

# STK2130 – Week 10

A. B. Huseby

Department of Mathematics  
University of Oslo, Norway

# Chapter 5

## The Exponential Distribution and the Poisson Process

## Chapter 5.2 The Exponential Distribution

A continuous random variable  $X$  is said to have an **exponential distribution** with parameter  $\lambda > 0$ , denoted as  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ , if its **probability density function** is given by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

If  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ , then the **cumulative distribution function** of  $X$  is given by:

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the **survival function** of  $X$  is given by:

$$\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x) = 1 - F(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

# Memoryless stochastic variables

A random variable  $X$  is said to be **memoryless** if:

$$P(X > s + t | X > t) = P(X - t > s | X > t) = P(X > s), \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $X$  is memoryless if  $(X - t) | (X > t)$  has the same distribution as  $X$ .

Note that if  $X$  is the lifetime of some unit,  $(X - t)$  is the **remaining lifetime** given that the unit has survived up to the time  $t$ .

If  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X > s + t | X > t) &= \frac{P(X > s + t \cap X > t)}{P(X > t)} = \frac{P(X > s + t)}{P(X > t)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda(s+t)}}{e^{-\lambda t}} = e^{-\lambda s} = P(X > s) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that  $X$  is **memoryless**.

## Memoryless stochastic variables (cont.)

The memoryless property:

$$P(X > s + t | X > t) = P(X > s), \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

is equivalent to the following:

$$P(X > s + t) = P(X > s)P(X > t), \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

Since  $\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x)$ , this property can also be written as:

$$\bar{F}(s + t) = \bar{F}(s)\bar{F}(t), \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

We now show that the exponential distribution is essentially the **only** distribution with this property.

# Memoryless stochastic variables (cont.)

## Proposition

Let  $X$  be a random variable and let  $\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x)$  be such that:

$$\bar{F}(x + y) = \bar{F}(x) \cdot \bar{F}(y), \quad \text{for all } x, y \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

$$\lambda = -\log(\bar{F}(1)) > 0. \quad (2)$$

Then  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ .

PROOF: We first note that by (2), it follows that:

$$0 < \bar{F}(1) = e^{-\lambda} < 1. \quad (3)$$

Secondly we note that since cumulative distribution functions always are **right-continuous**, it follows that  $\bar{F} = 1 - F$  is **right-continuous** as well.

## Memoryless stochastic variables (cont.)

By repeated use of (1) it follows that for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , we have:

$$\bar{F}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{n} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}\right) = \bar{F}^m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (4)$$

where the sum contains  $m$  terms. In particular, by letting  $m = n$ , we get:

$$\bar{F}(1) = \bar{F}\left(\frac{n}{n}\right) = \bar{F}^n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (5)$$

Alternatively, (5) can be written as:

$$\bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = [\bar{F}(1)]^{1/n}. \quad (6)$$

By (3) and that  $\bar{F}$  is right-continuous, (6) implies that:

$$\bar{F}(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\bar{F}(1)]^{1/n} = 1.$$

Hence, since  $\bar{F}$  must be non-increasing,  $\bar{F}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \leq 0$ .

## Memoryless stochastic variables (cont.)

We now combine (4) and (6), and get:

$$\bar{F}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \bar{F}^m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = \bar{F}(1)^{m/n}, \quad \text{for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

Thus, since  $\bar{F}(1) = e^{-\lambda}$ , we have proved that:

$$\bar{F}(q) = \bar{F}(1)^q = e^{-\lambda q}, \quad \text{for all } q \in \mathbb{Q}^+.$$

Now, let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Since the set  $\mathbb{Q}^+$  is **dense** in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{q_r\} \subset \mathbb{Q}^+$  such that:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} q_r = x$$

Since  $\bar{F}$  is right-continuous, this implies that:

$$\bar{F}(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \bar{F}(q_r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} e^{-\lambda q_r} = e^{-\lambda x}.$$

Hence, we conclude that  $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$  ■



# The failure rate function

Let  $X$  be a continuously distributed positive random variable with density  $f$ , and **survival function**  $\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x)$ , where  $\bar{F}(0) = 1$ .

