MODELING AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS USING STOCHASTIC HYBRID SYSTEMS

Arne B. Huseby

Department of Mathematics University of Oslo

June, 27. / ESREL 2007



Arne B. Huseby

Introduction

Airspace Model Framework

Software Implementation

Analysis and Results



Arne B. Huseby

MODELING AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS

Introduction

Airspace Model Framework

Software Implementation

Analysis and Results



Arne B. Huseby

MODELING AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS

Introduction

Airspace Model Framework

Software Implementation

Analysis and Results



Arne B. Huseby

MODELING AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS

Introduction

Airspace Model Framework

Software Implementation

Analysis and Results



Arne B. Huseby

MODELING AIRCRAFT MOVEMENTS

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Problem Description

- Building and analyzing models for air traffic control in a stochastic environment
- Aircraft movements are modeled using ordinary differential equations
- Stochastic elements in the model:
 - Arrival of aircrafts into the system
 - Arrival and departure points of the aircrafts
- Presently the work is in a *preliminary state* where the purpose is to demonstrate how to deal with the various issues related to such models
- Ultimate goals:
 - Optimize designated aircraft trajectories w.r.t. risk
 - Determine maximal acceptable traffic density w.r.t. risk



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Problem Description

- Building and analyzing models for air traffic control in a stochastic environment
- Aircraft movements are modeled using ordinary differential equations
- Stochastic elements in the model:
 - Arrival of aircrafts into the system
 - Arrival and departure points of the aircrafts
- Presently the work is in a *preliminary state* where the purpose is to demonstrate how to deal with the various issues related to such models
- Ultimate goals:
 - Optimize designated aircraft trajectories w.r.t. risk
 - Determine maximal acceptable traffic density w.r.t. risk



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Problem Description

- Building and analyzing models for air traffic control in a stochastic environment
- Aircraft movements are modeled using ordinary differential equations
- Stochastic elements in the model:
 - Arrival of aircrafts into the system
 - Arrival and departure points of the aircrafts
- Presently the work is in a *preliminary state* where the purpose is to demonstrate how to deal with the various issues related to such models
- ► Ultimate goals:
 - Optimize designated aircraft trajectories w.r.t. risk
 - Determine maximal acceptable traffic density w.r.t. risk



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Problem Description

- Building and analyzing models for air traffic control in a stochastic environment
- Aircraft movements are modeled using ordinary differential equations
- Stochastic elements in the model:
 - Arrival of aircrafts into the system
 - Arrival and departure points of the aircrafts
- Presently the work is in a *preliminary state* where the purpose is to demonstrate how to deal with the various issues related to such models
- Ultimate goals:
 - Optimize designated aircraft trajectories w.r.t. risk
 - Determine maximal acceptable traffic density w.r.t. risk



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Problem Description

- Building and analyzing models for air traffic control in a stochastic environment
- Aircraft movements are modeled using ordinary differential equations
- Stochastic elements in the model:
 - Arrival of aircrafts into the system
 - Arrival and departure points of the aircrafts
- Presently the work is in a *preliminary state* where the purpose is to demonstrate how to deal with the various issues related to such models
- Ultimate goals:
 - Optimize designated aircraft trajectories w.r.t. risk
 - Determine maximal acceptable traffic density w.r.t. risk



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

A Airspace of interest

• $\{N(t)\}$ Aircraft arrival process

• $(T_{a,i}, T_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure times of *i*th aircraft

- $T_{p,i} = T_{d,i} T_{a,i}$ Processing time of *i*th aircraft
- $(X_{a,i}, X_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure points of *i*th aircraft



Arne B. Huseby

- A Airspace of interest
- $\{N(t)\}$ Aircraft arrival process

• $(T_{a,i}, T_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure times of *i*th aircraft

- $T_{p,i} = T_{d,i} T_{a,i}$ Processing time of *i*th aircraft
- $(X_{a,i}, X_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure points of *i*th aircraft



Arne B. Huseby

- A Airspace of interest
- $\{N(t)\}$ Aircraft arrival process
- $(T_{a,i}, T_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure times of *i*th aircraft
- $T_{p,i} = T_{d,i} T_{a,i}$ Processing time of *i*th aircraft
- $(X_{a,i}, X_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure points of *i*th aircraft



