq i)$$

Example 4.40 (cont.)

Summing over all i and using that the π_i -s add up to 1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \pi_i = \pi_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(L \geq i) \\&= \pi_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} p_j = \pi_1 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j I(j \geq i) \\&= \pi_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_j I(i \leq j) = \pi_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I(i \leq j) \\&= \pi_1 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j \cdot j = \pi_1 E[L].\end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that $\pi_1 = (E[L])^{-1}$, and thus:

$$\pi_i = \pi_1 P(L \geq i) = \frac{P(L \geq i)}{E[L]}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Example 4.40 (cont.)

We note that if $j > 1$, we have $P_{ij} = Q_{ji} = 0$ for $j \neq i + 1$. Hence, it remains to verify that:

$$\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j Q_{ji}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad j = i + 1.$$

Using the expressions for π_1, π_2, \dots and that $Q_{i+1,i} = 1$ for $i > 1$, this is equivalent to:

$$\frac{P(L \geq i)}{E[L]} \left(1 - \frac{P(L = i)}{P(L \geq i)} \right) = \frac{P(L \geq i + 1)}{E[L]}$$

By simplifying this equation we get:

$$P(L \geq i) - P(L = i) = P(L \geq i + 1)$$

which is trivially true.

Chapter 4.9 Markov Chain Monte Carlo Methods

Let Z be a discrete random variable with a state space \mathcal{S} , and assume that:

$$P(Z = i) = \pi_i = \frac{b_i}{B}, \quad i \in \mathcal{S}.$$

We assume that b_i is **known** for all $i \in \mathcal{S}$.

Since the probabilities must add up to 1, we obviously have:

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{b_i}{B} = B^{-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} b_i = 1,$$

Hence, it follows that the **normalizing constant** B is given by:

$$B = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} b_i.$$

Thus, in principle B is known as well. However, if $|\mathcal{S}|$ is large, calculating B may be a time-consuming task.

MCMC Methods (cont.)

EXAMPLE: Let T and Z be two discrete random variables with state spaces \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} respectively. We assume that the marginal distribution of Z and the conditional distribution of T given Z are known.

The conditional distribution of Z given T is then:

$$P(Z = i | T = t) = \frac{P(Z = i)P(T = t | Z = i)}{\sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} P(Z = j)P(T = t | Z = j)} = \frac{b_i(t)}{B(t)}, \quad i \in \mathcal{S}, t \in \mathcal{T},$$

where we have introduced:

$$b_i(t) = P(Z = i)P(T = t | Z = i), \quad i \in \mathcal{S}, t \in \mathcal{T},$$

$$B(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{S}} P(Z = j)P(T = t | Z = j) = P(T = t), \quad t \in \mathcal{T}.$$

If $|\mathcal{S}|$ is large, we may want to avoid calculating $B(t)$.

MCMC Methods (cont.)

PROBLEM: Construct a Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ with state space \mathcal{S} and stationary distribution equal to the distribution of Z .

SOLUTION (Hastings-Metropolis): Let \mathbf{Q} be **any** given irreducible Markov chain transition probability matrix on \mathcal{S} , and define:

$$\alpha_{ij} = \min \left(\frac{b_j Q_{ji}}{b_i Q_{ij}}, 1 \right), \quad i, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

We then let the transition probability matrix of $\{X_n\}$, denoted \mathbf{P} , be defined as follows:

$$P_{ij} = Q_{ij} \alpha_{ij}, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$P_{ii} = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} Q_{ij} \alpha_{ij}, \quad i \in \mathcal{S}$$

MCMC Methods (cont.)

We then claim that $\{X_n\}$ is time reversible and have a stationary distribution equal to the distribution of Z .

To show this it is sufficient to verify that:

$$\pi_i P_{ij} = \pi_j P_{ji}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S}. \quad (1)$$

Since (1) is trivially satisfied for $i = j$, we focus on the case where $i \neq j$, where (1) can be expressed as:

$$\frac{b_i}{B} Q_{ij} \alpha_{ij} = \frac{b_j}{B} Q_{ji} \alpha_{ji}, \quad i \neq j. \quad (2)$$

By eliminating B from these equations and inserting the expression for α_{ij} we get:

$$b_i Q_{ij} \cdot \min\left(\frac{b_j Q_{ji}}{b_i Q_{ij}}, 1\right) = b_j Q_{ji} \cdot \min\left(\frac{b_i Q_{ij}}{b_j Q_{ji}}, 1\right), \quad i \neq j. \quad (3)$$

MCMC Methods (cont.)

CASE 1: $b_i Q_{ij} \leq b_j Q_{ji}$

In this case $\alpha_{ij} = 1$ while $\alpha_{ji} = (b_i Q_{ij}) / (b_j Q_{ji})$, and hence, (3) simplifies to:

$$b_i Q_{ij} = b_j Q_{ji} \cdot (b_i Q_{ij}) / (b_j Q_{ji}), \quad i \neq j. \quad (4)$$

CASE 2: $b_i Q_{ij} \geq b_j Q_{ji}$

In this case $\alpha_{ij} = (b_j Q_{ji}) / (b_i Q_{ij})$ while $\alpha_{ji} = 1$, and hence, (3) simplifies to:

$$b_i Q_{ij} \cdot (b_j Q_{ji}) / (b_i Q_{ij}) = b_j Q_{ji}, \quad i \neq j. \quad (5)$$

Since obviously both (4) and (5) hold true, we conclude that (1) holds true as well.

