

STK2130 – Lecture 8

A. B. Huseby

Department of Mathematics
University of Oslo, Norway

Chapter 5

The Exponential Distribution and the Poisson Process

Chapter 5.2 The Exponential Distribution

A continuous random variable X is said to have an **exponential distribution** with parameter $\lambda > 0$, denoted as $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$, if its **probability density function** is given by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

If $X \sim \exp(\lambda)$, then the **cumulative distribution function** of X is given by:

$$F(x) = P(X \leq x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the **survival function** of X is given by:

$$\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x) = 1 - F(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

The failure rate function

Let X be a continuously distributed positive random variable with density f , and **survival function** $\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x)$, where $\bar{F}(0) = 1$.

The **failure rate function** (or hazard rate function) of X is defined as:

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)}, \quad \text{for all } t \text{ such that } \bar{F}(t) > 0.$$

The failure rate function, $r(t)$ can be interpreted as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X \in (t, t + dt) | X > t) &= \frac{P(X \in (t, t + dt) \cap X > t)}{P(X > t)} \\ &= \frac{P(X \in (t, t + dt))}{P(X > t)} \approx \frac{f(t)dt}{\bar{F}(t)} = r(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $r(t)$ is the conditional probability density given that $X > t$.

The failure rate function (cont.)

Given the function $r(t)$ we can recover the survival function $\bar{F}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^x r(t)dt &= \int_0^x \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)}dt && \text{Subst.: } u = F(t), du = f(t)dt \\ &= \int_0^{F(x)} \frac{du}{1-u} = - \Big|_0^{F(x)} \ln(1-u) = -\ln(\bar{F}(x))\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get:

$$\bar{F}(x) = \exp\left(-\int_0^x r(t)dt\right) = e^{-R(x)}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

where $R(x) = \int_0^x r(t)dt$ is referred to as the **cumulative failure rate function** (or cumulative hazard function).

The failure rate function (cont.)

Assume that $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$. Then it follows that:

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)} = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda t}}{e^{-\lambda t}} = \lambda,$$

$$R(x) = \int_0^x r(t) dt = \int_0^x \lambda dt = \lambda x.$$

Hence, for the exponential distribution, the failure rate function is **constant**, while cumulative failure rate function is **linear**.

The Weibull distribution

Assume that the random variable X has a cumulative failure rate function $R(x) = (\lambda x)^\alpha$ where $\alpha, \lambda > 0, x \geq 0$.

Then the distribution of X is called a **Weibull distribution**. The parameter α is referred to as the **shape parameter**.

The failure rate function of a Weibull distribution is obtained as the derivative of $R(x)$:

$$r(t) = R'(t) = \alpha\lambda(\lambda t)^{\alpha-1}, \quad t > 0.$$

We observe that:

- If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then r is **decreasing**
- If $\alpha = 1$, then r is **constant**
- If $\alpha > 1$, then r is **increasing**

In fact, if $\alpha = 1, X \sim \exp(\lambda)$.

The Weibull distribution (cont.)

The survival function of a Weibull distribution is given by:

$$\bar{F}(x) = P(X > x) = e^{-R(x)} = e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

The cumulative distribution function of a Weibull distribution is given by:

$$F(x) = 1 - \bar{F}(x) = 1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

The density function of a Weibull distribution is given by:

$$f(x) = r(x)\bar{F}(x) = \alpha\lambda(\lambda x)^{\alpha-1}e^{-(\lambda x)^\alpha}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent exponential random variables with respective rates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, where $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ when $i \neq j$.

Let J be a discrete variable independent of X_1, \dots, X_n and with values in the index set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Assume that:

$$P(J = j) = P_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n P_j = 1.$$

The random variable X_J is said to a **hyperexponential** random variable, or a **discrete mixture** of exponentials.

EXAMPLE: A collection of n types of batteries.

- The lifetime of a battery of type j is $\exp(\lambda_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.
- P_j is the proportion of batteries of type j , $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution (cont.)

The **survival function** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{F}(x) &= P(X_J > x) = \sum_{j=1}^n P(X_j > x | J = j) P(J = j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j x}, \quad x \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the **cumulative distribution function** is:

$$F(x) = 1 - \bar{F}(x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

The **probability density function** is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} F(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution (cont.)

The **failure rate function** is given by:

$$r(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n P_i \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}}, \quad t > 0.$$

NOTE: We also have:

$$P(J = i | X > t) = \frac{P(X > t | J = i) P(J = i)}{P(X > t)} = \frac{P_i e^{-\lambda_i t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}}, \quad t > 0.$$

Hence, the failure rate function $r(t)$ can alternatively be expressed as:

$$r(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n P_i \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{P_i e^{-\lambda_i t}}{\sum_{j=1}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P(J = i | X > t)$$

Example 5.6 Hyperexponential distribution (cont.)