Arne B. Huseby

- A Airspace of interest
- $\{N(t)\}$ Aircraft arrival process
- $(T_{a,i}, T_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure times of *i*th aircraft
- $T_{p,i} = T_{d,i} T_{a,i}$ Processing time of *i*th aircraft
- $(X_{a,i}, X_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure points of *i*th aircraft



Arne B. Huseby

- A Airspace of interest
- $\{N(t)\}$ Aircraft arrival process
- $(T_{a,i}, T_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure times of *i*th aircraft
- $T_{p,i} = T_{d,i} T_{a,i}$ Processing time of *i*th aircraft
- $(\mathbf{X}_{a,i}, \mathbf{X}_{d,i})$ Arrival and departure points of *i*th aircraft

University of Oslo

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト

Arne B. Huseby

$$N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} I(T_{a,i} \le t)$$

$$M(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} I(T_{d,i} \le t)$$

$$Q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I(T_{a,i} \le t < T_{d,i})$$

$$Q(t) = N(t) - M(t)$$



Arne B. Huseby

$$N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} I(T_{a,i} \le t)$$

$$M(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} I(T_{d,i} \le t)$$

$$Q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} I(T_{a,i} \le t < T_{d,i})$$

$$Q(t) = N(t) - M(t)$$



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

•
$$N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{I}(T_{a,i} \leq t)$$

•
$$M(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{I}(T_{d,i} \leq t)$$

•
$$Q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{I}(T_{a,i} \leq t < T_{d,i})$$

 $\triangleright \ Q(t) = N(t) - M(t)$

ی ۲۰۰۰ ت (۲۰۱۰ ۲۰۰۰ (۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰)

University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

•
$$N(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{I}(T_{a,i} \leq t)$$

•
$$M(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{I}(T_{d,i} \leq t)$$

•
$$Q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{I}(T_{a,i} \leq t < T_{d,i})$$

$$\blacktriangleright Q(t) = N(t) - M(t)$$

এন দিল দেৱ দেৱ হায় বিজ University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Aircraft Position Model

Aircraft trajectory:

- $\{ \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) : T_{a,i} \le t \le T_{d,i} \}$
- Boundary conditions:
 - $\blacktriangleright \mathbf{X}_i(T_{a,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i}$
 - $\blacktriangleright \boldsymbol{x}_i(T_{d,i}) = \boldsymbol{X}_{d,i}$
- Position expressed in terms of velocity:

•
$$\mathbf{x}_i(t) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i} + \int_{T_{a,i}}^t \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(u) du$$

Assuming constant velocity (and speed s_i):

$$\mathbf{k}_i(t) = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{d,i} - \mathbf{X}_{a,i}}{\|\mathbf{X}_{d,i} - \mathbf{X}_{a,i}\|} \mathbf{s}_i$$



University of Oslo

Aircraft Position Model

Aircraft trajectory:

- $\{ \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) : T_{a,i} \le t \le T_{d,i} \}$
- Boundary conditions:

•
$$\mathbf{x}_i(T_{a,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i}$$

• $\mathbf{x}_i(T_{d,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{d,i}$

Position expressed in terms of velocity:

•
$$\mathbf{x}_i(t) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i} + \int_{T_{a,i}}^t \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(u) du$$

Assuming constant velocity (and speed s_i):

$$\mathbf{\dot{x}}_i(t) = \frac{\mathbf{X}_{d,i} - \mathbf{X}_{a,i}}{\|\mathbf{X}_{d,i} - \mathbf{X}_{a,i}\|} \mathbf{s}_i$$



Aircraft Position Model

- Aircraft trajectory:
 - $\{ \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) : T_{a,i} \le t \le T_{d,i} \}$
- Boundary conditions:
 - $\mathbf{x}_{i}(T_{a,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i}$ • $\mathbf{x}_{i}(T_{d,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{d,i}$
- Position expressed in terms of velocity:

•
$$\mathbf{x}_i(t) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i} + \int_{T_{a,i}}^t \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(u) du$$

Assuming constant velocity (and speed s_i):

$$\blacktriangleright \dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}(t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_{d,i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{a,i}}{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{d,i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{a,i}\|} \boldsymbol{s}_{i}$$

Arne B. Huseby



Aircraft Position Model

- Aircraft trajectory:
 - $\{ \boldsymbol{x}_i(t) : T_{a,i} \le t \le T_{d,i} \}$
- Boundary conditions:
 - $\mathbf{x}_{i}(T_{a,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i}$ • $\mathbf{x}_{i}(T_{d,i}) = \mathbf{X}_{d,i}$
- Position expressed in terms of velocity:

•
$$\mathbf{x}_i(t) = \mathbf{X}_{a,i} + \int_{T_{a,i}}^t \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(u) du$$

Assuming constant velocity (and speed s_i):

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i}(t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_{d,i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{a,i}}{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{d,i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{a,i}\|} \boldsymbol{s}_{i}$$



• J(t) The index set of aircrafts present in A at time t

- ► The minimum distance between aircrafts at time *t*:
 - $D(t) = \min_{i,j \in J(t), i \neq j} \{ \| \mathbf{x}_i(t) \mathbf{x}_j(t) \| \}$
- ▶ C Critical distance (2.5 nautical miles [4,630 meters])
- The system is in a *risky state* at time *t* if:
 D(t) < C



Arne B. Huseby

- J(t) The index set of aircrafts present in A at time t
- The minimum distance between aircrafts at time t:
 - $D(t) = \min_{i,j \in J(t), i \neq j} \{ \| \mathbf{x}_i(t) \mathbf{x}_j(t) \| \}$
- ▶ C Critical distance (2.5 nautical miles [4,630 meters])
- The system is in a *risky state* at time *t* if:
 D(t) < C



Arne B. Huseby

- J(t) The index set of aircrafts present in A at time t
- The minimum distance between aircrafts at time t:
 - $D(t) = \min_{i,j \in J(t), i \neq j} \{ \| \mathbf{x}_i(t) \mathbf{x}_j(t) \| \}$
- C Critical distance (2.5 nautical miles [4,630 meters])
- The system is in a *risky state* at time *t* if:
 D(t) < C



Arne B. Huseby

- J(t) The index set of aircrafts present in A at time t
- The minimum distance between aircrafts at time t:
 - $D(t) = \min_{i,j \in J(t), i \neq j} \{ \| \mathbf{x}_i(t) \mathbf{x}_j(t) \| \}$
- C Critical distance (2.5 nautical miles [4,630 meters])
- The system is in a risky state at time t if:
 - ► D(t) < C

 ・ロン・イラン・モン・モン モークへへ University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

University of Oslo

Risk Measures

- The limiting fraction of time where the system is in a risky state:
 - $\bar{R} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(D(u) < C) du$
- The asymptotic average minimum distance between two aircrafts in A:
 - $\bullet \ \bar{D} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t D(u) du$
- The asymptotic average throughput:

•
$$\bar{M} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t}$$

► The asymptotic average processing time:

$$\overline{T}_p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{p,i}$$

Image: A matrix

Risk Measures

The limiting fraction of time where the system is in a risky state:

•
$$\bar{R} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(D(u) < C) du$$

The asymptotic average minimum distance between two aircrafts in A:

•
$$\bar{D} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t D(u) du$$

The asymptotic average throughput:

•
$$\bar{M} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t}$$

► The asymptotic average processing time:

$$\overline{T}_p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{p,i}$$

Risk Measures

The limiting fraction of time where the system is in a risky state:

•
$$\bar{R} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(D(u) < C) du$$

The asymptotic average minimum distance between two aircrafts in A:

•
$$\bar{D} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t D(u) du$$

The asymptotic average throughput:

•
$$\bar{M} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t}$$

The asymptotic average processing time:

$$\overline{T}_{p} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{p,i}$$

University of Oslo

Risk Measures

The limiting fraction of time where the system is in a risky state:

•
$$\bar{R} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t I(D(u) < C) du$$

The asymptotic average minimum distance between two aircrafts in A:

•
$$\bar{D} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t D(u) du$$

The asymptotic average throughput:

•
$$\bar{M} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{M(t)}{t}$$

The asymptotic average processing time:

$$\overline{T}_p = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T_{p,i}$$

Arne B. Huseby

Algorithm

For each point of time t and for each $j \in J(t)$ do the following:

STEP 1. Calculate the "ideal" velocity for the jth aircraft as:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_j(t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_j(t)}{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_j(t)\|} \boldsymbol{s}_j.$$

STEP 2. Identify the closest aircraft, and determine whether the trajectory needs to be adjusted to avoid a risk event.

STEP 3a. If no action is needed, use the ideal velocity in the interval [t, t + dt), and update the position.