MCMC Methods (cont.)

We recall that:

$$P_{ij} = Q_{ij}\alpha_{ij}, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$P_{ii} = 1 - \sum_{j \neq i} Q_{ij}\alpha_{ij}, \quad i \in \mathcal{S}$$

Assume that $X_n = i$. Then X_{n+1} can be generated using the following two-step Monte Carlo simulation procedure:

STEP 1. Generate $J \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $P(J = j) = Q_{ij}, j \in \mathcal{S}$.

STEP 2. Generate $B \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $P(B = 1 \mid J = j) = \alpha_{ij}$, and let:

$$X_{n+1} = B \cdot j + (1 - B) \cdot i$$

Thus, a transition from state i to state j where $i \neq j$ happens if and only if $J = j$ and $B = 1$. If not, the process stays in state i .

MCMC Methods (cont.)

The Monte Carlo simulation procedure can be used to estimate some unknown parameter in the distribution of Z , e.g.:

$$\theta = E[h(Z)] = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} h(i)P(Z = i),$$

where h is some function of interest, and the normalizing constant B of the distribution of Z is too time-consuming to calculate.

By simulating the Markov chain $\{X_n\}$, having a stationary distribution which is equal to the distribution of Z , we may estimate θ by:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^n h(X_m).$$

By the law of large numbers it follows that $\hat{\theta}_n \rightarrow \theta$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

MCMC Methods (cont.)

NOTE: X_1, X_2, \dots are **not independent** samples.

Moreover, the chain **may converge slowly** towards its stationary distribution.

Both these issues tend to have a **negative effect** on the convergence rate of the estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$.

If many of the α_{ij} -s are **small**, the Markov chain tends to get stuck for a long time before eventually transiting to another state. In such cases the estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ will converge **very slowly**.

MCMC Methods (cont.)

For optimal performance, i.e., fast convergence, the matrix \mathbf{Q} should ideally be chosen so that:

$$b_i Q_{ij} = b_j Q_{ji}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Then it follows that:

$$\alpha_{ij} = \min \left(\frac{b_j Q_{ji}}{b_i Q_{ij}}, 1 \right) = 1, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Hence, $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{P}$, i.e., \mathbf{Q} is itself the transition probability matrix of $\{X_n\}$.

Finding the optimal matrix \mathbf{Q} implies finding a transition probability matrix with a stationary distribution which is equal to the distribution of Z . In real-life applications, this can be difficult.

Instead we may think of \mathbf{Q} as our **best guess**, while the α_{ij} -s are **correction factors** which are used to generate a Markov chain with the correct stationary distribution.

Gibbs sampling

Assume that $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_r)$ is a discrete random vector with values in \mathcal{S} where:

$$P(\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{z}) = p(\mathbf{z}) = g(\mathbf{z})/B, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S},$$

where the $g(\mathbf{z})$ is known for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{S}$ and B is an unknown normalizing constant.

We then consider the **first step** of the Hastings-Metropolis algorithm, and assume that $X_n = \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_r)$. The candidate for the next state, X_{n+1} , is generated as follows:

1. Generate $K = k$ uniformly from the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$.
2. Generate $Z_k = z$ conditional on $Z_i = z_i, i = 1, \dots, (k-1), (k+1), \dots, r$.

The resulting candidate for the next state, denoted \mathbf{y} , is then:

$$\mathbf{y} = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, z, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_r)$$

Gibbs sampling (cont.)

This implies that we have the following transition probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}} &= \frac{1}{r} P(Z_k = z \mid Z_i = z_i, i \neq k) \\ &= \frac{g(\mathbf{y})/B}{r \cdot \sum_{z_k} g(\mathbf{y})/B} = \frac{g(\mathbf{y})}{r \cdot \sum_{z_k} g(\mathbf{y})} \end{aligned}$$

By the same type of argument, we also have:

$$Q_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} = \frac{g(\mathbf{z})}{r \cdot \sum_{z_k} g(\mathbf{z})}.$$

However, since $\sum_{z_k} g(\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{z_k} g(\mathbf{z})$, this implies that:

$$g(\mathbf{z})Q_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}} = g(\mathbf{y})Q_{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}}, \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

Hence, $\alpha_{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}} = 1$ for all $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{S}$, and thus, \mathbf{Q} is an optimal transition probability matrix.

The Exponential Distribution and the Poisson Process

Chapter 5.2 The Exponential Distribution

A continuous random variable X is said to have an **exponential distribution** with parameter $\lambda > 0$, denoted as $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$, if its probability density function is given by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

If $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$, then the cdf of X is given by:

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the ccdf of X is given by:

$$\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x) = 1 - F(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

The exponential distribution is a special case of the **gamma distribution** with parameters $\alpha > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, denoted as $X \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$ with probability density function:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha)$, defined for all $\alpha > 0$, is the gamma function given by:

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} dx, \quad \Gamma(n) = (n-1)!, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By substituting $u = \lambda x$ and $du = \lambda dx$, we find that:

$$\int_0^\infty f(x) dx = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty u^{\alpha-1} e^{-u} du = 1.$$

Thus, $f(x)$ is indeed a proper probability density.