The **failure rate function** (or hazard rate function) of  $X$  is defined as:

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)}, \quad \text{for all } t \text{ such that } \bar{F}(t) > 0.$$

The failure rate function,  $r(t)$  can be interpreted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in (t, t + dt) | X > t) &= \frac{P(X \in (t, t + dt) \cap X > t)}{P(X > t)} \\ &= \frac{P(X \in (t, t + dt))}{P(X > t)} \approx \frac{f(t)dt}{\bar{F}(t)} = r(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $r(t)$  is the conditional probability density given that  $X > t$ .

## The failure rate function (cont.)

Given the function  $r(t)$  we can recover the survival function  $\bar{F}(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^x r(t)dt &= \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)} dt && \text{Subst.: } u = F(t), \quad du = f(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{F(x)} \frac{du}{1-u} = - \left|_0^{F(x)} [\ln(1-u) + C] = -\ln(\bar{F}(x)) - C.\end{aligned}$$

By using that  $\bar{F}(0) = 1$ , it follows that  $C = 0$ , and hence we get:

$$\bar{F}(x) = \exp\left(-\int_0^x r(t)dt\right) = e^{-R(x)}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

where  $R(x) = \int_0^x r(t)dt$  is referred to as the **cumulative failure rate function** (or cumulative hazard function).

## The failure rate function (cont.)

Assume that  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ . Then it follows that:

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)} = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{e^{-\lambda t}} = \lambda,$$

$$R(x) = \int_0^x r(t) dt = \int_0^x \lambda dt = \lambda x.$$

Hence, for the exponential distribution, the failure rate function is **constant**, while cumulative failure rate function is **linear**.

# The Weibull distribution

Assume that the random variable  $X$  has a cumulative failure rate function  $R(x) = (\lambda x)^\alpha$  where  $\alpha, \lambda > 0, x \geq 0$ .

Then the distribution of  $X$  is called a **Weibull distribution**. The parameter  $\alpha$  is referred to as the **shape parameter**.

The failure rate function of a Weibull distribution is obtained as the derivative of  $R(x)$ :

$$r(t) = R'(t) = \alpha\lambda(\lambda t)^{\alpha-1}, \quad t > 0.$$

We observe that:

- If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $r$  is **decreasing**
- If  $\alpha = 1$ , then  $r$  is **constant**
- If  $\alpha > 1$ , then  $r$  is **increasing**

In fact, if  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$ .

## The Weibull distribution (cont.)

The survival function of a Weibull distribution is given by:

$$\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x) = e^{-R(x)} = e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

The cumulative distribution function of a Weibull distribution is given by:

$$F(x) = 1 - \bar{F}(x) = 1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

The density function of a Weibull distribution is given by:

$$f(x) = r(x)\bar{F}(x) = \alpha\lambda(\lambda x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

## Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent exponential random variables with respective rates  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ , where  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  when  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $J$  be a discrete variable independent of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and with values in the index set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Assume that:

$$P(J = j) = P_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n P_j = 1.$$

The random variable  $X_J$  is said to a **hyperexponential** random variable, or a **discrete mixture** of exponentials.

EXAMPLE: A collection of  $n$  types of batteries.

- The lifetime of a battery of type  $j$  is  $\exp(\lambda_j)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .
- $P_j$  is the proportion of batteries of type  $j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

## Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution (cont.)

The **cumulative distribution function** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= P(X_J \leq x) = 1 - P(X_J > x) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_j > x | J = j) P(J = j) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j x}, \quad x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The **probability density function** is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

## Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution (cont.)

The **failure rate function** is given by:

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}}, \quad t > 0.$$

NOTE: We also have:

$$P(J = j | X > t) = \frac{P(X > t | J = j)P(J = j)}{P(X > t)} = \frac{P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}}, \quad t > 0.$$

Hence, the failure rate function  $r(t)$  can alternatively be expressed as:

$$r(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j P(J = j | X > t).$$



## Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution (cont.)

If  $\lambda_1 < \lambda_i$  for all  $i > 1$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(J = 1 | X > t) &= \frac{P_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}}{P_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + \sum_{j=2}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}} \\ &= \frac{P_1}{P_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n P_j e^{-(\lambda_j - \lambda_1)t}} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all  $j > 1$  we have:

$$P(J = j | X > t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

From this it follows that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j.$$

# The Exponential Distribution (cont.)

## Proposition (5.2)

Assume that  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent and that  $X_i \sim \exp(\lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\min_i X_i \sim \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i)$ . Moreover,  $\min_i X_i$  and the rank order of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent.