STEP 3b. If an action is needed, make a "turn", i.e., replace the ideal velocity by $\dot{\mathbf{x}}'_j(t) = \Lambda \dot{\mathbf{x}}_j(t)$ in the interval [t, t + dt) where Λ is a suitable rotation matrix, and update the position.



Arne B. Huseby

Algorithm

For each point of time t and for each $j \in J(t)$ do the following:

STEP 1. Calculate the "ideal" velocity for the jth aircraft as:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{j}(t) = rac{\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{j}(t)}{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{j}(t)\|} \boldsymbol{s}_{j}.$$

STEP 2. Identify the closest aircraft, and determine whether the trajectory needs to be adjusted to avoid a risk event.

STEP 3a. If no action is needed, use the ideal velocity in the interval [t, t + dt), and update the position.

STEP 3b. If an action is needed, make a "turn", i.e., replace the ideal velocity by $\dot{\mathbf{x}}'_j(t) = \Lambda \dot{\mathbf{x}}_j(t)$ in the interval [t, t + dt) where Λ is a suitable rotation matrix, and update the position.



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Algorithm

For each point of time t and for each $j \in J(t)$ do the following:

STEP 1. Calculate the "ideal" velocity for the jth aircraft as:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{j}(t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{j}(t)}{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{j}(t)\|} \boldsymbol{s}_{j}.$$

STEP 2. Identify the closest aircraft, and determine whether the trajectory needs to be adjusted to avoid a risk event.

STEP 3a. If no action is needed, use the ideal velocity in the interval [t, t + dt), and update the position.

STEP 3b. If an action is needed, make a "turn", i.e., replace the ideal velocity by $\dot{\mathbf{x}}'_j(t) = \Lambda \dot{\mathbf{x}}_j(t)$ in the interval [t, t + dt) where Λ is a suitable rotation matrix, and update the position.



Algorithm

For each point of time t and for each $j \in J(t)$ do the following:

STEP 1. Calculate the "ideal" velocity for the jth aircraft as:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{j}(t) = \frac{\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{j}(t)}{\|\boldsymbol{X}_{d,j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{j}(t)\|} \boldsymbol{s}_{j}.$$

STEP 2. Identify the closest aircraft, and determine whether the trajectory needs to be adjusted to avoid a risk event.

STEP 3a. If no action is needed, use the ideal velocity in the interval [t, t + dt), and update the position.

STEP 3b. If an action is needed, make a "turn", i.e., replace the ideal velocity by $\dot{\mathbf{x}}'_j(t) = \Lambda \dot{\mathbf{x}}_j(t)$ in the interval [t, t + dt) where Λ is a suitable rotation matrix, and update the position.



Trajectories of two flights entering \mathcal{A} at the same time



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Main Object Structure



Hybrid Event Scheduler

Handles both discrete events and continuous time events:

- Aircraft generator (discrete events)
- Aircraft position updates (continuous time events)
- Allows assigning flexible update intervals for each individual aircraft
 - Short update intervals are used in risky periods
 - Long update intervals are used in safe periods



Arne B. Huseby

Hybrid Event Scheduler

Handles both discrete events and continuous time events:

- Aircraft generator (discrete events)
- Aircraft position updates (continuous time events)
- Allows assigning flexible update intervals for each individual aircraft
 - Short update intervals are used in risky periods
 - Long update intervals are used in safe periods



Arne B. Huseby

The Arrival Process $\{N(t)\}$

The process $\{N(t)\}$ was chosen as a counting process with i.i.d. waiting times sampled from a *censored exponential distribution*.

Let W_1, W_2, \ldots denote the waiting times between arrivals. Then W_1, W_2, \ldots are sampled as:

$$W_i = \max(\kappa, U_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where U_1, U_2, \ldots are independent and identically exponentially distributed with mean $\mu = 90$ seconds.

The constant κ is a control parameter limiting the traffic into A. In the simulations κ was varied between 10 and 50 seconds.



University of Oslo

The Arrival Process $\{N(t)\}$

The process $\{N(t)\}$ was chosen as a counting process with i.i.d. waiting times sampled from a *censored exponential distribution*.

Let W_1, W_2, \ldots denote the waiting times between arrivals. Then W_1, W_2, \ldots are sampled as:

$$W_i = \max(\kappa, U_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where U_1, U_2, \ldots are independent and identically exponentially distributed with mean $\mu = 90$ seconds.