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Assume that $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$, and let $p > -1$. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} E[X^p] &= \int_0^{\infty} x^p f(x) dx = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda x^p e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\lambda^p} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{p+1}}{\Gamma(p+1)} x^{(p+1)-1} e^{-\lambda x} dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(p+1)}{\lambda^p}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular:

$$E[X] = \frac{\Gamma(2)}{\lambda^1} = \frac{(2-1)!}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad E[X^2] = \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\lambda^2} = \frac{(3-1)!}{\lambda^2} = \frac{2}{\lambda^2},$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \frac{2}{\lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Assume that $X \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$. Then the **moment generating function** of X is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}M_X(t) &= E[e^{tX}] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{tx} \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda x} dx \\&= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx \\&= \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{(\lambda-t)^\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda-t)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx \\&= \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{(\lambda-t)^\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } t < \lambda.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$, we have:

$$M_X(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda-t}, \quad \text{for all } t < \lambda.$$

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Proposition (5.1)

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and $X_i \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let:

$$Y = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

Then $Y \sim \text{gamma}(n, \lambda)$.

PROOF: Using moment generating functions we get:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E[e^{tY}] = E[e^{tX_1 + \dots + tX_n}] = M_{X_1}(t) \cdots M_{X_n}(t) \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} \cdots \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} = \frac{\lambda^n}{(\lambda - t)^n} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Y \sim \text{gamma}(n, \lambda)$.

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Proposition 5.1 is a special case of the following more general result:

Proposition (5.1b)

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and $X_i \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha_i, \lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and let:

$$Y = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

Then $Y \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$, where $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$.

PROOF: Using moment generating functions we get:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Y(t) &= E[e^{tY}] = E[e^{tX_1 + \dots + tX_n}] = M_{X_1}(t) \cdots M_{X_n}(t) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1}}{(\lambda - t)^{\alpha_1}} \cdots \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_n}}{(\lambda - t)^{\alpha_n}} = \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{(\lambda - t)^\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Y \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha, \lambda)$.

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

A random variable X is said to be **memoryless** if:

$$P(X > s + t | X > t) = P(X - t > s | X > t) = P(X > s), \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

Thus, X is memoryless if $(X - t) | (X > t)$ has the same distribution as X .

If $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X > s + t | X > t) &= \frac{P(X > s + t \cap X > t)}{P(X > t)} \\ &= \frac{P(X > s + t)}{P(X > t)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda(s+t)}}{e^{-\lambda t}} = e^{-\lambda s} = P(X > s) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that X is **memoryless**.

Example 5.2

The amount of time one spends in a bank, denoted X , is exponentially distributed with mean ten minutes. That is, $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda) = \text{exp}(\frac{1}{10})$.

PROBLEM 1: What is the probability that a customer will spend more than fifteen minutes in the bank?

SOLUTION:

$$P(X > 15) = e^{-15\lambda} = e^{-15/10} \approx 0.223$$

PROBLEM 2: What is the probability that a customer will spend more than fifteen minutes in the bank given that she is still in the bank after ten minutes?

SOLUTION:

$$P(X > 15 \mid X > 10) = e^{-(15-10)\lambda} = e^{-5/10} \approx 0.607$$

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Assume that X_1, X_2 are independent and that $X_i \sim \text{exp}(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. We want to calculate the probability of the event that $X_1 < X_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}P(X_1 < X_2) &= \int_0^{\infty} P(X_1 < X_2 | X_1 = x) \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx \\&= \int_0^{\infty} P(X_2 > x) \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx \\&= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_2 x} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 x} dx \\&= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \int_0^{\infty} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x} dx \\&= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}.\end{aligned}$$

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and that $X_i \sim \text{exp}(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned} P(\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i > x) &= P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i > x\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i > x) \quad (\text{by independence}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda_i x} \\ &= e^{-(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)x} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have shown that $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i \sim \text{exp}(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)$.

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

The following result combines the two previous results:

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and that $X_i \sim \exp(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. We want to calculate the probability that X_i is the smallest of all the variables, i.e., that $X_i < X_j$ for all $j \neq i$.

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} [X_i < X_j]\right) &= P(X_i < \min_{j \neq i} X_j) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j}, \quad \text{since } \min_{j \neq i} X_j \sim \exp(\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_j) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j} \end{aligned}$$

The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

Proposition (5.2)

Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are independent and that $X_i \sim \exp(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\min_i X_i \sim \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)$. Moreover, $\min_i X_i$ and the rank order of X_1, \dots, X_n are independent.

PROOF: Since the exponential distribution is **memoryless**, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} & P(X_{i_1} < \dots < X_{i_n} \mid \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i > t) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} < \dots < X_{i_n} \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i > t) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} - t < \dots < X_{i_n} - t \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i > t) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} < \dots < X_{i_n}) \end{aligned}$$