If $\lambda_1 < \lambda_i$ for all $i > 1$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} P(J = 1 | X > t) &= \frac{P_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}}{P_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} + \sum_{j=2}^n P_j e^{-\lambda_j t}} \\ &= \frac{P_1}{P_1 + \sum_{j=2}^n P_j e^{-(\lambda_j - \lambda_1)t}} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all $j > 1$ we have:

$$P(J = j | X > t) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

From this it follows that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j.$$

The hypoexponential distribution

We recall that if $X \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$, then the **moment generating function** of X is given by:

$$M_X(t) = E[e^{tX}] = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda e^{-(\lambda-t)x} dx = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}.$$

Now, let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and $X_i \sim \text{exp}(\lambda_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and assume that all the λ_i 's are **distinct**. That is $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

The moment generating function of $S = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} M_S(t) &= E[e^{tS}] = E[e^{tX_1 + \dots + tX_n}] \\ &= E[e^{tX_1}] \dots E[e^{tX_n}] \quad (\text{since } X_1, \dots, X_n \text{ are independent}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

Assume that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be distinct positive numbers. A random variable Z is said to have a **hypoexponential distribution** with rates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ if the density of Z is given by:

$$f_Z(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \cdot \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i z}, \quad z \geq 0,$$

where:

$$C_{i,n} = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The moment generating function of Z is then given by:

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z(t) &= E[e^{tZ}] = \int_0^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \cdot \lambda_i e^{-(\lambda_i - t)z} dz \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda_i e^{-(\lambda_i - t)z} dz = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \cdot \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \end{aligned}$$

The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

By inserting the expressions for $C_{1,n}, \dots, C_{n,n}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}M_Z(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \cdot C_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - t}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} \cdot \phi_n(t),\end{aligned}$$

where:

$$\phi_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - t}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i}$$

We observe that $\phi_n(t)$ is a **polynomial** in t of degree ν , where $\nu \leq (n - 1)$.

If $\nu > 0$, the equation $\phi_n(t) = 1$ can have at most $\nu < n$ **distinct real solutions**.

The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

However, for $k = 1, \dots, n$ we must have:

$$\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 0, \quad \text{if } k \neq i,$$

$$\prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 1, \quad \text{if } k = i.$$

Hence, we get that:

$$\phi_n(\lambda_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Since we have assumed that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are distinct, the equation $\phi_n(t) = 1$ has n distinct real solutions, which implies that $\nu = 0$, i.e., that $\phi_n(t) \equiv 1$.

The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

Thus, we have shown that the moment generating function of Z is simply:

$$M_Z(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i - t} = M_S(t).$$

Since the moment generating function (when it exists) uniquely determines the distribution, this implies that Z has the distribution of a sum of n independent, exponentially distributed variables with distinct rates.

NOTE: Since $\phi_n(t) \equiv 1$, it follows that we also have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} = \phi_n(0) = 1.$$

Hence, we also get that:

$$\int_0^{\infty} f_Z(z) dz = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i z} dz = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,n} = 1$$

The hypoexponential distribution (cont.)

NOTE: Both the **hyperexponential** distribution and the **hypoexponential** distribution have probability densities of the form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i x}, \quad x \geq 0,$$

where:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1.$$

For the **hyperexponential** distribution the parameters a_1, \dots, a_n are all numbers between 0 and 1. Hence, these parameters can be interpreted as **probabilities**.

For the **hypoexponential** distribution the parameters a_1, \dots, a_n will in general be both less than 0 and greater than 1. Thus, in this case the parameters can **not** be interpreted as probabilities.

Chapter 5.2.5 The Dirichlet Distribution

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent and $X_i \sim \text{gamma}(\alpha_i, \lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have shown that:

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{gamma}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \lambda\right).$$

We now consider the random vector:

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) = \left(\frac{X_1}{S}, \dots, \frac{X_{n-1}}{S}\right).$$

In order to find the joint probability density of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) , we first derive the joint probability density of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, S) , noting that:

$$X_i = S \cdot Y_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, (n-1), \quad X_n = S - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S \cdot Y_i = S \cdot \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i\right).$$

