# STK3405 – Week 35

#### A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl

Department of Mathematics University of Oslo, Norway



A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

э



### k-out-of-n systems



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

STK3405 – Week 35

A *k*-out-of-*n* system is a binary monotone system  $(C, \phi)$  where  $C = \{1, ..., n\}$  which functions if and only if at least *k* out of the *n* components are functioning.

Let the component state variable of component *i* be  $X_i$ ,  $i \in C$ , and let the vector of component state variables be  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

The structure function,  $\phi$ , can then be written:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \geq k \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

# An *n*-out-of-*n* system = A series system

An *n*-out-of-*n* system is the same as a series system:



Figure: A reliability block diagram of an *n*-out-of-*n* system.

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト

# A 1-out-of-*n* system = A parallel system

A 1-out-of-*n* system is the same as a parallel system:



Figure: A reliability block diagram of an 1-out-of-n system.

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

STK3405 - Week 35

# A 2-out-of-3 system



Figure: A reliability block diagram of a 2-out-of-3 system.

For a 2-out-of-3 system to function 2 out of 3 components must function. There are 3 possible subsets of components which contains 2 components:  $\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}.$ 

# A 2-out-of-3 system



Figure: A reliability block diagram of a 2-out-of-3 system.

For a 2-out-of-3 system to fail 2 out of 3 components must fail. There are 3 possible subsets of components which contains 2 components:  $\{1,2\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3\}.$ 

# A 3-out-of-4 system



Figure: A reliability block diagram of a 3-out-of-4 system.

For a 3-out-of-4 system to function 3 out of 4 components must function. There are 4 possible subsets of components which contains 3 components:  $\{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}$ .

# A 2-out-of-4 system



Figure: A reliability block diagram of a 2-out-of-4 system.

For a 2-out-of-4 system to fail 3 out of 4 components must fail. There are 4 possible subsets of components which contains 3 components:  $\{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2,3,4\}.$ 

# The reliability of a k-out-of-n system

In order to evaluate the reliability of a *k*-out-of-*n* system it is convenient to introduce the following random variable:

$$S=\sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

Thus, S is the number of functioning components. This implies that:

$$h = P(\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = 1) = P(\boldsymbol{S} \ge k).$$

### The reliability of a *k*-out-of-*n* system (cont.)

If the component states are *independent*, and the component reliabilities are all *equal*, i.e.,  $p_1 = \cdots = p_n = p$ , the random variable *S* is a binomially distributed random variable, and we have:

$$P(S=i) = \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i}.$$

Hence, the reliability of the system is given by:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = h(\boldsymbol{p}) = \boldsymbol{P}(\boldsymbol{S} \ge k) = \sum_{i=k}^{n} \binom{n}{i} p^{i} (1-p)^{n-i}$$

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

### The reliability of a 2-out-of-3 system

EXAMPLE: Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a 2-out-of-3 system where the component states are independent, and where  $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p$ . We then have:

$$P(S=2) = {3 \choose 2} p^2 (1-p)^1 = 3p^2 (1-p)$$
  
 $P(S=3) = {3 \choose 3} p^3 (1-p)^0 = p^3.$ 

Hence, the reliability of the system is:

$$h = P(S \ge 2) = 3p^2(1-p) + p^3 = 3p^2 - 2p^3$$

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

### The reliability of a 3-out-of-4 system

EXAMPLE: Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a 3-out-of-4 system where the component states are independent, and where  $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p$ . We then have:

$$P(S=3) = {4 \choose 3} p^3 (1-p)^1 = 4p^3 (1-p)^2$$
  
 $P(S=4) = {4 \choose 4} p^4 (1-p)^0 = p^4.$ 

Hence, the reliability of the system is:

$$h = P(S \ge 3) = 4p^3(1-p) + p^4 = 4p^3 - 3p^4$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# The reliability of a *k*-out-of-*n* system (cont.)

When the component reliabilities are unequal, explicit analytical expressions for the distribution of S is not so easy to derive.

Let *S* be a stochastic variable with values in  $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . We then define the *generating function* of *S* as:

$$G_S(y) = E[y^S] = \sum_{s=0}^n y^s P(S=s).$$

When a random variable *S* is the sum of a set of independent random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , the generating function of *S* is the product of the generating functions of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ . By using this property it is possible to construct a very efficient algorithm for calculating the distribution of *S*.

We will return to this issue in an exercise.