The constant κ is a control parameter limiting the traffic into A. In the simulations κ was varied between 10 and 50 seconds.



University of Oslo

The Arrival Process $\{N(t)\}$

The process $\{N(t)\}$ was chosen as a counting process with i.i.d. waiting times sampled from a *censored exponential distribution*.

Let W_1, W_2, \ldots denote the waiting times between arrivals. Then W_1, W_2, \ldots are sampled as:

$$W_i = \max(\kappa, U_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots,$$

where U_1, U_2, \ldots are independent and identically exponentially distributed with mean $\mu = 90$ seconds.

The constant κ is a control parameter limiting the traffic into A. In the simulations κ was varied between 10 and 50 seconds.

Arrival and Departure Points

- ► All flights through *A* are assumed to be either *northbound* or *eastbound*.
- Pr(Flight is northbound) = Pr(Flight is eastbound) = 1/2.
- ▶ For *northbound* flights the arrival points are sampled within an interval I_S of the southern border of A and departure points within an interval I_N of the northern border of A.
- ► For *eastbound* flights the arrival points are sampled within an interval I_W of the western border of A and departure points within an interval I_E of the eastern border of A.

Arne B. Huseby

Arrival and Departure Points

- ► All flights through *A* are assumed to be either *northbound* or *eastbound*.
- Pr(Flight is northbound) = Pr(Flight is eastbound) = 1/2.
- ▶ For *northbound* flights the arrival points are sampled within an interval I_S of the southern border of A and departure points within an interval I_N of the northern border of A.
- ▶ For *eastbound* flights the arrival points are sampled within an interval I_W of the western border of A and departure points within an interval I_E of the eastern border of A.

Arne B. Huseby

Arrival and Departure Points

- All flights through A are assumed to be either northbound or eastbound.
- Pr(Flight is northbound) = Pr(Flight is eastbound) = 1/2.
- ► For *northbound* flights the arrival points are sampled within an interval I_S of the southern border of A and departure points within an interval I_N of the northern border of A.
- ► For *eastbound* flights the arrival points are sampled within an interval I_W of the western border of A and departure points within an interval I_E of the eastern border of A.

Simulation Results Without Risk Event Avoidance:

κ	10	20	30	40	50
R	0.250	0.239	0.227	0.066	0.020
Đ	12,108	12,288	12,548	12,840	13,196
Ā	0.660	0.650	0.632	0.611	0.588
\bar{T}_p	144	144	144	144	144

With Risk Event Avoidance:

ĸ	10	20	30	40	50
R	0.480	0.477	0.472	0.006	0.000
\overline{D}	8,558	8,672	8,813	12,881	13,223
\overline{M}	0.652	0.641	0.624	0.610	0.586
\bar{T}_p	219	221	224	145	144

Ð

University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

Simulation Results Without Risk Event Avoidance:

κ	10	20	30	40	50
R	0.250	0.239	0.227	0.066	0.020
Đ	12,108	12,288	12,548	12,840	13,196
Ā	0.660	0.650	0.632	0.611	0.588
\bar{T}_p	144	144	144	144	144

With Risk Event Avoidance:

κ	10	20	30	40	50
R	0.480	0.477	0.472	0.006	0.000
Đ	8,558	8,672	8,813	12,881	13,223
М	0.652	0.641	0.624	0.610	0.586
\overline{T}_p	219	221	224	145	144

Arne B. Huseby

Summary

- We have shown how to implement hybrid simulation models for aircraft trajectories in a stochastic environment
- We have analyzed two interrelated issues related to the model:
 - Managing the arrival process
 - Avoiding risk events by adjusting trajectories dynamically
- The two issues should be studied together in an integrated model
- Future work:
 - Fine-tuning the models and algorithms
 - Modeling smoother aircraft movements (in 3D)
 - Include other stochastic aspects e.g., weather



University of Oslo

Arne B. Huseby

University of Oslo

Summary

- We have shown how to implement hybrid simulation models for aircraft trajectories in a stochastic environment
- We have analyzed two interrelated issues related to the model:
 - Managing the arrival process
 - Avoiding risk events by adjusting trajectories dynamically
- The two issues should be studied together in an integrated model
- Future work:
 - Fine-tuning the models and algorithms
 - Modeling smoother aircraft movements (in 3D)
 - Include other stochastic aspects e.g., weather