PROOF: Since the exponential distribution is **memoryless**, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X_{i_1} < \dots < X_{i_n} \mid \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i > t) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} < \dots < X_{i_n} \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i > t) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} - t < \dots < X_{i_n} - t \mid \bigcap_{i=1}^n X_i > t) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} < \dots < X_{i_n}) \end{aligned}$$

## Example 5.8

A post office with two clerks, both are busy but there is no one else waiting in line.

$R_i$  = Time until for clerk  $i$  becomes available,  $i = 1, 2$

$S$  = Your service time

$T$  = The total time spent in the post office

We assume that  $R_1, R_2$  are independent and  $R_i \sim \exp(\lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[T] &= E[T|R_1 < R_2]P(R_1 < R_2) + E[T|R_2 \leq R_1]P(R_2 < R_1) \\ &= E[R_1 + S|R_1 < R_2]\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + E[R_2 + S|R_2 < R_1]\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \end{aligned}$$

We now use that:

$$E[R_i|R_i < R_{3-i}] = E[\min(R_1, R_2)] = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$E[S|R_i < R_{3-i}] = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

## Example 5.8 (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} E[T] &= E[R_1 + S | R_1 < R_2] \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + E[R_2 + S | R_2 < R_1] \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \right) \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \right) \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \\ &= \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \\ &= \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} + 1 + 1 \right) \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \\ &= \frac{3}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}. \end{aligned}$$

# The hypoexponential distribution

We recall that if  $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$ , then the **moment generating function** of  $X$  is given by:

$$M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}] = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}.$$

Now, let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and  $X_i \sim \text{exp}(\lambda_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and assume that all the  $\lambda_i$ 's are **distinct**. That is  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ .

The moment generating function of  $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} M_S(t) &= E[e^{tS}] = E[e^{tX_1 + \dots + tX_n}] \\ &= E[e^{tX_1}] \dots E[e^{tX_n}] \quad (\text{since } X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are independent}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t}. \end{aligned}$$

## The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

Assume that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  be distinct positive numbers. A random variable  $Z$  is said to have a **hypoexponential distribution** with rates  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  if the density of  $Z$  is given by:

$$f_Z(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \cdot \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i z}, \quad z \geq 0,$$

where:

$$C_{i,n} = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The moment generating function of  $Z$  is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z(t) &= E[e^{tZ}] = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \cdot \lambda_i e^{-(\lambda_i - t)z} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda_i e^{-(\lambda_i - t)z} dz = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \cdot \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \end{aligned}$$

## The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

By inserting the expressions for  $C_{1,n}, \dots, C_{n,n}$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \cdot C_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - t}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \cdot \phi_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\phi_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - t}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}$$

We observe that  $\phi_n(t)$  is a **polynomial** in  $t$  of degree  $\nu$ , where  $\nu \leq (n - 1)$ .

If  $\nu > 0$ , the equation  $\phi_n(t) = 1$  can have at most  $\nu < n$  **distinct real solutions**.

## The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

However, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  we must have:

$$\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 0, \quad \text{if } k \neq i,$$

$$\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 1, \quad \text{if } k = i.$$

Hence, we get that:

$$\phi_n(\lambda_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since we have assumed that  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  are distinct, the equation  $\phi_n(t) = 1$  has  $n$  distinct real solutions, which implies that  $\nu = 0$ , i.e., that  $\phi_n(t) \equiv 1$ .



## The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

Thus, we have shown that the moment generating function of  $Z$  is simply:

$$M_Z(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} = M_S(t).$$

Since the moment generating function (when it exists) uniquely determines the distribution, this implies that  $Z$  has the distribution of a sum of  $n$  independent, exponentially distributed variables with distinct rates.

NOTE: Since  $\phi_n(t) \equiv 1$ , it follows that we also have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = \phi_n(0) = 1.$$

Hence, we also get that:

$$\int_0^{\infty} f_Z(z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i z} dz = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} = 1$$

## The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

NOTE: Both the **hyperexponential** distribution and the **hypoexponential** distribution have probability densities of the form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e^{-\lambda_i x}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

where:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1.$$

For the **hyperexponential** distribution the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are all numbers between 0 and 1. Hence, these parameters can be interpreted as **probabilities**.