A D D A D D A D D A D D A

### The reliability of a 2-out-of-3 system

EXAMPLE: Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a 2-out-of-3 system where the component states are independent with reliabilities  $p_1, p_2, p_3$ , We then have:

$$P(S = 2) = p_1 p_2 (1 - p_3) + p_1 (1 - p_2) p_3 + (1 - p_1) p_2 p_3$$
$$P(S = 3) = p_1 p_2 p_3$$

Hence, the reliability of the system is:

$$\begin{split} h &= p_1 p_2 (1-p_3) + p_1 (1-p_2) p_3 + (1-p_1) p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_2 p_3 \\ &= p_1 p_2 + p_1 p_3 + p_2 p_3 - 2 p_1 p_2 p_3. \end{split}$$

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

### The reliability of a 3-out-of-4 system

EXAMPLE: Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a 3-out-of-4 system where the component states are independent with reliabilities  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4$ , We then have:

$$P(S = 3) = p_1 p_2 p_3 (1 - p_4) + p_1 p_2 (1 - p_3) p_4$$
$$+ p_1 (1 - p_2) p_3 p_4 + (1 - p_1) p_2 p_3 p_4$$
$$P(S = 4) = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$$

Hence, the reliability of the system is:

$$h = p_1 p_2 p_3 (1 - p_4) + p_1 p_2 (1 - p_3) p_4$$
  
+  $p_1 (1 - p_2) p_3 p_4 + (1 - p_1) p_2 p_3 p_4$   
+  $p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ 

 $= p_1 p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_2 p_4 + p_1 p_3 p_4 + p_2 p_3 p_4 - 3 p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ 



A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A



### **Basic reliability calculation methods**



A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

STK3405 – Week 35



### **Pivotal decompositions**



A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

STK3405 – Week 35

#### Theorem

Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a binary monotone system. We then have:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = x_i \phi(1_i, \boldsymbol{x}) + (1 - x_i) \phi(0_i, \boldsymbol{x}), \quad i \in C.$$
(1)

Similarly, for the reliability function of a binary monotone system where the component state variables are independent, we have

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = p_i h(1_i, \boldsymbol{p}) + (1 - p_i) h(0_i, \boldsymbol{p}), \quad i \in C.$$
(2)



## Pivotal decompositions (cont.)

PROOF: Let  $i \in C$ , and consider two cases:

CASE 1.  $x_i = 1$ . Then the right-hand side of (1) becomes:

 $\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{X}).$ 

Hence,  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x})$ , so (1) holds in this case.

CASE 2.  $x_i = 0$ . Then the right-hand side of (1) becomes:

 $\phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x}),$ 

Hence,  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x})$ , so (1) holds in this case as well.

Equation (2) is proved by replacing the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  by  $\mathbf{X}$  in (1), and taking the expectation.

э

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

# Pivotal decompositions (cont.)

#### Corollary

Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a binary monotone system. We then have:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\mathbf{x}) &= x_i x_j \phi(1_i, 1_j, \mathbf{x}) + x_i (1 - x_j) \phi(1_i, 0_j, \mathbf{x}) \\ &+ (1 - x_i) x_j \phi(0_i, 1_j, \mathbf{x}) + (1 - x_i) (1 - x_j) \phi(0_i, 0_j, \mathbf{x}), \quad i, j \in C. \end{split}$$

Similarly, for the reliability function of a binary monotone system where the component state variables are independent, we have:

$$\begin{split} h(\boldsymbol{p}) &= p_i p_j h(1_i, 1_j, \boldsymbol{p}) + p_i (1 - p_j) h(1_i, 0_j, \boldsymbol{p}) \\ &+ (1 - p_i) p_j h(0_i, 1_j, \boldsymbol{p}) + (1 - p_i) (1 - p_j) h(0_i, 0_j, \boldsymbol{p}), \quad i, j \in C. \end{split}$$

PROOF: Use the pivotal decomposition theorem. Then apply the same theorem to  $\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{x})$  and  $\phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{x})$ .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Definition

- Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a binary monotone system, and let  $i, j \in C$ .
- We say that *i* and *j* are *in series* if  $\phi$  depends on the component state variables,  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , only through the product  $x_i \cdot x_j$ .
- We say that *i* and *j* are *in parallel* if  $\phi$  depends on the component state variables,  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ , only through the coproduct  $x_i \coprod x_j$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >



In this system components 1 and 2 are in series, while components 3 and 4 are in parallel. Note, however, that components 5 and 6 are *not* in series since component 5 is also connected via component 7. Moreover, components 6 and 7 are in parallel.

#### Theorem

Let  $(C, \phi)$  be a binary monotone system, and let  $i, j \in C$ . Moreover, assume that the component state variables are independent.

If i and j are in series, then the reliability function, h, depends on  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  only through  $p_i \cdot p_j$ .