Chapter 5.2.5 The Dirichlet Distribution

For simplicity we consider the case where $n = 3$. Thus, we have:

$$x_1 = \Psi_1(y_1, y_2, s) = s \cdot y_1,$$

$$x_2 = \Psi_2(y_1, y_2, s) = s \cdot y_2,$$

$$x_3 = \Psi_3(y_1, y_2, s) = s \cdot (1 - y_1 - y_2).$$

From this it follows that:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial y_2} = s, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial y_2} = \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial y_1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial s} = y_1, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial s} = y_2,$$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial y_2} = -s, \quad \frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial s} = 1 - y_1 - y_2$$

Chapter 5.2.5 The Dirichlet Distribution

Hence, the Jacobi matrix of this transformation is:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & s & y_2 \\ -s & -s & 1 - y_1 - y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinant of J is then:

$$|J| = s^2(1 - y_1 - y_2) + s^2y_2 + s^2y_1 = s^2$$

The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

More generally, the Jacobi matrix of this transformation is:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} s & 0 & \dots & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & s & \dots & 0 & y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s & y_{n-1} \\ -s & -s & \dots & -s & 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinant of this matrix is $|J| = s^{n-1}$.

The joint probability density of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, S) is then obtained by inserting the expressions for x_1, \dots, x_n into the joint probability density of X_1, \dots, X_n :

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, s) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (s y_i)^{\alpha_i - 1} e^{-\lambda s y_i} \\ \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} \left(s \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right) \right)^{\alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda s \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i \right)} \cdot |s^{n-1}|$$

The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, \mathbf{s}) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} (\mathbf{s}y_i)^{\alpha_i-1} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{s}y_i} \\ &\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_n)} (\mathbf{s}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i))^{\alpha_n-1} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{s}(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i)} \cdot |\mathbf{s}^{n-1}| \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i)^{\alpha_n-1} \\ &\cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)} \mathbf{s}^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda \mathbf{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

The joint probability density of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) is obtained by integrating with respect to s :

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) &= \int_0^\infty f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, s) ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right)^{\alpha_n-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)} s^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda s} ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right)^{\alpha_n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

NOTE: The joint probability density of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) and S can be factorised as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, s) &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i\right)^{\alpha_n-1} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{\lambda^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n}}{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)} s^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n - 1} e^{-\lambda s} \\ &= f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \cdot f(s). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that the vector (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) and S are independent of each other.

The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

The joint distribution of (Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) is called a **Dirichlet distribution** with parameters $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, and we write:

$$(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

NOTE: Since $Y_i = X_i/S$, it follows that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} X_i/S = \frac{S - X_n}{S} \in (0, 1).$$

Thus, $f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) > 0$ only when $0 < y_1 + \dots + y_{n-1} < 1$.

In the special case where $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 1$, we get:

$$f(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \cdots \Gamma(\alpha_n)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} \cdots y_{n-1}^{\alpha_{n-1}-1} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i)^{\alpha_n-1} = (n-1)!$$

The Dirichlet Distribution (cont.)

In the special case where $n = 2$, i.e., when $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) = Y_1$, we get:

$$f(y_1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1)\Gamma(\alpha_2)} y_1^{\alpha_1-1} (1 - y_1)^{\alpha_2-1}, \quad 0 < y_1 < 1.$$

This distribution is called a **Beta distribution** with parameters α_1, α_2 .

In the case where $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1) &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + 1)}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(1)} y_1^{1-1} (1 - y_1)^{1-1} \\ &= 1, \quad 0 < y_1 < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in this case $Y_1 \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1)$.

Chapter 5.3.1 Counting Processes

A stochastic process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is said to be a **counting process** if $N(t)$ represents the total number of **events** that occur by time $t \geq 0$.

EXAMPLES:

$N(t)$ = Number of persons arriving at a store up to and including time $t \geq 0$

$N(t)$ = Number of children being born up to and including time $t \geq 0$

$N(t)$ = Number of reported accidents up to and including time $t \geq 0$

Counting Processes (cont.)

Properties of a counting process:

- $N(t) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- $N(t)$ is **non-decreasing** in t . That is, if $s < t$, then $N(s) \leq N(t)$.
- If $s < t$, then $N(t) - N(s)$ equals the number of events in $(s, t]$.

A counting process is said to have **independent increments** if $N(t_1) - N(s_1)$ and $N(t_2) - N(s_2)$ are independent for all pairs of non-overlapping intervals $(s_1, t_1]$ and $(s_2, t_2]$.

A counting process is said to have **stationary increments** if the distribution of $N(s + t) - N(s)$ has the same distribution for all $s \geq 0$.