For the **hypoexponential** distribution the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  will in general be both less than 0 and greater than 1. Thus, in this case the parameters can **not** be interpreted as probabilities.

## Chapter 5.2.5 The Dirichlet Distribution

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent and  $X_i \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha_i, \lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we have shown that:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \lambda\right).$$

We now consider the random vector:

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) = \left(\frac{X_1}{S}, \dots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{S}\right).$$

In order to find the joint probability density of  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$ , we first derive the joint probability density of  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, S)$ , noting that:

$$X_i = S \cdot Y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, (n-1), \quad X_n = S - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S \cdot Y_i = S \cdot \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i\right).$$

## The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

Hence, the Jacobi matrix of this transformation is:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 & \dots & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & s & \dots & 0 & y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & y_{n-1} \\ -s & -s & \dots & -s & 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify that the determinant of this matrix is  $|J| = s^{n-1}$ .

The joint probability density of  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, S)$  is then obtained by inserting the expressions for  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  into the joint probability density of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ :

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (s y_i)^{\alpha_i - 1} e^{-\lambda s y_i} \\ \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} \left( s \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right) \right)^{\alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda s \left( 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right)} \cdot |s^{n-1}|$$

## The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \mathbf{s}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (\mathbf{s}y_i)^{\alpha_i-1} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{s}y_i} \\ &\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} (\mathbf{s}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i))^{\alpha_n-1} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{s}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i)} \cdot |\mathbf{s}^{n-1}| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i)^{\alpha_n-1} \\ &\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

## The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

The joint probability density of  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$  is obtained by integrating with respect to  $s$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) &= \int_0^\infty f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, s) ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right)^{\alpha_n-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)} s^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda s} ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right)^{\alpha_n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

## The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

NOTE: The joint probability density of  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$  and  $S$  can be factorised as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, s) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right)^{\alpha_n-1} \\ &\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)} s^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda s} \\ &= f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \cdot f(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that the vector  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$  and  $S$  are independent of each other.

## The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

The joint distribution of  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})$  is called a **Dirichlet distribution** with parameters  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , and we write:

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

NOTE: Since  $Y_i = X_i/S$ , it follows that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i/S = \frac{S - X_n}{S} \in (0, 1).$$

Thus,  $f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) > 0$  only when  $0 < y_1 + \dots + y_{n-1} < 1$ .

In the special case where  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 1$ , we get:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i)^{\alpha_n-1} = (n-1)!$$



## The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

In the special case where  $n = 2$ , i.e., when  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) = Y_1$ , we get:

$$f(y_1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} (1 - y_1)^{\alpha_2-1}, \quad 0 < y_1 < 1.$$

This distribution is called a **Beta distribution** with parameters  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ .

In the case where  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1) &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + 1)}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(1)} y_1^{1-1} (1 - y_1)^{1-1} \\ &= 1, \quad 0 < y_1 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case  $Y_1 \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$ .

## Chapter 5.3.1 Counting Processes

A stochastic process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is said to be a **counting process** if  $N(t)$  represents the total number of **events** that occur by time  $t \geq 0$ .

EXAMPLES:

$N(t)$  = Number of persons arriving at a store up to and including time  $t \geq 0$

$N(t)$  = Number of children being born up to and including time  $t \geq 0$

$N(t)$  = Number of reported accidents up to and including time  $t \geq 0$

## Counting Processes (cont.)

Properties of a counting process:

- $N(t) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .
- $N(t)$  is **non-decreasing** in  $t$ . That is, if  $s < t$ , then  $N(s) \leq N(t)$ .
- If  $s < t$ , then  $N(t) - N(s)$  equals the number of events in  $(s, t]$ .

A counting process is said to have **independent increments** if  $N(t_1) - N(s_1)$  and  $N(t_2) - N(s_2)$  are independent for all pairs of non-overlapping intervals  $(s_1, t_1]$  and  $(s_2, t_2]$ .

A counting process is said to have **stationary increments** if the distribution of  $N(s + t) - N(s)$  has the same distribution for all  $s \geq 0$ .