If *i* and *j* are in parallel, then the reliability function, *h*, depends on  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  only through  $p_i \coprod p_j$ .

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

PROOF: If *i* and *j* are in series, we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i,\mathbf{0}_j,\boldsymbol{x})=\phi(\mathbf{0}_i,\mathbf{1}_j,\boldsymbol{x})=\phi(\mathbf{0}_i,\mathbf{0}_j,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Thus, by pivotal decomposition we have:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\mathbf{x}) &= x_i x_j \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{x}) + x_i (\mathbf{1} - x_j) \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{0}_j, \mathbf{x}) \\ &+ (\mathbf{1} - x_i) x_j \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{1} - x_i) (\mathbf{1} - x_j) \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{0}_j, \mathbf{x}) \\ &= (x_i x_j) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{x}) + (\mathbf{1} - (x_i x_j)) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{0}_j, \mathbf{x}). \end{split}$$

Hence, by replacing the vector **x** by **X** and taking expectations we get:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = (p_i p_j) \cdot h(1_i, 1_j, \boldsymbol{p}) + (1 - (p_i p_j)) \cdot h(0_i, 0_j, \boldsymbol{p}).$$

That is, *h*, depends on  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  only through  $p_i \cdot p_j$ .

If *i* and *j* are in parallel, we have:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_i,\mathbf{1}_j,\boldsymbol{x})=\phi(\mathbf{1}_i,\mathbf{0}_j,\boldsymbol{x})=\phi(\mathbf{0}_i,\mathbf{1}_j,\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Thus, by pivotal decomposition we have:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\mathbf{x}) &= x_i x_j \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{x}) + x_i (1 - x_j) \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{0}_j, \mathbf{x}) \\ &+ (1 - x_i) x_j \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{x}) + (1 - x_i) (1 - x_j) \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{0}_j, \mathbf{x}) \\ &= (x_i \amalg x_j) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{1}_j, \mathbf{x}) + (1 - (x_i \amalg x_j)) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{0}_j, \mathbf{x}). \end{split}$$

Hence, by replacing the vector **x** by **X** and taking expectations we get:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = (p_i \amalg p_j) \cdot h(1_i, 1_j, \boldsymbol{p}) + (1 - (p_i \amalg p_j)) \cdot h(0_i, 0_j, \boldsymbol{p}).$$

That is, *h*, depends on  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  only through  $p_i \coprod p_j$ .

4 **A** N A **B** N A **B** N

### s-p-reductions

Consider a binary monotone system,  $(C, \phi)$  where the component state variables are independent, and let  $i, j \in C$ .

SERIES REDUCTION: If the components *i* and *j* are in series, then we may replace *i* and *j* by a single component *i'* with reliability  $p_{i'} = p_i p_j$  without altering the system reliability.

PARALLEL REDUCTION: If the components *i* and *j* are in parallel, then we may replace *i* and *j* by a single component *i'* with reliability  $p_{i'} = p_i \coprod p_j$  without altering the system reliability.

Series and parallel reductions are referred to as *s-p-reductions*. Each s-p-reduction reduces the number of components in the system by one.

A system that can be reduced to a single component by applying a sequence of s-p-reductions is called an *s-p-system*.

# s-p-reductions (cont.)



This 7-component system is an s-p-system. Its reliability function can be derived using s-p-reductions *only* and is given by:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = [p_1 p_2 (p_3 \amalg p_4)] \amalg [p_5 (p_6 \amalg p_7)]$$



Let  $(C, \phi)$  be the *bridge structure* shown above. In order to derive the structure function of this system, we note that:

 $\phi(1_3, \mathbf{X}) =$  The system state given that component 3 is functioning

 $\phi(0_3, \mathbf{X}) =$  The system state given that component 3 is failed

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

STK3405 - Week 35



Given that component 3 is functioning, the system becomes a series connection of two parallel systems. Hence, by using s-p-reductions, we get that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_3, \mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{X}_1 \amalg \mathbf{X}_2) \cdot (\mathbf{X}_4 \amalg \mathbf{X}_5).$$



Given that component 3 is failed, the system becomes a parallel connection of two series systems. Hence, by using s-p-reductions, we get that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{0}_3, \mathbf{X}) = (\mathbf{X}_1 \cdot \mathbf{X}_4) \amalg (\mathbf{X}_2 \cdot \mathbf{X}_5).$$

By the pivotal decomposition theorem it follows that  $\phi$  can be written as:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = X_3 \cdot \phi(1_3, \boldsymbol{X}) + (1 - X_3) \cdot \phi(0_3, \boldsymbol{X}).$$