The $o(h)$ -notation

Definition

The function $f(\cdot)$ is said to be $o(h)$ if:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = 0.$$

EXAMPLES:

(a) The function $f(x) = x^2$ is $o(h)$ since:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^2}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h = 0.$$

(b) The function $f(x) = x$ is **not** $o(h)$ since:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} 1 = 1.$$

The $o(h)$ -notation (cont.)

(c) If $f(\cdot)$ is $o(h)$ and $g(\cdot)$ is $o(h)$, then so is $f(\cdot) + g(\cdot)$.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h) + g(h)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(h)}{h} = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

(d) If $f(\cdot)$ is $o(h)$, then so is $c \cdot f(\cdot)$.

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{c \cdot f(h)}{h} = c \cdot \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(h)}{h} = c \cdot 0 = 0.$$

(e) Any finite linear combination of functions, each of which is $o(h)$, is $o(h)$.

Chapter 5.3.2 Definition of the Poisson Process

Definition (5.2)

The counting process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is said to be a *Poisson process* with rate $\lambda > 0$ if the following four axioms hold:

- (i) $N(0) = 0$
- (ii) $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ has independent increments.
- (iii) $P(N(t+h) - N(t) = 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$
- (iv) $P(N(t+h) - N(t) \geq 2) = o(h)$

Properties of the Poisson Process

Lemma (5.1)

Assume that $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda > 0$. Then let $s > 0$, and define:

$$N_s(t) = N(s + t) - N(s), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then $\{N_s(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Poisson process with rate λ as well.

PROOF: We prove the lemma by verifying that $\{N_s(t), t \geq 0\}$ satisfies the axioms given in Definition 5.2:

- (i) $N_s(0) = N(s + 0) - N(s) = 0$
- (ii) $\{N_s(t), t \geq 0\}$ has independent increments since $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ has independent increments.
- (iii) $P(N_s(t + h) - N_s(t) = 1) = P(N(s + t + h) - N(s + t) = 1) = \lambda h + o(h)$
- (iv) $P(N_s(t + h) - N_s(t) \geq 2) = P(N(s + t + h) - N(s + t) \geq 2) = o(h)$

Properties of the Poisson Process (cont.)

Lemma (5.2)

If T_1 is the time of the first event of a Poisson process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ with rate $\lambda > 0$, then:

$$P(T_1 > t) = P(N(t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

That is $T_1 \sim \exp(\lambda)$.

PROOF: Let $P_0(t) = P(N(t) = 0)$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(t+h) &= P(N(t+h) = 0) \\ &= P(N(t) = 0 \cap N(t+h) - N(t) = 0) \\ &= P(N(t) = 0) \cdot P(N(t+h) - N(t) = 0) \quad \text{by Axiom (ii)} \\ &= P_0(t)(1 - \lambda h + o(h)) \quad \text{by Axiom (iii) and (iv)} \end{aligned}$$

Properties of the Poisson Process (cont.)

Hence, we get:

$$P_0(t+h) - P_0(t) = -\lambda h P_0(t) + o(h)P_0(t)$$

Dividing by h and letting $h \rightarrow 0$ gives that:

$$P_0'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{P_0(t+h) - P_0(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left[-\lambda P_0(t) + \frac{o(h)P_0(t)}{h} \right] = -\lambda P_0(t).$$

Alternatively, this can be expressed as:

$$\frac{P_0'(t)}{P_0(t)} = -\lambda$$

Integrating both sides of this equation yields:

$$\log(P_0(t)) = -\lambda t + C.$$

Since $P_0(0) = P(N(0) = 0) = 1$ it follows that $C = 0$, and hence, we get:

$$P(T_1 > t) = P(N(t) = 0) = P_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \geq 0 \quad \blacksquare$$

Properties of the Poisson Process (cont.)

Proposition (5.4)

Let T_1, T_2, \dots be the *sequence of interarrival times* of a Poisson process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ with rate $\lambda > 0$. That is, T_1 is the time of the first event, and

$$T_n = \text{The time between the } (n-1)\text{st and } n\text{th event, } \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Then T_1, T_2, \dots are independent and identically distributed exponential random variables with rate λ .

PROOF: We have already shown that $T_1 \sim \text{exp}(\lambda)$. For T_2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} P(T_2 > t | T_1 = s) &= P(N(s+t) - N(s) = 0 | T_1 = s) \\ &= P(N(s+t) - N(s) = 0) \quad \text{by Axiom (ii)} \\ &= P(N_s(t) = 0) = e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{by Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2.} \end{aligned}$$

The result follows by repeating this argument for T_3, T_4, \dots