# The $o(h)$ -notation

## Definition

The function  $f(\cdot)$  is said to be  $o(h)$  if:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = 0.$$

## EXAMPLES:

(a) The function  $f(x) = x^2$  is  $o(h)$  since:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^2}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h = 0.$$

(b) The function  $f(x) = x$  is **not**  $o(h)$  since:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 1 = 1.$$

## The $o(h)$ -notation (cont.)

(c) If  $f(\cdot)$  is  $o(h)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  is  $o(h)$ , then so is  $f(\cdot) + g(\cdot)$ .

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) + g(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

(d) If  $f(\cdot)$  is  $o(h)$ , then so is  $c \cdot f(\cdot)$ .

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{c \cdot f(h)}{h} = c \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = c \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(e) Any finite linear combination of functions, each of which is  $o(h)$ , is  $o(h)$ .

## Chapter 5.3.2 Definition of the Poisson Process

### Definition (5.2)

The counting process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is said to be a *Poisson process* with rate  $\lambda > 0$  if the following four axioms hold:

- (i)  $N(0) = 0$
- (ii)  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  has independent increments.
- (iii)  $P(N(t+h) - N(t) = 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$
- (iv)  $P(N(t+h) - N(t) \geq 2) = o(h)$

# Properties of the Poisson Process

## Lemma (5.1)

Assume that  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda > 0$ . Then let  $s > 0$ , and define:

$$N_s(t) = N(s + t) - N(s), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then  $\{N_s(t), t \geq 0\}$  is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  as well.

PROOF: We prove the lemma by verifying that  $\{N_s(t), t \geq 0\}$  satisfies the axioms given in Definition 5.2:

- (i)  $N_s(0) = N(s + 0) - N(s) = 0$
- (ii)  $\{N_s(t), t \geq 0\}$  has independent increments since  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  has independent increments.
- (iii)  $P(N_s(t + h) - N_s(t) = 1) = P(N(s + t + h) - N(s + t) = 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$
- (iv)  $P(N_s(t + h) - N_s(t) \geq 2) = P(N(s + t + h) - N(s + t) \geq 2) = o(h)$

## Properties of the Poisson Process (cont.)

### Lemma (5.2)

If  $T_1$  is the time of the first event of a Poisson process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  with rate  $\lambda > 0$ , then:

$$P(T_1 > t) = P(N(t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

That is  $T_1 \sim \exp(\lambda)$ .

PROOF: Let  $P_0(t) = P(N(t) = 0)$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(t+h) &= P(N(t+h) = 0) \\ &= P(N(t) = 0 \cap N(t+h) - N(t) = 0) \\ &= P(N(t) = 0) \cdot P(N(t+h) - N(t) = 0) \quad \text{by Axiom (ii)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) \quad \text{by Axiom (iii) and (iv)} \end{aligned}$$



## Properties of the Poisson Process (cont.)

Hence, we get:

$$P_0(t+h) - P_0(t) = -\lambda h P_0(t) + o(h)P_0(t)$$

Dividing by  $h$  and letting  $h \rightarrow 0$  gives that:

$$P_0'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_0(t+h) - P_0(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[ -\lambda P_0(t) + \frac{o(h)P_0(t)}{h} \right] = -\lambda P_0(t).$$

Alternatively, this can be expressed as:

$$\frac{P_0'(t)}{P_0(t)} = -\lambda$$

Integrating both sides of this equation yields:

$$\log(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + C.$$

Since  $P_0(0) = P(N(0) = 0) = 1$  it follows that  $C = 0$ , and hence, we get:

$$P(T_1 > t) = P(N(t) = 0) = P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

# Properties of the Poisson Process (cont.)

## Proposition (5.4)

Let  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  be the *sequence of interarrival times* of a Poisson process  $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$  with rate  $\lambda > 0$ . That is,  $T_1$  is the time of the first event, and

$$T_n = \text{The time between the } (n-1)\text{st and } n\text{th event, } \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then  $T_1, T_2, \dots$  are independent and identically distributed exponential random variables with rate  $\lambda$ .

PROOF: We have already shown that  $T_1 \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$ . For  $T_2$  we get:

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_2 > t | T_1 = s) &= P(N(s+t) - N(s) = 0 | T_1 = s) \\ &= P(N(s+t) - N(s) = 0) \quad \text{by Axiom (ii)} \\ &= P(N_s(t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.} \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by repeating this argument for  $T_3, T_4, \dots$