Combining all this we get that  $\phi$  is given by:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = X_3 \cdot (X_1 \amalg X_2)(X_4 \amalg X_5) + (1 - X_3) \cdot (X_1 \cdot X_4 \amalg X_2 \cdot X_5).$$

Moreover, assuming that the component state variables are independent, the reliability of the systems is:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = p_3 \cdot (p_1 \amalg p_2)(p_4 \amalg p_5) + (1 - p_3) \cdot (p_1 \cdot p_4 \amalg p_2 \cdot p_5).$$



Let  $(C, \phi)$  be the system shown above. In order to derive the structure function of this system, we note that:

 $\phi(1_1, \mathbf{X})$  = The system state given that component 1 is functioning

 $\phi(0_1, \mathbf{X}) =$  The system state given that component 1 is failed

< 同 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト



Given that component 1 is functioning, the system becomes a parallel system of components 2 and 3 (since the lower path  $\{2,3,4\}$  can be ignored in this case). Hence, by using s-p-reductions, we get that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{1}_1, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}_2 \amalg \mathbf{X}_3.$$



Given that component 1 is failed, the system becomes a series system of components 2, 3 and 4. Hence, by using s-p-reductions, we get that:

$$\phi(\mathbf{0}_1, \mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}_2 \cdot \mathbf{X}_3 \cdot \mathbf{X}_4.$$

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

By the pivotal decomposition theorem it follows that  $\phi$  can be written as:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = X_1 \cdot \phi(1_1, \boldsymbol{X}) + (1 - X_1) \cdot \phi(0_1, \boldsymbol{X}).$$

Combining all this we get that  $\phi$  is given by:

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{X}) = X_1 \cdot (X_2 \amalg X_3) + (1 - X_1) \cdot (X_2 \cdot X_3 \cdot X_4).$$

Moreover, assuming that the component state variables are independent, the reliability of the systems is:

$$h(\boldsymbol{p}) = p_1 \cdot (p_2 \amalg p_3) + (1 - p_1) \cdot (p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot p_4).$$

A. B. Huseby & K. R. Dahl (Univ. of Oslo)

- 4 回 ト 4 回 ト

# Strict monotonicity

#### Theorem

Let  $h(\mathbf{p})$  be the reliability function of a binary monotone system  $(C, \phi)$  of order n, and assume that  $0 < p_j < 1$  for all  $j \in C$ . If component i is relevant, then  $h(\mathbf{p})$  is strictly increasing in  $p_i$ .

PROOF: Using pivotal decomposition wrt. component *i* it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h(\boldsymbol{p})}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}_i} [\boldsymbol{p}_i h(\boldsymbol{1}_i, \boldsymbol{p}) + (\boldsymbol{1} - \boldsymbol{p}_i) h(\boldsymbol{0}_i, \boldsymbol{p})] \\ &= h(\boldsymbol{1}_i, \boldsymbol{p}) - h(\boldsymbol{0}_i, \boldsymbol{p}) \\ &= E[\phi(\boldsymbol{1}_i, \boldsymbol{X})] - E[\phi(\boldsymbol{0}_i, \boldsymbol{X})] = E[\phi(\boldsymbol{1}_i, \boldsymbol{X}) - \phi(\boldsymbol{0}_i, \boldsymbol{X})] \\ &= \sum_{(\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{X}) \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}} [\phi(\boldsymbol{1}_i, \boldsymbol{x}) - \phi(\boldsymbol{0}_i, \boldsymbol{x})] P((\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{X}) = (\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{x})) \end{aligned}$$

# Strict monotonicity (cont.)

Since  $\phi$  is non-decreasing in each argument it follows that:

$$\left[\phi(\mathsf{1}_i, \boldsymbol{x}) - \phi(\mathsf{0}_i, \boldsymbol{x})\right] \geq \mathsf{0}, ext{ for all } (\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{x}) \in \{\mathsf{0}, \mathsf{1}\}^{n-1}$$

If *i* is relevant, there exists at least one  $(\cdot_i, \mathbf{y}) \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$  such that:

$$\left[\phi(\mathbf{1}_i, \mathbf{y}) - \phi(\mathbf{0}_i, \mathbf{y})\right] > \mathbf{0}.$$

Since  $0 < p_j < 1$  for all  $j \in C$ , we have:

$$P((\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{X}) = (\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{x})) > 0$$
, for all  $(\cdot_i, \boldsymbol{x}) \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ 

From this it follows that:

$$rac{\partial h(oldsymbol{p})}{\partial oldsymbol{p}_i} > 0.$$

That is,  $h(\mathbf{p})$  is strictly increasing in  $p_i$ .

A B A B A B A
 A B A
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A